Appendix J

Evidence tables

Key Components of the History Taking and the Physical Examination in Children with chronic constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
illiorillation	level	prevalence	Characteristics	standard	allu NFV	
Borowitz et al.	Study type:	220 children	220 children	Test	Degree of difficulty with toilet	Additional information from study
Precipitants of	Case-control			History of events	training (mean ± SD)	Constipation defined as passage of < 3
constipation		Inclusion	-Patients	occurring in the 3	(0=none, 4=extreme)	bowel movements each week for at
during early	Evidence	criteria:	n=125	months prior to		least 2 consecutive weeks
childhood.	<u>level</u> :	Aged 2y 0m to	mean age	onset of	Patients: 2.1±1.3	
2003. Journal of	III	6y 11m, at least	(months): 44±13	constipation:	Controls: 1.4±1.1	22 non-patient siblings matched as
the American		average	49% male		p<0.001	controls, an additional 73 non-sibling
Board of Family	Study aim:	intelligence		-large/painful		controls recruited from advertisements
Practice 16[3],	To		-Controls	bowel movement	Degree of difficulty passing	
213-218United	determine	 patients: First 	n=95	-toilet training	some bowel movements (%	Likert scale: 0 to 4. 0 being not at all
	the	time	mean age	-started day care	<u>children)</u>	difficult and 4 being extremely difficult
Borowitz, 2003	precipitants	presentation to	(months):	-travelling		
	to	physician with	46±18	-liquid to solid	None: patients 3, controls 49	Questionnaire for parents to fill out
	constipation	constipation	54% male	foods	Mild: patients 86, controls 49	describing children's bowel habits.
	in early			-breast to bottle	Moderate: patients 80,	- indication of how difficult toilet training
	childhood	- controls: no		-family move	controls 10	had been for bowel movements using
		history of	Country:	-vomiting	Extreme: patients 76, controls	Likert scale
		constipation	USA	/dehydration	5	- parents to indicate if any of 18
				-new medication		different events occurred in the 3
		Exclusion	Setting:	-parental	p<0.001 (patients as compared	
		criteria:	26 primary care	separation	to controls in each category)	constipation, and which of these they
		Underlying	facilities (15	-birth of a sibling		believed contributed to the onset of

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		•	paediatricians, 11 family medicine centres)	-tent camping -high fever -surgery -extended bed rest -trauma in	Degree of pain passing some bowel movements (% children) None: patients 5, controls 56 Mild: patients 82, controls 40 Moderate: patients 69, controls 8 Severe: patients 67, controls 6 p<0.001 (patients as compared to controls in each category) Children expressing worry about passing bowel movements (% children) Patients: 75 Controls: 8 p<.001 -Family history of constipation and initial age of toilet training no significantly different between the 2 groups -Subgroup analysis: children grouped according to whether they became constipated before or after their second birthday. The events parents reported having occurred in the 3 months before the onset of constipation were similar in the two groups, with the exception of toilet training having occurred more often before	Source of funding: NIH grant RO1HD 28160
					constipation in the older	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					children (40% vs. 20%), and making the dietary transition from breast to bottle and from liquid to solid diets having occurred more often before constipation in the younger children (30% vs. 0). Large or painful bowel movements were seen by far the most frequent precipitating event for both age groups. Toilet training was seen as more of a precipitant for older onset children (20% vs. 10%), whereas transition from breast to bottle and from liquid to solid foods was seen to be more of a problem for	
					younger-onset children (25% vs. 0)	
Freedman et al. The crying	Study type: Retrospectiv	238 patients	238 patients	Tests Abdominal	-Positive findings on history and/or physical examination	Additional information from study Patients presenting with chief complaint
infant:	e case	<u>Inclusion</u>	Males 124 (52%)	radiograph	alone suggested the final	of crying identified retrospectively by
Diagnostic	series	criteria:	Median age 2.3		diagnosis in 66.4% (158 of	searching electronic database using a
testing and		- less than 12	months (range 1.0	Abdominal	238) of the crying children	chief complaint family word root search
frequency of	Evidence	months age	to 5.4)	ultrasound		for: "cry", "irritable", "fuss", "scream" and
serious	<u>level</u> :	- afebrile		D (-11 cases of constipation were	"colic". Afebrile defined as < 38°C
underlying	III	- presenting to	Country:	Reference	diagnosed, all diagnosed by	07.540.50
disease. 2009. Pediatrics	Ctudy sim	ED during 9	Canada	Standard	category 1 data source –	37,549 ED visits during 9 month
123[3], 841-	Study aim: To	month eligibility period with chief	Cotting	History taking and physical	positive history and physical examination only	eligibility period, of which 238 children met inclusion criteria
848United	determine	complaint of	Tertiary care	examination	Chairiii ialioi i oriiy	met inclusion chiena
States.	the	crying	referral hospital	CAGITITIATION	Constipation defined as history	Patients and their final diagnoses
Freedman,	proportion of	o yn ig	101011ai 1103pilai		of difficult, infrequent, hard	grouped into 1 - 4 categories according
2009	children	Exclusion			stools, palpation of small	to the sources of data that contributed
	evaluated in	criteria:			pellets on abdominal	the diagnosis
	an	Not stated			examination	Data source categories:
	emergency					1) Diagnosis was based on the history
	department				Abdominal radiograph –	(Hx) and/or physical examination (PE)

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	because of crying who have a serious underlying aetiology				diagnosis of intussusception	alone 2) Diagnosis was based on positive test results obtained after the Hx and PE failed to suggest a cause 3) Diagnosis was based on tests ordered to investigate positive findings from the Hx and/or PE that suggested a cause 4) Neither Hx, PE nor investigations were diagnostic Required sample size calculated to yield stable estimates (±5%) of the primary outcome measure (proportion of infants who had potentially serious underlying aetiology). Estimated that 10% sample would have underlying serious aetiologies. Minimum sample of 138 subjects required. Anticipated follow-up telephone call response rate of only 75%. Final size after adjustment:: 245 Reviewer comments No data on follow up care of accuracy of constipation cases Minimum sample size required not achieved Source of funding: Not stated
Lewis et al. Diagnosing Hirschsprung's disease: increasing the odds of a	Study type: Retrospectiv e cohort Evidence level:	315 children Inclusion criteria: -Cohort 1: Children	315 children: -265 children who had undergone rectal biopsy	Tests: Rectal biopsy	Clinical features in children with Hirschsprung's disease and idiopathic constipation (IC, n=40) -Onset of constipation <1 year	Additional information from study Questionnaires, telephone interviews and patients visits used to compile long- term data. In reporting features listed in the questionnaire only patients with definite information were included: the

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
positive rectal biopsy result. 2003. Journal of Pediatric Surgery 38[3], 412-416 Lewis et al., 2003	Study aim: To test the hypothesis that key features in the history, physical examination and radiographic evaluation would allow to avoid unnecessary rectal biopsies	presenting with constipation to diagnose Hirschsprung's disease (HD) -Cohort 2: idiopathic constipation Exclusion criteria: Patients undergoing reevaluation for constipation after pull-through procedure for HD	-50 children, concurrent selected cohort (cohort 2) Country: USA		old Delayed passage of meconium (%) HD: 65 IC: 13 P< 0.05 Abdominal distension (%) HD: 80 IC: 42 P< 0.05 Vomiting (%) HD: 72 IC: 21 P< 0.05 Faecal impaction requiring manual evacuation (%) HD: 6 IC: 30 P< 0.05 Enterocolitis (%) HD: 13 IC: 15 NS -Onset of constipation >1 year old Delayed passage of meconium (%) HD: 81 IC: 1 P< 0.05 Abdominal distension (%)	number of patients in each analysis varies to exclude those with missing data Delayed passage of meconium defined as failure to pass meconium in the first 48h of life. These data were available in 59% of cases Abdominal distension determined from parental response to questionnaire or data noted during patients visits Enterocolitis defined as diarrhoea associated with fever Reviewer comments: Data on clinical features not available for all children Unclear what kind of rectal biopsy was performed and how the diagnosis of HD was made Source of funding: Not stated
					HD: 53	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	and NPV	Reviewer comment
					IC: 7 P< 0.05	
					Vomiting (%) HD: 23 IC: 0 P< 0.05	
					Faecal impaction requiring manual evacuation (%) HD: 46 IC: 30 NS	
					Enterocolitis (%) HD: 13 IC: 14 NS	
					Age at onset of symptoms -Hirschsprung's (HD) (n=46) Mean: 8 months (range 1 day to 9 years) 1rst week of life: 60 % 1rst month of life: 70% 1rst year of life: 87% after 1 year of life: 13%	
					-Idiopathic constipation (IC) (n=40) Mean: 15 months (range 7 days to 16 years) 1rst week of life: 15% 1rst month of life: 55% 1rst year of life: 68% after 1 year of life: 32%	
					At least 34% of HD patients	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					had the classic triad (delayed passage of meconium + vomiting + abdominal distension). At least 1 feature of the triad noted in 98% of patients with HD. Only 60% of patients with IC had a history of delayed passage of meconium, vomiting or abdominal distension. 100 % HD patients vs. 64% IC patients had 1 or more of the following: delayed passage of meconium, vomiting, abdominal distension and a transition zone on contrast enema. 36% of IC patients had none of these features.	

Diagnostic Value of the Digital Rectal Examination (DRE) Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
	Study type:	251 children	Group 1:	Test:	Clinical variables (as a model)	Abdominal radiograph was either a
	Prospective		141 children with	Clinical variables	Sensitivity: 77% (+)	single flatplate or a flatplate with upright
clinical	case series	<u>Inclusion</u>	radiologically		Specificity: 35% (-)	view, ordered by the ED attending
variables in the		criteria:	proven	-History of	PPV 60%	physician based on customary
identification of	<u>Evidence</u>	Children aged	constipation	gastrointestinal	NPV: 55%	practices. The ED physicians ordering
radiographically	<u>level</u> :	2-12 years old		problems		the radiographs were blinded to study
proven	III	who presented	Age: 7.9 +-3.1	-Duration of	Only the following clinical	objectives
constipation in		to the	years	abdominal pain	variables were significantly	
children. 2001.		Emergency	63 (25%) male	-Stool habits	different between the two	32% of the enrolled subjects did not
Wisconsin	Study aim:	Department		-Straining on	groups:	undergo rectal exam
Medical Journal	to determine	(ED) of		defecation		_
100[1], 33-	whether	Children's	Group 2:	-Faecal consistency	History of normal/hard stool	A clinical diagnose previous to
36United	clinical	Hospital of	110 children with	(normal/hard stools)	consistency:	radiology was made and reported.
States.	variables	Wisconsin with	no radiographic	-Medication	-	However it was not clear how many of
	accurately	abdominal pain	evidence of	-Physical exam:	Group 1:	the clinical variables needed to be
	identify	and underwent	constipation	rebound, rigidity,	74% (100/135)	present to diagnose constipation.
	children with	radiographic	·	guarding,	,	Furthermore, the physical exam was
	radiologically	evaluation.	Age: 7.4 +-3.0	tympanic/distended	Group 2:	completed by one of several paediatric
	proven		years		61% (61/99) p: 0.016	ED physicians and no assessment of
	constipation	Exclusion	57 (23%) male	tenderness: diffuse,		inter-rater reliability was performed.
	•	criteria:	, ,	each of four	Absence of rebound	
		previous	Country:	quadrants, flank,	tenderness	Official radiologic diagnosis was
		abdominal	USA	epigastric,		provided by a single board certified
		surgery, known		periumbilical	Group 1:	paediatric radiologist blinded to the
		abdominal		-Physical exam:	98% (138/141)	study. This was compared with the ED
		pathology,		bowel sounds, rectal		physician interpretation of the
		menarche or		exam	Group 2:	radiograph and the patients were
		sickle cell			90% (99/110) p: 0.007	divided into the two groups, but it is not
		disease		Clinical examination	, , ,	clear on the basis of what this decision
				(including rectal	Presence of left lower quadrant	was made.
		Setting: hospital		`	tenderness:	A data sheet with demographic-clinical
		Emergency		the ED physician		data was required before an abdominal
		Department			Group 1:	radiograph was ordered, but in 159
		•		Reference test:	20% (19/96)	patients no data-sheet was submitted

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
				Abdominal		for various reasons. These patients
				radiograph	Group 2:	were excluded from the study and the
					9% (6/69) p: 0.0499	lack of data makes impossible to tell
				<u>Radiological</u>		whether they differed from the group of
				diagnose of	Stool present in rectal vault as	included patients
				constipation (based	per rectal exam:	
				on faecal loading		Source of funding:
				score originated and		Not reported
					69% (70/102)	
				al and later revised		
				by Blethyn et al)	Group 2:	
				-Normal, grade 0:	43% (29/68) p: 0.008	
				faeces in rectum		
				and cecum only		
				-Grade 1, mild		
				constipation: faeces		
				in rectum, cecum		
				and discontinuous elsewhere		
				-Grade 2, moderate constipation: faeces		
				in rectum, cecum		
				with continuous		
				faeces affecting all		
				segments but		
				allowing for gas		
				-Grade 3, severe		
				constipation:		
				continuous faeces		
				with dilated colon		
				and rectal impaction		
Rockney et al.	Study type:	60 encopretic	Age: 4-11 years	Test:	Values for rectal examination:	78 encopretic children originally
The plain	Retrospectiv	children	old	Rectal examination	Tales is restal starmation.	enrolled but only 60 children for whom
abdominal	e case				a) When the diagnosis of	Rx could be retrieved were included in
roentgenogram	series	Inclusion/	Group 1	Reference test:	retention by abdominal RX,	analysis. There were no significant
in the		exclusion	47 encopretic	Plain abdominal	systematic reading was agreed	differences between encopretic children
management of	Evidence	criteria	children with	roentgenogram	by at least two radiologists:	whose abdominal Rx were reviewed for
encopresis.	level:	Encopresis as	faecal retention			the study and those who did not have a

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
imormation	level	prevalence	Onaracteristics	standard	and W	
1995. Archives	III	defined by the	by	Three radiologists,	(%)	Rx or whose Rx could not be retrieved.
of Pediatrics		DSM Revised	roentgenogram	two paediatric and	Sensitivity: 88.6	There were no significant differences in
and Adolescent	Study aim:	Third Edition:	criteria on	one general, at	Specificity: 41.6	patients' characteristics at the two sites.
Medicine	to determine		presentation	three separate	Positive predictive value: 84.8	Not all data were available for every
149[6], 623-627	whether	involuntary (or,		institutions, blind to	Negative predictive value: 50	subject
	faecal	much more	Male sex: 74.5%	the identity of the		
	retention in	rarely,			b) When the diagnosis of	Children with retention (as per Rx) were
	encopretic	intentional)	Group 2	the plain abdominal	retention by abdominal RX,	significantly more likely to have stool in
		passage of	13 encopretic	Rx twice: a		the rectum on presentation (p 0.015)
	be assessed		children without		by the three radiologists:	and were significantly less likely to have
	objectively	places not	faecal retention	assessed faecal	(5.1)	parents report a difficult toilet training (p
	using the		by	content as markedly	(%)	0.018). There were no other significant
	plain	that purpose	roentgenogram	excessive,	Sensitivity: 91.7	differences between the two groups
	abdominal		criteria on	moderately	Specificity: 71.4	regarding the rest of the variables
		floor)the	presentation		Positive predictive value: 94.3	measured.
	am and	event must		and a "systematic"	Negative predictive value: 62.5	Forton Conformation
	whether	occur at least	Male sex: 61.5 %		Net all data was a scallable for	Each patient's medical record was
	0 0	once a month	0		Not all data were available for	reviewed separately by one of the
	aphic	for at least 6	Country: USA	record was	every subject	authors and a research assistant. When
	evidence of faecal	months, the	USA	completed and a		discrepancies existed charts were
	retention is	chronological and mental age		score assigned (0- 25) reflecting the		reviewed again conjointly and discrepancies resolved for both
	associated	of the child		severity of faecal		reviewers' satisfaction.
	with clinical	must be at least		retention (score of		reviewers satisfaction.
	findings on	4 years, and		10 or greater		The reliability of the radiologists'
		physical		indicates faecal		assessments was tested by two
		disorders that		retention, scale		different procedures.
	children	can cause		validated by Barr et		different procedures.
	Ciliaren	faecal		al.) Final results		Overall agreement among the three
		incontinence,		were taken from the		radiologists was 77.8% for the
		such as		systematic reading		subjective assessment, k=0.53 (z=7.04,
		aganglionic		only. At least two		p<0.0001). Agreement using the
		megacolon,		radiologists had to		systematic assessment was 87.4%,
		must be ruled		agree in order to		k=0.65 (z=7.2, p<0.0001). There were
		out"		classify		no differences in interrater reliabilities
		Children		roentgenograms		between pairs of radiologists.
		younger than 4		either as in the		
		years old and		retention or		The study from which the systematic

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
		children who		nonretention		scoring system was derived has not
		had a soiling		category		been replicated, and the cut-off point of
		frequency of		Presence of stool in		10, might not be valid for all populations
		less than once		rectal examination		
		a month or who		was recorded in the		Source of funding:
		had recently		patient records as		Primary Care Faculty Development
		stopped soiling		"none", "small",		Fellowship Programme at Michigan
		were excluded		"moderate" or		State University, East Lansing.
				"large" amount.		
		Setting: two		Patients with		
		paediatric		moderate or large		
		incontinence		amounts of stool on		
		clinics, one		rectal examination		
		located in the		were classified as		
		ambulatory care		having stool in the		
		facility of a		rectum for		
		tertiary care		subsequent		
		hospital and the		analysis.		
		other at a				
		community		The specific		
		hospital		professional		
				qualification of the		
				person who		
				performed the rectal		
				examination was not		
				reported		

Prevalence of Coeliac Disease and Hypothyroidism in children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Reviewer comment
	level				Effect Size	
Bonamico et al.	Study type:	1202 patients	1202 patients	Tests:	Signs/symptoms (%):	Additional information from study
	Prospective			-Coeliac disease:		Levels of IgA AGA were measured by
clinical picture	cohort	<u>Inclusion</u>	609 males		-Group 1 (n=55):	enzymelinked immunosorbent assay by
of celiac		criteria:		Revised		the Alfa-gliatest (Eurospital, Trieste,
disease in	<u>Evidence</u>	Down's	1110 children	European	Growth failure 52.7	Italy). Levels of EMA IgA were
Italian down	<u>level</u> :	syndrome	age range: 15	Society of	Diarrhoea 41.8	evaluated by an indirect
syndrome	2+		months to 18 years	Paediatric	Vomiting 20	immunofluorescence method
patients: A		Exclusion		Gastroenterology,	Anorexia 18.2	(Eurospital, Trieste, Italy). Sections
multicenter	Study aim:	criteria:	92 adults	Hepatology and	Constipation 29.1	from the distal portion of monkey
study. 2001.	To estimate	IgA deficiency	age range 18 to 46	Nutrition	Distended abdomen 23.6	oesophagus were used as a substrate,
Journal of	the		years	(ESPGHAN)		and fluorescein-labeled goat antihuman
Pediatric	prevalence	Setting:		criteria Patients	-Group 2 (n=55):	IgA antibody was used as the second
Gastroenterolog	of coeliac	Community	Country:	selected for		antibody. The patients' serum was
y and Nutrition	disease (CD)		Italy	intestinal	Growth failure 10.9	diluted 1:5 in phosphate buffer at pH
33[2], 139-	in patients			biopsy on the	Diarrhoea 1.8	7.2. The presence of a brilliant green
143United	with Down		-Group 1: 55 CD	basis of EMA	Vomiting 1.8	network pattern under a fluorescence
States.	syndrome		patients diagnosed	positivity, AGA	Anorexia 1.8	microscope was taken as a positive
	and to define		by ESPGHAN	IgA positivity,	Constipation 14.5	result. Intestinal biopsies performed by
	the clinical		Criteria (36 males,	or both in children	Distended abdomen 14.5	Watson capsule or by paediatric or
	characteristi		aged 4 to 46 years)	< 2 years of age		adult endoscopes
	cs of CD				-Group 3 (n=57):	·
	among		-Group 2: 55 IgA	(AGA: antigliadin		Patients selected for intestinal biopsy
	Down		AGA-positive EMA	antibodies; EMA:	Growth failure 7	on the basis of both EMA positivity and
	Syndrome		negative DS	antiendomysium	<i>P</i> < 0.001	AGA IgA positivity in children < 2 years
	patients		patients (33 males,	antibodies; IgA:	Diarrhoea 6.9	of age, because in this age group, EMA
			aged 3 to 40 years)	immunoglobulin	<i>P</i> < 0.001	positivity may have a false-negative
			, ,	A)	Vomiting 1.7	result
			-Group 3: 57 IgA	,	P < 0.001	
			AGA-negative	-Down syndrome:	Anorexia 3.4	A detailed questionnaire was completed
			EMA-negative DS	confirmed by	P < 0.01	to obtain information about familial
			patients (34 males,		Constipation	gastroenterologic history with special
			aged 4 to 38 years)		8.8 <i>P</i> < 0.05	attention to feeding habits (breast milk

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comment
					Distended abdomen 15.5 NS P values are the results of comparing group 1 vs. group 2 and group 3	or formula, age of introduction of gluten- containing foods); gastrointestinal function, particularly the features of CD, such as chronic diarrhoea, vomiting, failure to thrive, and anorexia; presence of autoimmune or ne
						All patients were receiving a gluten- containing diet. Weight and height were evaluated using Down syndrome percentile charts (DSPC)
						The clinical features of 55 CD patients diagnosed by ESPGHAN Criteria (group 1) were compared with those observed in 55 IgA AGA-positive EMA negative DS patients (group 2) and in 57 IgA AGA-negative EMA-negative DS patients (group 3). Group 2 and group 3 patients were selected randomly from among the screened patients to be age and gender matched to group 1.
						18 symptomatic patients belonging to group 2 underwent intestinal biopsy and showed normal small bowel mucosa
						Parents of 8 EMA positive children and 2 EMA-positive adults did not give permission for intestinal biopsy to be performed and were not included among the 55 CD patients
						Reviewer comments: It is unclear whether some patients had EMA and others had AGA IgA measured alternatively, or whether all patients had both EMA and AGA IgA

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comment
						measured at the same. This considered it is also unclear why only IgA AGA-positive EMA-negative patients and IgA AGA-negative EMA-negative patients were chosen as control groups and there is no mention of the EMA-positive IgA AGA-negative group
						Source of funding: Not stated
Bingley et al. Undiagnosed coeliac disease at age seven:	Prospective cohort	5470 children Inclusion criteria:	5470 children age: 7.5 years gender not reported	Tests: -Coeliac disease: Two stage	Any constipation reported at age 6.75 years (No, %): -tTG antibody negative controls (n=4285	Additional information from study Children with tTG antibodies < 97.5 th centile were defined as antibody negative
Population based prospective birth cohort		Children aged 7.5 years participating In the Avon	Country: UK	radioimmunoassa	questionnaires): 435 (10)	Details of gastrointestinal symptoms and special diets collected by routine questionnaire at age 6.75 years
study. 2004. British Medical Journal 328[7435], 322- 323United	the	Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALPASC), a		y for antibodies to tissue transglutaminase (endomysial antigen) (tTG	-IgA-EMA positive (n=42 questionnaires): 6 (14) odds ratio (95% CI):	Total tTG antibody negative controls (n=5333 children). Total IgA-EMA positive children (n=54) (1.0%; 95% confidence interval 0.8 to 1.4)
Kingdom.	disease in the general	population based birth cohort study established in		antibodies) 2. If positive to previous, serum	1.48 (0.62 to 3.52) Other symptoms reported at age 6.75 years (No, %):	4324 children (79%) returned questionnaires
	population at age seven and to look	1990 Exclusion		IgA antiendomysial antibodies (IgA-	-tTG antibody negative controls (n=4285 questionnaires):	An additional 137 children were tTG antibody positive, but Ig-EMA negative
	for any associated clinical	criteria: Not stated		EMA) by indirect	any diarrhoea: 1450 (34) any vomiting: 1933 (45)	IgA-EMA were more common in girls (OR 2.12; 1.20 to 3.75). IgA-EMA positive children were shorter and
	features	Setting: Community		-Constipation:	any stomach pains: 2557 (60) ≥3 GI symptoms: 931 (22)	weighted less than those who tested negative for tTG antibody (p<0.0001 for all comparisons)
				Clinical variables	-IgA-EMA positive (n=42	. ,

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Reviewer comment
	level				questionnaires):	Since ALPASC is an observational
					questionnaires).	study based on analysis of anonymous
					any diarrhoea: 21 (50) odds ratio (95% CI): 1.96 (1.06 to 3.59)	samples, confirmatory biopsy was not possible
						Reviewer comments:
					any vomiting: 23 (55) odds ratio (95% CI): 1.47 (0.80 to 2.71)	Unclear how the symptom "constipation" was defined in the first place
					any stomach pains: 28 (66) odds ratio (95% CI): 1.35 (0.71 to 2.57)	No data regarding clinical symptoms at 6.75 years for 21% of the total sample
					≥3 GI symptoms: 17 (40) odds ratio (95% CI): 2.45 (1.33 to 4.5)	Sources of funding: Coeliac UK, Medical Research Council, Wellcome Trust, UK government departments, and various charitable organisations and commercial companies, ALSPAC is part of the WHO initiated European Longitudinal Study on Pregnancy and Childhood
Cataldo et al.	Study type:	1917 children	Total: 1917 children	Toet	Clinical pattern and presenting	Additional information from study
	Retrospectiv	1917 Cillidien	with CD	-coeliac disease:	symptoms at diagnosis (n=36)	Classical forms not clearly defined, but
	e case	<u>Inclusion</u>	With OD	diagnosis based	Symptoms at diagnosis (n=50)	included the following symptoms:
features in	series	criteria:	36 immigrant	on the revised	-Classical forms (25/36)	chronic diarrhoea, weight loss,
immigrant	001100	Italian and	children with CD	criteria of the	(69.4%):	abdominal distension and vomit
	<u>Evidence</u>	immigrant	15 males	European Society	(0011,0)	
	level:	children	age range 6		No child with constipation	Atypical forms included: iron-deficiency
An Italian	3	consecutively	months to 15 years	Gastroenterology	reported	anaemia, short stature, delayed
multicentre		diagnosed as	(mean 7.3)	and Nutrition		puberty, recurrent oral aphtae
study. 2004.	Study aim:	having CD		(ESPGAN):	-Atypical forms (9/36) (25%):	
3		between	1881 Italian			Silent forms included: serological
	the	January 1999 to			Abdominal pain with	screening of first degree relative, loss of
a - a	prevalence	December 2001	891 males	small intestinal	constipation :	Kerckring folds at endoscopy
	of immigrant		age range 6	mucosa with the	2/9	
States.	children with		months to 16 years	features of	011 (6/00) (5.50)	Clinical patterns in Italian children were
	coeliac disease (CD)	criteria: Not stated	(mean 7.9)	hyperplastic villous atrophy on	-Silent forms (2/36) (5.5%):	similar to those of immigrant children

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Reviewer comment
	level				Effect Size	
	in Italy, the		Country:	histological	No child with constipation	Reviewer comments:
	clinical	Setting:	Italy	examination of a	reported	Unclear how the symptom
	findings in	Hospital		biopsy specimen,		"constipation" was defined in the first
	these	(multicentre)		while the patient		place
	patients and			is eating		
	the possible			adequate		Presenting symptoms at diagnosis were
	relationship			amounts of gluten		not reported for Italian children
	between			2. Clear cut		Source of funding:
	immigration, dietary			clinical remission		Study supported by grants of Ministero
	habits and			on a strict gluten		dell'Universita e della Ricerca
	CD in			free diet with		Scientifica e Tecnologica (MURST)
	childhood			relief of all		60% di F.C.
	ormanood			symptoms of the		0070 411.01
				disease. This		
				response should		
				be reasonably		
				rapid occurring		
				within a matter of		
				weeks rather than		
				many months		
				3. The finding of		
				circulating		
				antibodies (IgA		
				gliadin,		
				antireticulin, and		
				antiendomysiun)		
				at time of		
				diagnosis and		
				their		
				disappearance		
				when the patient is taking a gluten		
				free diet add		
				weight to the		
				diagnosis		
Egan-Mitchell et	Study type:	112 children	112 children	Tests:	Incidence of constipation:	Additional information from study

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Reviewer comment
	level				Effect Size	
al. Constipation	Retrospectiv			-Coeliac disease		Growth retardation assessed on the
	e case	<u>Inclusion</u>	12 children with		12 children constipated at	graphs of Tanner and Whitehouse
	series	criteria:	constipation: 6	1. Clinical	some stage before diagnoses:	(1959) and subsequently confirmed by
1972. Archives		Coeliac disease	males, age range 6	variables:		catch-up growth following treatment
of Disease in	<u>Evidence</u>		to 102 months	undernutrition	-9 of those children presented	with gluten-free diet
Childhood	<u>level</u> :	<u>Exclusion</u>		and retarded	with constipation and faecal	
47[252], 238-	3	criteria:	Country:	growth.	impaction, of these 5 had	Mucosal damage according to authors'
240		Not stated	Ireland		intermittent diarrhoea and	classification (normal mucosa grade 0;
	Study aim:				constipation but 4 never had	mild non-specific change grade 1;
	To assess	Setting:		Grade 2/3 or	diarrhoea. Of these 4, 3	grade 2 and 3 correspond to moderate
	the	Regional and		grade 3 jejunal	children presented at around 1	and severe villous atrophy)
	incidence of	university		mucosal damage	year of age with anorexia,	
	constipation	hospitals			failure to thrive and faecal	Reviewer comments:
	in coeliac			-Constipation:	impaction	Unclear whether authors' classification
	disease					system for jejunal mucosa damage has
					-the 3 children who did not	been validated
					have faecal impaction when	
					investigated had histories of	Source of funding:
				consistency than	constipation alternating with	The main author was receiving a grant
				normal, or the	mild diarrhoea and all had	from the Medical Research Council of
					been given laxatives frequently	Ireland
				observation of	for their constipation	
				impaction of		
				abnormal		
				amounts of hard		
				(usually pale)		
				faeces in colon		
				and rectum		

Diagnostic Value of the Anorectal Manometry in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic	Study type	Number of	Population	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome	Reviewer comments
Information	& Evidence	patients	Characteristics	1) 0 1001 (0)	Measures	
	level	P			Effect Size	
Jarvi et al.	Study type:	81 patients	81 patients	Tests:	Rectoanal inhibitory reflex	Additional information from study
Anorectal	Retrospectiv		49 male	-Anorectal	(RAIR) and histology results	Records of all patients who met the
manometry with	e case	<u>Inclusion</u>		manometry:		inclusion criteria were reviewed
reference to	series	criteria:	median age at time		-RAIR present (N=40)	
operative rectal		Patients under	of ARM and biopsy:	Performed using		In each case ARM was performed
biopsy for the	<u>Evidence</u>	1 year of age	2 months (range	a 4-cm long rectal	HD: no children	under ketamine anaesthesia by a
diagnosis/exclu	<u>level</u> :	who presented	0.1 to 11 months)	balloon inflated	Normal histology: 39 children	consultant paediatric surgeon, and
sion of	III	with delayed		incrementally with	Hypoganglionosis: 1 child	operative rectal biopsy was taken
Hirschprung's		passage of	Country:	5 to 50 mL of air		simultaneously
disease in	Study aim:	meconium,	Finland		-RAIR absent (N=41)	
children under 1	To report on	abdominal		-Operative rectal		RAIR defined as greater than 25% drop
year of age.	the value of	distension and			HD: 33 children	in the anal sphincter pressure for at
2009.	anorectal	vomiting or			Normal histology: 8 children	least 5 seconds
International	manometry	constipation		Taken 3 cm		
Journal of	(ARM) with	who underwent			Diagnostic variables for ARM	Patients who had HD were significantly
Colorectal		ARM		in the posterior	and operative rectal biopsy in	younger at the time of investigation than
Disease 24[4],	operative				HD (%):	those who did not
451-	rectal biopsy	Exclusion		consisting of a		
454Germany.	in the	criteria:		generous,	-Biopsy:	In the case of patients diagnosed with
	diagnosis/ex			Iongitudinal		HD histology from bowel resected at
	clusion of	congenital			Sensitivity: 100	pull-through operation was consistent
		gastrointestinal			Specificity: 100	with pre-operative diagnosis in all cases
	J	malformations		submucosa	Positive predictive value: 100	
	in children	such as			Negative predictive value: 100	Operative rectal biopsy was adequate
	under 1 year					and diagnostic in all cases. There was
	of age and	anomaly, funnel			-ARM:	one case of rectal bleeding following
	on the	anus or				biopsy which required suturing in
	prognostic	gastroschisis			Sensitivity: 100	theatre
	significance				Specificity: 83	
	of a normal				Positive predictive value: 80	Reviewer comments:
	RAIR in				Negative predictive value: 100	Unclear how the reviewing process was
	these					conducted

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
	patients					Unclear how the biopsy specimens were processed and analysed
						Source of funding: Not stated
Lee et al.	Study type:	105 children	105 children	Tests:	Rectoanal inhibitory reflex	Additional information from study
Allergic proctitis	Retrospectiv		61 boys	-Anorectal	(RAIR) and histology results	Severe abdominal distension defined as
and abdominal	e case	<u>Inclusion</u>		manometry:		an abdominal wall that protruded, was
distention	series	criteria:	Mean age: 2.1 ±		-RAIR absent (N=48)	shiny and tense upon palpation
mimicking		Infants < 6	0.9 months	Performed by		
Hirschsprung's	<u>Evidence</u>	months of age	_	paediatricians	HD: 34	Reviewer comments:
disease in	<u>level</u> :	with severe	Country:	using a silicon	Normal histology: 10	Unclear how the reviewing process was
infants. 2007.	Ш	abdominal	Korea	rubber catheter	AP: 2	conducted
Acta		distension that		with an array of 8	IND: 2	
Paediatrica,	Study aim:	mimicked HD		channels of		Unclear what was the order in which
International	To evaluate	referred to		sensors. Sedation		investigations were carried out
Journal of	the	department of		with chloral	-RAIR present (N=57)	
Paediatrics	incidence	paediatrics and		hydrate for the		Source of funding:
96[12], 1784-	and clinical	division of		procedure was	HD: 5	Not stated
1789United	aspects of	paediatric .		used	Normal histology: 43	
Kingdom.	allergic	surgery and			AP: 5	
		underwent all		-Suction rectal	IND: 4	
	in patients	triple tests		biopsy:	Dia sus satis sus siables for ADM	
	with	including		T-1 (4	Diagnostic variables for ARM	
	symptoms	barium enema,		Taken from 4	and rectal suction biopsy in HD	
	that mimic	anorectal		different sites	<u>(%):</u>	
		manometry and		using a rectal	Dianau	
	g's disease	rectal suction		suction biopsy	-Biopsy:	
	(HD). In	biopsy. Some		tube. Biopsy sites	Sanaitivity:	
	addition authors	patients had associated		were 3cm and 5 cm for anal verge.	Sensitivity: 92.31% (CI: 76.68 to 97.35)	
	determined	symptoms like		When ganglion	Specificity:	
	the	constipation,		cells were	100 % (94.50 to 100.00)	
	sensitivity	poor oral intake,		observed to be	Positive predictive value 100%	
	and	vomiting, poor		present with	Negative predictive value:	
		weight gain and		normal	95.65%	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
	anorectal manometry and suction rectal biopsy used for evaluation of HD	diarrhoea Exclusion criteria: Coeliac disease and cystic fibrosis not considered in the differential diagnosis because are extremely rare in Korea		appearance on haematoxylin-eosin staining HD was excluded. HD was finally diagnosed with full thickness biopsy	-ARM: Sensitivity: 87.18% (CI: 73.29 to 94.90) Specificity: 78.79% (CI: 67.49 to 86.92) Positive predictive value: 70.83% Negative predictive value: 91.23%	
Kong et al. Screening Hirschsprung's disease by anorectal manometry. 1993. Chinese Journal of Gastroenterolog y 10[1], 29- 32Taiwan, Province of China.	Study type: Retrospective case series Evidence level: III Study aim: To evaluate the possibility of using anorectal manometry (ARM) for screening Hirschsprung's disease (HD)	39 patients Inclusion criteria: Children with constipation or suspected HD Exclusion criteria: Systemic diseases like hypothyroidism or neurologic disorders	39 patients age range: 3 days to 9 years (no other details provided) Country: Taiwan	manometric probes with internal diameter of 6 mm used. Entire system closed and water filled. Multiple-channel recorder used for recording results. No previous bowel preparation. Stimulus balloon placed from 3 to 5 cm from anal verge, depending on size of	Sensitivity: 100	Additional information from study A normal reflex (RAIR) was present when rythmicity of internal sphincter contractility was totally inhibited by rectal distension accompanied by a simultaneous drop of internal sphincteric pressure of 5mmHg or more. A positive rectoanal response consisted of 3 successive pressure falls, each immediately following upon rectal distension by balloon. When rythmicity and internal sphincter pressure remained unchanged following rectal distension, the amount of air was increased gradually to 10 cc for neonates and 50 cc for children. If RAIR was absent, a negative response was recorded The final diagnosis of HD was made by patient's clinical history, barium enema and rectal suction biopsy Inconclusive results with manometry due to poor tracing of internal sphincter

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
				uncooperative		contraction as a result of oversedation
				patients intramuscular		(n=2) and to anal stenosis (n=1)
				injection with		Reviewer comments:
				mixture of		No definition of constipation given
				chlorpromazine,		g
				promethazine and		Insufficient details on how HD was
				meperidine with		diagnosed
				or without		
				intravenous		It is not completely clear whether or not
				diazepam was given		all patients underwent rectal biopsy but it looks as this was probably the case
				giveri		it looks as this was probably the case
				- Rectal suction		The 3 children in whom manometry was
				biopsy (no other		inconclusive were not included in the
				details provided)		calculation of the diagnostic variables
						and this introduces bias
						O
						Source of funding: Not stated
Penninckx et al.	Study type:	261 patients	261 patients	Tests:	Rectoanal inhibitory reflex	Additional information from study
Pitfalls and	Prospective	201 patients	201 patients	-Anorectal	(RAIR) and histology results	In no case the result of a rectal biopsy
limitations of	•	Inclusion	-gender not	manometry	1	was known at the time of manometry
testing the		criteria:	reported for all		-RAIR equivocal result	,
rectoanal	<u>Evidence</u>	Patients	patients	No special bowel	(absent?):	RAIR considered to be present if the
inhibitory reflex	<u>level</u> :	referred for		preparation given.	9 children	anal pressure decreased on rectal
in screening for	Ш	anorectal	-Age:	if a considerable		distension followed by recovery of the
hirschsprung's		manometry in	< 6 months: 94	amount of faecal	HD: 4	basal tone. RAIR was also considered
disease. 1990.	Study aim: To better		(36%)	impaction was	Normal histology: 5	to be present if the typical anal pressure
Pediatric Surgery		or exclude Hirschsprung's	6 month to 6 years: 106 (41%)	found, patients were sent back	-RAIR equivocal result	waves were clearly abolished
International	traps and	disease. All	6 to 15 years: 47	for evacuating	(present?):	Confident interpretation of the RAIR
5[4], 260-		patients had	(18%)	enema (s) and	8 children	was made in 232/261 patients (89%):
265Germany.		presented with	2 adolescents and	reexamination	5	RAIR present in 207 cases and absent
	rectoanal	constipation	12 adults (5%)	planned for the	HD: 2	in 25. The result of this first manometric
	inhibitory	varying from	` ′	next day.	Normal histology: 6	evaluation was verified either by biopsy
		slight to	Country:	Children not		or by repeated manometry in 54 cases.
	(RAIR), how	intractable, with	Belgium	sedated. Entire	-RAIR confident interpretation:	In other cases the clinical evolution did

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
	frequently they occur and the possible explanations for equivocal or false results	highly differing durations ranging from neonatal ileus to chronic constipation in adults Exclusion criteria: Not stated		degassed water. Multiple-channel	Incidence of false results and age of patients at first manometry -In <1 month old: 5/22 (22.7.8%)	not warrant further investigation. Manometrically the following factors prevented examiners from reaching a definite conclusion: low anal tone (n=8), restlessness of patient (n=7), reflex external sphincter contraction partially or completely masking possible RAIR (n=4), presence of megarectum (n=3), artifacts (n=1), unstable RAIR (n=6) Reviewer comments: Not all children underwent both manometry and biopsy: 261 patients underwent manometry and only 24 underwent biopsy Details of both the manometry and biopsy results were reported only in cases where the RAIR was equivocal in the first manometry and in those children where the result proved to be false (either negative or positive). Considering this it is not possible to calculate the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values of the anorectal manometry The incidence of false results in manometry performed by different examiners is reported in the paper, but there are missing data not accounted for and therefore we do not report it here Source of funding: Not stated
Low et al. Accuracy of	Study type: Prospective	50 children	50 children	Tests: -Anorectal	Rectoanal inhibitory reflex (RAIR) and histology results	Additional information from study 5 children (10%) required repeat full-

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
anorectal	case series	Inclusion	(data available for	manometry		thickness biopsy for inadequate
manometry in		criteria:	45 children)		-RAIR absent (N=16)	sampling
the diagnosis of	<u>Evidence</u>	Children	31 male	Performed as a		
	<u>level</u> :	referred	14 female		HD: 15	All children underwent both manometry
	III	consecutively to			Normal histology: 1	and biopsy.
Journal of		one of the	Age range birth to	children under 4		
Pediatric		authors for	11 months	years of age who	-RAIR present (N= 34)	Biopsy specimens prepared in paraffin
Gastroenterolog			_	were unable to		sections and stained with haematoxylin
	,	manometric	Country:		HD: 4	and eosin. Up to 60 6-µm-thick serial
9[3], 342-346	anorectal	studies	Singapore	tested after oral	Normal histology: 30	sections of each specimen were
	manometry			sedation with		examined histologically by pathologist
	in the	<u>Exclusion</u>		chloral hydrate	Diagnostic variables for ARM,	for ganglion cells and hypertrophied
		criteria:			total sample N=50 (%):	nerve bundles. Specimens not including
		Not stated		0	A	the submucosal layer were considered
	g's disease			-Suction rectal biopsy	Accuracy: 90 Sensitivity: 79	inadequate and repeat full-thickness
	(HD) using histological			rectal biopsy	Specificity: 97	operative rectal biopsies were taken
	aganglionosi			Suction rectal	Positive predictive value: 94	A normal reflex was present when
	s as the				Negative predictive value: 88	rythmicity of internal sphincter
	reference			without	l l	contractility was totally inhibited by
	point for final			anaesthesia by	Diagnostic variables for ARM,	rectal distension accompanied by
	diagnosis			paediatric	neonates N=10 (%):	simultaneous drop in internal
	diagriosis			surgeon on	<u> </u>	sphincteric pressure. Rythmicity and
					Accuracy: 90	tone recovered when rectal distension
					Sensitivity: 86	was removed. When rythmicity and
				4 cms from the	Specificity: 100	internal sphincter pressure remained
					Positive predictive value: 100	virtually unchanged after rectal
					Negative predictive value: 75	distension a negative response was recorded
				biopay act.	Diagnostic variables for ARM,	lecolued
					infants N=18 (%):	No complications encountered with
					<u> </u>	manometry in all 50 children studied
					Accuracy: 94.4	manomotry in all 50 officien studied
					Sensitivity: 90	Reviewer comments:
					Specificity: 100	No definition of constipation/idiopathic
					Positive predictive value: 100	constipation given
					Negative predictive value: 89	grandin given
						Unclear what "infant" meant for authors

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
						Source of funding: Research grant (RP53/81) from the National University of Singapore, Singapore

Diagnostic Value of the Plain Abdominal Radiography in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
Reuchlin-	Study type:	6 studies (3	Otherwise healthy	Test and	Diagnostic value:	MEDLINE searched from inception to
Vroklage et al.	Systematic		children aged from	<u>Reference</u>		April 2004, search terms reported and
Diagnostic	Review		1 to 18 years old	Standard (studies	(LR: Likelihood ratio)	comprehensive. Results of this search
value of			with signs and	could treat either		combined with search strategy specific
abdominal	<u>Evidence</u>		symptoms related	test as the	-Ability of the abdominal	to identify diagnostic studies.
radiography in	<u>level</u> :	re-examination	to constipation.	reference	radiography to discriminate	References lists of reviews articles and
constipated	1+	of abdominal	Some studies	standard)	between clinically constipated	included studies checked for further
children: a		radiographs	included children		and non constipated children	relevant articles. Experts in the field
systematic	Study aim:		with soiling or	-Faecal loading	(4 studies):	contacted and asked to identify
review. 2005.	to evaluate		encopresis, while	on plain		published and unpublished studies. No
Archives of	the	criteria:	others exclude this	abdominal	1.	language restrictions applied
Pediatrics and	additional		group	radiography	Sensitivity: 76 (95% CI: 58 to	
Adolescent	diagnostic	observational		according to a	89)	Two reviewers independently screened
Medicine	value of the	studies	Country:	predefined	Specificity: 75 (95% CI: 63 to	the titles and abstracts f studies
159[7], 671-678	plain		The Netherlands	scoring system	85)	identified by the searches for eligibility.
	abdominal	relationship		(reference test in	LR: 3.0 (95% CI: 1.6 to 4.3)	All potentially relevant studies were
	radiography	between faecal		3 studies)		retrieved as full papers and
	in the	loading on plain			2.	independently screened by two
		abdominal		-Clinical	Sensitivity: 60 (95% CI: 46 to	reviewers. Any disagreements were
	constipation	radiography and		diagnosis of	72)	resolved through consensus or by
	in children	symptoms and		constipation	Specificity: 43 (95% CI: 18 to	arbitration of a third reviewer
		signs related to		according	71)	
		constipation in		to the presence	LR: 1.0 (95% CI: 0.5 to 1.6)	Methodological quality of studies
		otherwise		or absence of		assessed using the QUADAS tool. An
		healthy children		predefined	3.	overall methodological quality value
		aged from 1 to		symptoms and	Sensitivity: 80 (95% CI: 65 to	was assigned to studies by calculating
		18 years old		signs (reference	90)	the number of positive scores
				test in 3 studies)	Specificity: 90 (95% CI: 74 to	(maximum value 14). Studies with
		Exclusion			98)	scores of 9 or higher >60%) were
		criteria:		In the 6 studies	LR: 8.0 (95% CI: 0.7 to 17.1)	arbitrarily regarded as being of "high"
		Lack of control		included, 3		methodological quality. Two reviewers
		group, no data		different scoring	4.Accuracy 80% (95% CI: 50	independently assessed the

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		on diagnostic value presented, symptoms of constipation not related to the outcomes of a plain abdominal radiography Setting: all 6 studies hospital based		systems for assessing impaction on abdominal radiography were used: 3 studies: Barr- score	to 100) Ability of the clinical examination to discriminate between radiographically constipated and non constipated children (1 study): Sensitivity: 77 (95% CI: 70 to 84) Specificity: 35 (95% CI: 27 to 44) LR: 1.2 (95% CI: 1.0 to 1.4) -Association between a history of hard stool and faecal impaction on radiography: LR: 1.2 (95% CI, 1.0 to 1.4) -Association between a finding of absence of rebound tenderness and faecal impaction on radiography: LR: 1.1 (95% CI, 1.0 to 1.2) -Association between stool present on rectal examination and faecal impaction on abdominal radiography: LR: 1.6 (95% CI, 1.2 to 2.0) LR: 1.5 (95% CI, 0.8 to 2.3)	methodological quality of the independent studies. Any disagreements were resolved by consensus or through consultation with third reviewer. Reviewers scored 84 items and agreed on 65 item (77.4%, k=0.54) Structured data extraction performed independently by two reviewers and any disagreement resolved by consensus Source of funding: Not reported

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					5 studies: moderate to excellent (k range, 0.63 to 0.95) 1 study: poor to moderate (k=0.28 to 0.060) Intraobserver reliability: Evaluated in 3 studies, ranged from moderate (k=0.52) to excellent (k≥0.85)	
de Lorijn et al. The Leech method for diagnosing constipation: intra- and	Study type: Diagnostic. Case control Evidence level:	89 non selected consecutive children Inclusion criteria: patients	89 children Median age: 9.8 years Group 1	Test: Leech method to diagnose constipation in plain abdominal radiography	Mean Leech score (using the first score): -Group 1 (constipation): 10.1 -Group 2 (controls): 8.5	Children with clinical characteristics of FAP and FNRFI were classified as the control group, because according the authors they have "little or no faecal loading on an abdominal radiograph"
interobserver variability and accuracy. 2006. Pediatric	Study aim: to assess	referred for the evaluation of abdominal pain, constipation or	(constipation): n=52 (28 boys) Group 2 (controls):	Reference test: Colonic Transit Time (CTT)	p=0.002 Mean CTT: -Group 1 (constipation): 92 h	Treatment with oral/rectal laxatives was discontinued in each patient for at least 4 days. Thereafter the patient ingested one capsule with 10 small radiograph
Radiology 36[1], 43-49	intra- and interobserver variability and determine diagnostic accuracy of	faecal incontinence. Diagnosis of constipation: at least two of the following was present:	N=37 (24 boys) 31: FNRFI 6: FAP Diagnosis of functional non-	Leech scoring method: Colon divided into three segments: right, left and recto sigmoid	-Group 2 (controls): 37 h p<0.0001 Diagnostic accuracy of Leech method vs. CTT method:	opaque markers on 6 consecutive days, in order to determine the CTT. Subsequently, a plain abdominal radiograph was taken on day 7. this radiograph was both used in the Leech method and for CTT measurement
	the Leech method in identifying children with functional	-defecation frequency less than 3 times/week -2/more episodes of	retentive faecal incontinence (FNRFI) based on: 1) two/more faecal incontinence episodes/week with	Each segment provided with a score from 0-5 0:no faeces visible 1:scanty faeces	-Leech method: (cut-off point as per study comparable to 9 as per literature) Sensitivity: 75% Specificity: 59%	Three scorers independently scored the same radiography twice (4 weeks apart) using the Leech method, which was discussed amongst the three scorers previous to both readings
		faecal incontinence per week -production of	no signs of constipation 2) defecation frequency 3/more	visible 2: mild faecal loading 3: moderate	(cut-off point 9 as per literature) Positive Predictive Value: 72%	Scorers were three experienced doctors (a 5 th year radiology resident, a paediatric radiologist and a senior paediatric gastroenterologist). No

Bibliographic	Study type	Number of	Population	Type of test and	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV	Reviewer comment
Information	& Evidence	patients &	Characteristics	Reference	and NPV	
	level	prevalence		standard		
		large amounts	times/week 3) no	faecal loading	Negative Predictive Value:	clinical information was about the
		of stool once	periodic passage of	4: severe faecal	63%	patients was made available to them.
		over a period of	very large amounts	loading		
		7-30 days	of stool at least	5: severe faecal	-CCT:	A Leech score of 9 or more was
		-presence of	once during a	loading with	(cut-off point 54h as per study)	considered as suggestive of
		palpable	period of 7-30 days	bowel dilatation	Sensitivity: 79%	constipation.
		abdominal or	4) no palpable		Specificity: 92%	
		rectal mass	abdominal or rectal	Colonic transit		CTT were assessed once by a single
			mass on physical	time:	(cut-off point 62h as per	scorer. It was assumed that the
		`	examination for a	Determined by	literature)	counting of radiopaque markers would
		fulfilled criteria	period of at least 1	the method of	Sensitivity: 71%	not lead to intra- or interobserver
		for functional	week during the	Bouchoucha.	Specificity: 95%	variability
			preceding 12		Positive Predictive Value: 69%	
		(FAP) and for	weeks. Faecal		Negative Predictive Value:	In 5% of cases the Leech scores of the
			incontinence	count the number	97%	same patient produced by different
			defined as the	of markers in the		scorers could differ by 4 points or more
		incontinence	voluntary/involuntar		ROC analysis	
		(FNRFI))	y loss of loose	markers x 2		Source of funding: not stated
			stools in the	produced total	-AUC (Leech method):	
		Exclusion	underwear after the		0.68 (95% CI 0.58-0.80)	
		criteria: not	age of 4 years	Localization of	-AUC (CTT method):	
		reported	Functional		0.90 (95% CI 0.83-0.96)	
		0	abdominal pain	calculated	0.00045	
			(FAP) defined as	according to	p=0.00015	
			abdominal pain of	previously	AUC=Area Under the ROC	
		y outpatients	at least 12 weeks	described	curve	
		clinic	duration 1)that was	formula. Normal	ROC=Receiving Operator	
			continuous or	range for total transit time based	Characteristic	
			nearly discontinuous in a	on the upper	Intraobserver variability (Leech	
			school-aged child	limits (mean ±		
			or adolescent 2)	2xSD) from a	score)	
			that had no or only	study in healthy	a. Systematic difference	
			an occasional	children. Based	(Mean, 95% CI):	
			relationship with	on this study a	-Scorer 1	
			physiological		0.7 (0.2-1.2)	
			events 3) that was	considered	P=0.89	
			accompanied by	delayed.		

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
			some loss of daily functioning 4) that was not feigned and) for which there were insufficient criteria to indicate the presence of		-Scorer 2 0.03 (-0.4-0.5) P=0.0005 -Scorer 3 -1.6 (-2.0-1.3) P<0.0001	
			another functional gastrointestinal disorder		b. Variability (SD) -Scorer 1: 2.2 Limits of agreement: -6.0-5.0	
			Country: The Netherlands		-Scorer 2 : 2.2 Limits of agreement: -7.0-7.0	
					-Scorer 3: 1.5 Limits of agreement: -5.0-3.0	
					Interobserver variability (using the first score): -Scorer 3 vs. scorer 1: Mean of differences 2.7 p<0.0001	
					-Scorer 3 vs. scorer 2: Mean of differences 2.9 p<0.0001	
					- Scorer 2 vs. scorer 1: no systematic differences found	
van den Bosch et al. Systematic	Study type: Diagnostic retrospective	40 patients Inclusion	40 patients Mean age 7 years	Test and Reference Standard (all	Intraobserver variability (k values)	Masked abdominal radiographs of the children were independently evaluated by two observers, both experienced
assessment of constipation on	case series	criteria: consecutive	(range 3-12) 55% boys	tests compared to each other)	-Observer 1: Barr: 0.75	paediatric radiologists. Observers assessed each radiograph on two

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
	<u>Evidence</u>	patients			Blethyn: 0.61	separate occasions, 6 weeks apart.
radiographs in	<u>level</u> :	referred to	Country:	-Barr scoring	Leech: 0.88	Each abdominal radiograph was scored
	III	hospital for	The Netherlands	system		according to the three different scoring
Pediatric		assessment of		-Leech scoring	-Observer 2:	systems
	Study aim:	constipation.		system	Barr: 0.66	
224-226	To assess	Patients		, ,	Blethyn: 0.65	Intraobserver variability was determined
	the	complained of		system	Leech: 1.00	for each scoring system by comparing
	reproducibilit					data from the same observer at two
	y of there	defection,		Barr scoring	Interobserver variability (k	different reading sessions.
	scoring	soiling,		system:	values)	Interobserver reproducibility was
	systems	encopresis, or		Quantifies the		determined by comparing data from the
		abdominal pain		amount of faeces	-Period 1	two observers on one occasion. Thus
	and Blethyn)				Barr: 0.45	two intraobserver and two interobserver
	for plain	<u>Exclusion</u>			Blethyn: 0.43	variabilities could be derived for each
	abdominal	criteria:		(ascending colon,	Leech: 0.91	parameter. Kappa coefficients were
	radiography,	None reported		transverse colon,		calculated as indicators of intra- and
	in order to			descending colon		interobserver variability.
	determine	Setting:		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Barr:0.71	
	which one is	hospital		also the	Blethyn: 0.31	
	most useful			consistency of the	Leech: 0.84	
	in clinical			faces i.e. granular		
	practice			or rocky stools	All k values are statistically	
				Constipation	significant (p<0.05)	
				defined as Barr		
				score>10	Kappa (k) coefficients (level of	
					agreement):	
				Blethyn system:	<0.20: poor	
				Rough scoring	021-0.40: fair	
					0.41-0.60: moderate	
				assess amount of	0.61-0.80: good	
				faeces in large	0.81-1.00: very good	
				bowel		
				-Normal, grade 0:		
				faeces in rectum		
				and cecum only		
				-Grade 1, mild		
				constipation:		
				faeces in rectum,		

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
		•		cecum and		
				discontinuous		
				elsewhere		
				-Grade 2,		
				moderate		
				constipation:		
				faeces in rectum,		
				cecum with		
				continuous		
				faeces affecting		
				all segments		
				-Grade 3, severe		
				constipation:		
				faeces in rectum		
				and caecum,		
				continuous		
				elsewhere with		
				dilated colon and		
				rectal impaction		
				Leech method:		
				The colon is		
				divided into three		
				segments:		
				1.ascending and		
				proximal		
				transverse colon		
				2.distal		
				transverse and		
				descending colon		
				3. rectosigmoid		
				Amount of faces		
				in each segment		
				scored from 0 to		
				5.		
				O indicates no		
				faeces and 5		
				severe faecal		

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
				loading and		
				bowel dilatation.		
				With a possible		
				score of 0-15, > 8		
				considered to		
				indicate		
	a		122 1111	constipation		
Giramonti et al.	Study type:	133 children	133 children	Test and		Authors defined constipation in the past
The association	Diagnostic		35 males	Reference	of constipation and faecal load	as "at least 2 weeks of hard, rock-like
of constipation	case control		Mean age: 5.6	Standard	on abdominal X-ray:	stools passed less than 3 times/week
with childhood	Cvidonos	criteria: Cases:	years (range: from	(not clear which	Correlation coefficient=0.08	without evidence of structural,
urinary tract infections.	Evidence level: III	Children with a history of UTIs	newborn to 14	one was what)	Correlation coefficient=0.06	endocrine or metabolic disease, other useful association include: abnormally
2005. Journal of	ievei. III	who were	vears)	-Abdominal		large stools, and difficult or painful
Pediatric	Study aim:	already	y cars)	radiograph (KUB)		defecation, associated with stools
Urology 1[4],	To evaluate	undergoing a	Group 1 (history of	radiograph (100)		accidents or faecal smearing in
273-278United	the	VCUG(voiding	UTI	-Clinical		undergarments
Kingdom.	relationship		n=100	variables:		a da gara da da
	between a	m), who were				Abdominal X-rays reviewed blindly by
	history of	on medications	Group 2 (no history	Number of bowel		three physicians: two paediatric
		for the	of UTI)	movements/week		radiologists an one paediatric urologist
	faecal	treatment of	n= 33			and score for faecal loading based on a
	loading on	constipation	_	Stools		previously validated scoring system
	X-rays and a		Country:	consistency		(Leech)
	history of	Children	USA			
	UTIs in an	undergoing a				Data collected prospectively on several
	office	plain film of the				historical questions about constipation
	practice	abdomen for reasons that did				shortly after the X-ray was performed, but before they were reviewed with the
		not include				family. An interviewer filled out the
		constipation/				history questionnaire using consensus
		UTIs (e.g. renal				of the child's and parents' responses.
		calculi,				Data were also obtained regarding a
		gastroesophage				history of UTI. No data on the
		al reflux)				interviewer are reported
		Exclusion				Constipation history responses were
		criteria:				scored from 1 to 3 and a total history

Bibliographic Study ty Information & Evider level		Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	Neurological bowel and/or bladder dysfunction or lower gastrointestinal problems. Children with no history of UTI who were undergoing a plain film of the abdomen for constipation or encopresis Setting: office practice				score was obtained scored were grouped as: 1-none or mild, 2-moderate, 3-severe Data derived from scores on faecal loading were averaged for each patient and the scores then grouped in the same way as previous. Questionnaire not piloted previous to the study As it was thought that children beyond toilet-training age would be more likely to have developed constipation related to overall elimination dysfunction and therefore UTIs as well, the data for children > 3 years were analysed separately

Diagnostic Value of the Rectal Biopsy in children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
Lewis et al.	Study type:	315 children	315 children:	Tests:	Clinical features in children	Additional information from study
Diagnosing	Retrospectiv			Rectal biopsy	with Hirschsprung's disease	Questionnaires, telephone interviews
Hirschsprung's	e cohort	<u>Inclusion</u>	-265 children who		and idiopathic constipation (IC,	and patients visits used to compile long-
disease:		criteria:	had undergone		<u>n=40)</u>	term data. In reporting features listed in
increasing the	<u>Evidence</u>	-Cohort 1:	rectal biopsy			the questionnaire only patients with
odds of a	<u>level</u> :	Children			-Onset of constipation <1 year	definite information were included: the
positive rectal	2+	presenting with	-50 children,		old	number of patients in each analysis
biopsy result.		constipation to	concurrent selected			varies to exclude those with missing
2003. Journal of		diagnose	cohort (cohort 2)		(%)	data
Pediatric	To test the	Hirschsprung's			HD: 65	
0 7 1 1	hypothesis	disease (HD)	Country:		IC: 13	Delayed passage of meconium defined
412-416	that key features in	-Cohort 2:	USA		P< 0.05	as failure to pass meconium in the first 48h of life. These data were available in
	the history,	idiopathic			Abdominal distension (%)	59% of cases
	physical	constipation			HD: 80	
	examination				IC: 42	Abdominal distension determined from
	and radiographic	Exclusion criteria:			P< 0.05	parental response to questionnaire or data noted during patients visits
	evaluation	Patients			Vomiting (%)	31
	would allow	undergoing re-			HD: 72	Enterocolitis defined as diarrhoea
	to avoid	evaluation for			IC: 21	associated with fever
	unnecessary	constipation			P< 0.05	
	rectal	after pull-				Reviewer comments:
	biopsies	through			Faecal impaction requiring	Data on clinical features not available
		procedure for			manual evacuation (%)	for all children
		HD			HD: 6	
					IC: 30	Unclear what kind of rectal biopsy was
					P< 0.05	performed and how the diagnosis of HD
						was made
					Enterocolitis (%)	
					HD: 13	Source of funding:
					IC: 15	Not stated

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
					NS -Onset of constipation >1 year	
					old Delayed passage of meconium	
					(%) HD: 81	
					IC: 1 P< 0.05	
					Abdominal distension (%) HD: 53 IC: 7 P< 0.05	
					Vomiting (%) HD: 23 IC: 0 P< 0.05	
					Faecal impaction requiring manual evacuation (%) HD: 46 IC: 30 NS	
					Enterocolitis (%) HD: 13 IC: 14 NS	
					Age at onset of symptoms -Hirschsprung's (HD) (n=46) Mean: 8 months (range 1 day	
					to 9 years) 1rst week of life: 60 %	
					1rst month of life: 70% 1rst year of life: 87%	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Reviewer comments
	level				Effect Size	
					after 1 year of life: 13%	
					-Idiopathic constipation (IC)	
					(n=40) Mean: 15 months (range 7	
					days to 16 years)	
					1rst week of life: 15%	
					1rst month of life: 55%	
					1rst year of life: 68%	
					after 1 year of life: 32%	
					and 1 year of me. 6276	
					At least 34% of HD patients	
					had the classic triad (delayed	
					passage of meconium +	
					vomiting + abdominal	
					distension). At least 1 feature	
					of the triad noted in 98% of	
					patients with HD. Only 60% of	
					patients with IC had a history	
					of delayed passage of	
					meconium, vomiting or	
					abdominal distension. 100 %	
					HD patients vs. 64% IC	
					patients had 1 or more of the	
					following: delayed passage of	
					meconium, vomiting,	
					abdominal distension and a	
					transition zone on contrast	
					enema. 36% of IC patients had	
D: : D : : :	0. 1.	444 11 1	444 (* 1	T (none of these features.	A LEG TO CO.
Pini-Prato et al.	Study type:	141 patients	141 patients	Tests:	Clinical variables	Additional information from study
Rectal suction	Retrospectiv	la aloraia.	median age: 20	-Rectal suction	a. Meconium passage (%)	Total number of biopsies: 1118
biopsy in the	e cohort	<u>Inclusion</u>	months	biopsy	-Failure/delay	performed on 429 patients (mean of 2.6
workup of	C. dalamaa	criteria:	mean 44 months ±	Oliminal variables	FC (n=45): 7	each). In 63 patients (14.7%) biopsies
childhood	<u>Evidence</u>	Patients with	67	-Clinical variables		inadequate for a reliable diagnosis
chronic	level: 2+	intestinal	Country.	•	IND (49): 22.5	absence of submucosal layer) 143
constipation:	Z+	dysganglinonos	Country:	a Maganium	EC vo. HD p =0.001	patients (33.3%) received a diagnosis
indications and		es (ID)	Italy	a. Meconium	FC vs. HD p<0.001	of ID. 96/143 fulfilled inclusion criteria,

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
diagnostic	Study aim:	(Hirschsprung's		passage		being 49 IND and 47 HD. 45
value. 2007.	To describe	disease (HD)		b. Symptoms	-Normal	consecutive patients with a diagnosis of
Pediatric	the clinical	and intestinal		onset	FC (n=45): 93	FC (out of the remaining 286 patients)
Surgery	features of a				HD (n=47): 13	fulfilled inclusion criteria and were
International	group	dysplasia (IND))			IND (49): 77.5	consequently included, for a total
23[2], 117-122	patients with			d. Abdominal	EQ. UD. 0.004	sample of 141
	intestinal	diagnosed in		distension	FC vs. HD p<0.001	D () () () () () () ()
		the period		e. Reported	L O	Rectal suction biopsies (RSB)
	` '	between		enterocolitis	b. Symptoms onset (%)	performed with the instrument Solo-
		February 2000		f. Failure to thrive	- at < 1year old	RBT ©. Each patient underwent 2 to 4
	g's disease	and July 2005		g. Palpable faecal		biopsies 2 to 10 cms from the pectinate
	(HD) and	Facilities			HD (n=47): 96	line. Various histochemical staining
	intestinal	Exclusion		h. Soiling	IND (49): 94	(AChE, LDH, ANE, NADPH-diaphorase
	neuronal	criteria:			FO UD 0.00	and Toluidine Blue) were used to
	dysplasia	Not stated			FC vs. HD p<0.02	diagnose HD and IND. All biopsies
	(IND)) along					were evaluated by a single, senior and
	with a group				- at > 1 year old	experienced pathologist.
	of				FC (n=45): 20	LID dis an acad by damage tractic as
	consecutive				HD (n=47): 4	HD diagnosed by demonstrating:
	patients with				IND (49): 6	- a dramatic increased in AChE-
	functional				FO UD 0.00	positive nerve fibres in the
	constipation (FC), to				FC vs. HD p<0.02	lamina propia and muscularis mucosae
	compare				c. Intestinal obstruction (%)	thick nerve trunks
	them and to				FC (n=45): 0	 absent ganglion cells in
	find out if the				HD (n=47): 49	submucosal
	clinical				IND (49): 26.5	
	criteria to					In case on negative RSB functional
	indicate				FC vs. HD p<0.001	constipation diagnosed according to
	rectal				·	Rome II criteria:
	suction				d. Abdominal distension (%)	At least 2 weeks of:
	biopsy in				FC (n=45): 20	-scybalous, pebble like, hard stools for
	constipated				HD (n=47): 85	a majority of stools
	children do				IND (49): 26.5	-firm stools 2 o less times/week
	exist				` '	absence of any organic cause of
					FC vs. HD p<0.001	constipation (IND, HD, anorectal
					'	malformations, spinal dysraphism,
					e. Reported enterocolitis (%)	metabolic disorders)

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
					FC (n=45): 9 HD (n=47): 10.5 IND (49): 20.5	Clinical variables retrospectively extracted from patients' notes
					FC vs. HD, NS f. Failure to thrive (%)	Reviewer comments: Unclear how the reviewing process was conducted
					FC (n=45): 11 HD (n=47): 27.5 IND (49): 22.5	Source of funding: Not stated
					FC vs. HD p<0.045	
					g. Palpable faecal masses (%) FC (n=45): 22 HD (n=47): 17 IND (49): 20.5	
					FC vs. HD, NS	
					h. Soiling (%) FC (n=45): 46.5 HD (n=47): 4 IND (49): 4	
					FC vs. HD p<0.001	
Khan et al. The constipated child: how likely	Study type: Retrospectiv e	182 patients Inclusion	182 patients 118 males	Tests: -Suction rectal biopsy (SRB) and	-Total number of patients diagnosed with HD: 25 (14%)	Additional information from study Clinical details, laboratory investigations and histopathological reports reviewed
is Hirschsprung's	case series	criteria: Patients who	Mean age 2.9 years	full-thickness rectal biopsy	-mean age of patients diagnosed with HD: 3.64	retrospectively
disease? 2003.	<u>Evidence</u>	presented with	(range 2 days to 16		months (range 2 days to 4	The Great Ormond Street (GOS)
Pediatric Surgery	level: 3	chronic constipation or	years)	-Clinical variables:	years)	suction instrument (modified Nobblet) was used. 2 of 4 specimens were
International		intestinal	Country:		Clinical symptoms in children	obtained at 2, 3 and 4 cm above the
19[6], 439-442	Study aim:	obstruction and	UK	a. Meconium	with HD (number of children):	dentate line, in the ward or theatre
	To review author's	had rectal biopsy to		passage b. Constipation	Meconium passed> 48 h:	without anaesthesias. All suction biopsy specimens were examined by routine

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Reviewer comments
	level				Effect Size	
		exclude HD in		since birth	-In total sample:	fixation with HE staining and AChE
		the University		c. Intestinal	< 1 year old: 35	histochemistry. All full thickness
		Hospital of		obstruction	>1 year old: 6	biopsies were done under general
		Wales, Cardiff			-In HD children: 16	anaesthesia and examined by routine
	Hirschsprun			e. Chronic	% of clinical feature to HD: 39	fixation with HE staining. The
		<u>Exclusion</u>		abdominal		histochemical criteria used for the
	` , ,	<u>criteria:</u>		distension	Meconium passed< 24 h:	diagnosis of HD were those of Meier-
		Not stated			-In total sample:	Ruge in 1972 i.e. the combination of an
	n-eosin (HE)				< 1 year old: 40	absence of submucosal ganglion cells
	staining and				>1 year old: 74	and an increased AChE activity with
	acetylcholine				-In HD children: 6	parasympathetic fibres of the
	sterase				% of clinical feature to HD: 5	muscularis mucosae and lamina propia
	(AChE)					mucosae. At least 60 sections were
	stains, and				Passage of meconium	examined from each block to find the
	author's				unknown:	submucosal ganglion cells
	clinical				-In total sample:	
	criteria to				< 1 year old: 29	Suction biopsy accepted as adequate
	perform				>1 year old: 17	even if only 1 out of 2 to 4 specimens
	rectal biopsy				-In HD children: 3	contained mucosa and sub-mucosa
	in these				% of clinical feature to HD: 11	400 notonto viko had rastal bionaica
	children				Canatinatian ainea hinth	182 patents who had rectal biopsies
					Constipation since birth:	provided355 specimens in which 79%
					-In total sample:	of suctions biopsies and 97% of full-
					< 1 year old: 33	thickness biopsies were adequate.
					>1 year old: 20 -In HD children: 17	Adequate biopsies include rectal mucosa and submucosal according to
					% of clinical feature to HD: 32	Noblett. In 20 children with HD the
					% of cliffical feature to FID. 32	diagnosis was made at the first attempt
					Intestinal obstruction:	by suction rectal biopsy. Repeat
					-In total sample:	biopsies performed on 14 (8%) of 182
					< 1 year old: 12	patients because of inadequate initial
					>1 year old: 12	biopsy, clarification of atypical
					-In HD children: 9	inervation and confirmation of false
					% of clinical feature to HD: 69	negative results. 19/104 patients who
					70 or omnour reactive to FID. 00	underwent SRB were > 1 year old.
					Failure to thrive:	Because 5 children (12 specimens) who
					-In total sample:	were older than 1 year had inadequate
					< 1 year old: 10	suction biopsies at beginning of series,

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Reviewer comments
	level				>1 year old: 8 -In HD children: 4 % of clinical feature to HD: 22 Chronic abdominal distension: -In total sample: < 1 year old: 6 >1 year old: 7 -In HD children: 3 % of clinical feature to HD: 23	it was decided that SRB was not suitable for children >1 year old. 3 patients with HD (aged 6 days, 12 days and 6 weeks) had false negative AChE staining. In these the diagnosis were later established from repeated biopsies: 1 full thickness biopsy, 1 laparotomy and 1 suction biopsy Reviewer comments: Unclear how the reviewing process was conducted No definition of constipation or other clinical symptoms given Authors explained that patients may have had more than one symptom, but these figures were not reported in the paper Source of funding: Not stated
Ghosh et al. Rectal biopsy in the investigation of constipation. 1998. Archives of Disease in Childhood 79[3], 266-268		141 children Inclusion criteria: All children who had rectal biopsy to exclude Hirschsprung's disease between January 1, 1993 and December 31, 1995 at Southampton	141 children age at biopsy: 1 day to 13 years gender not reported Country: UK	Tests: -Rectal biopsy: Noblett suction biopsy in children younger than 1 year Open transanal rectal biopsy under general anaesthesia performed at least 1cm above pectinate line, in	Features in history and examination -Hirschsprung's (n=17): age at diagnosis: 1 day to 3 years 14 children: < 4 weeks 1 child: 4 to 12 weeks 1 child: 12 weeks to 1 year 1 child: > 1 year history of delayed passage of meconium (>48h after birth): 10 (58.8%)	Additional information from study Histological diagnosis usually made on haematoxylin and eosin staining with at least 100 serial sections looked at in detail. Acetylcholinesterase used occasionally but not as the main method of diagnosis Constipation defined as a decreased frequency of bowel movements (<3/week), or a difficulty in defection which is perceived by the parents as a problem, requiring medication (oral or rectal) or manual intervention by the parents. This included anal stimulation

Information & E	udy type Evidence level	Number of patients	Population Characteristics	Type of test (s)	Follow-up & Outcome Measures Effect Size	Reviewer comments
Hirs g's c (HD ther avoi trau expe unn rectable)	dren with schsprun disease D) and reby sid the uma and sense of necessary	General Hospital Exclusion criteria: Not stated		older children or following repeated failure of Nobblet biopsy - Clinical variables: extracted from case notes	age of onset of constipation: all 17 children: < 4 weeks bleeding per rectum: 0 anal fissures:0 sever behavioural/emotional problems: 0 soiling: 0 enterocolitis: 8 (47%) -No Hirschsprung's (n=124) age at biopsy: 1 day to 13 years 20 children: < 4 weeks 12 children: 4 to 12 weeks 14 children: 12 weeks to 1 year 78 children: > 1 year history of delayed passage of meconium (>48h after birth): 17 (13.7%) age of onset of constipation: 40 children: < 4 weeks 32 children: < 4 weeks 32 children: > 1 year bleeding per rectum: 37 (30%) anal fissures: 14 (11%) sever behavioural/emotional problems: 10 (8%) soiling: 16 (13%) enterocolitis: 0	with cotton bud, holding the buttocks apart and manual evacuation History of onset of constipation was available in 136 of the 141 children (96%). The 5 children in whom this history could not be obtained from the notes were all older than 1 year (3 teenagers) and none had HD A total of 186 biopsies performed, with 22% failures. (Suction: total 74, 35% failures; Open: total 100, 14% failures, operative total 12, no failures) Reviewer comments: Unclear how the reviewing process was conducted Source of funding: Not stated

Diagnostic Value of the Abdominal Ultrasound in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
Klijn et al. The diameter of the rectum on ultrasonography as a diagnostic tool for constipation in children with dysfunctional voiding. 2004. Journal of Urology 172[5 Pt 1], 1986-1988	Ievel Study type: Diagnostic. Case control Evidence level: III Study aim: to prove the accuracy of the transverse diameter of the rectum on ultrasonogra phy as an additional parameter for diagnosing constipation in children with lower	prevalence 49 patients Inclusion criteria: Positive diagnosis of constipation, made by patient history and physical examination when the patient had at least 2 positive signs, including: -2 or fewer	Characteristics 49 patients aged between 5-13 years Group 1: 23 patient s with positive history of voiding dysfunction and constipation Group 2: 26 urological patients without lower urinary tract dysfunction and a normal defecation pattern, diagnosed with undescended testicle, periodic control for upper urinary tract dilatation, etc. Country: UK	Reference standard Test: Iower abdominal ultrasound of rectum Reference Standard: None reported	And NPV Rectal diameter (cm) (Mean, standard deviation, 95% CI) -Group 1 (constipated, n=23): 4.9 (1.01; 4.4 to 5.3) -Group 2 (control, n=26) 2.1 (0.64; 1.8 to 2.4) p<0.001	Ultrasound done with the patient supine. 7.5 MHz probe applied on abdominal skin approximately 2cm above the symphysis. Measurement performed with moderate (30-70 % capacity of for age) filled bladder at an angle of about 15 degrees downward from the transverse plane. The diameter of the rectum, behind the bladder was measured twice. If stools had been passed in the last two hours or patients had an urge to defecate during the investigation the were not included in the study, but this situation did not occur In all patients it was possible to obtain a reliable and repeatable measurement of the rectum if at least some bladder filling was present It was not reported who performed the ultrasound, or whether this person was blinded No significant difference in age between the two groups (p=0.20) or in period between the last time a stool was passed prior to the rectal measurement
		-palpable abdominal				(p=0.16)

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	and/or rectal mass Exclusion criteria: laxative therapy, constipation due to neurological disease, disease of the gastrointestinal tract based on endocrinological, metabolic, genetic or toxic disease, or connective tissue disease Setting: hospital		standard		In all patients with voiding dysfunction and faecal constipation (Group 1) rectal examination confirmed stool in the rectum, but there are no data reported on this variable for the control group, probably for ethical reasons Source of funding: Not stated
of pelvic ultrasound in the diagnosis of megarectum in children with constipation. 2005. Journal of Pediatric	Study type: Diagnostic. Case control Evidence level: III Study aim: to establish	177 children Inclusion criteria: Children referred after failing to respond to medical treatment.	177 children Group 1: 82 children (median age 5.5 years, range 0.30-15.30) with no history of constipation or other anorectal or gastrointestinal	Test: Pelvic ultrasound Reference test: none reported	Median rectal crescent (cm) Group 1 (healthy children): 2.4 (range 1.3 to 4.2; IQR 0.72) Group 2 (children with constipation):	A portable US machine with a 5-MHz probe (falcon 2101 Ultrasound scanner with a transducer type 8803 [3.0-5.0 MHz], B-K Medical, Copenhagen, Denmark) was used. The same individual performed all the US scans, but not other data on this were reported (as blinding, individual's experience in radiology, etc)
1941-1944	normal values for the rectal crescent in healthy children,	Diagnosis of constipation made once the child had 2 or more of the following:	problems and no previous anorectal surgery Group 2: 95 children (median		3.4 (range 2.10 to 7.0; IQR 1.0) p<0.001 IQR= interquartile range	All children had a full or partially full bladder at the time of measurement. In cases where the child was initially scanned and the bladder was noted to be empty, the US was abandoned and

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	children with constipation and explore whether pelvic ultrasound can hep in establishing a diagnosis of	passage of a large stool with discomfort or pain -a palpable abdominal mass on physical examination faecal soiling in the presence of any of the above Exclusion criteria: Previous anorectal surgery (e.g. pull-through procedures for Hirschsprung's disease or anorectal myectomy) Setting: tertiary referral centre	age 6.5 years, range 0.40-16.40) with a history of constipation of at least 6 months duration, referred to a tertiary referral centre Country: UK		Receiver operating characteristic analysis: -Area under the curve: 0.847 95% CI: 0.791 to 0.904 Cut-off point for establishing the diagnosis of megarectum: 3.0 cm	the child was offered liberal fluids orally. The scan was repeated within an hour and in all cases, by then, the child had a full or partially full bladder The US probe was applied on the anterior abdominal wall in the midline, approximately 1-2 cm above the symphysis at a 90 degrees angle to the abdominal wall. This showed the impression of the rectum behind the urinary bladder as a crescent which was measured in centimetres There were no significant differences between the two groups in terms of age, weight and height (p values 0.114, 0.198 and 0.131 respectively) Results were adjusted for confounders (age, height and weight) Age and rectal diameter were significantly related (p<0.0001): the older the child the bigger the rectal diameter Time to last evacuation was not ascertained and authors acknowledged this may influence the size of the rectal crescent Source of funding: not stated
Bijos et al. The usefulness of ultrasound examination of	Study type: Diagnostic Case control	225 children Inclusion criteria:	225 children Group 1: 120 children with	Test: Abdominal ultrasound	Diameters of rectal ampulla by US (mm, mean ± SD) Age (years)	US assessment of stool retention and colonic enlargement involved measurement of the transverse diameter of the rectal ampulla (by US)

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV	Reviewer comment
Information	level	prevalence	Characteristics	standard	allu NFV	
the bowel as a	Evidence	Referred	chronic constipation	Reference tests:	-Group 1 (constipated):	and pelvic width (externally using a
	<u>level</u> :	because of	(72 boys, mean age		All ages:	measuring tape) Pelvic width was
assessment of	III	chronic	6.25 years, range	diagnosing faecal	43. 06 ± 9.68 (range 30 to 82)	defined as the distance between the
functional		constipation,	1.6 to 17.9)	impaction)		external margins of the anterior superior
		based on			≤3: 38.35 ± 8.65	iliac spines. The ratio between the
	to determine		Group 2:	Transit times	3.1 to 6: 41.16 ± 8.72	transverse diameter of the rectal
	whether a	physical	105 children with		6.1 to 12: 46.15 ± 9.56	ampulla and transverse diameter of the
	new method		normal defecation	of 66 based on	>12 years: 49.09 ± 10.19	pelvis was calculated to give the
	of ultrasound		pattern (mean age	<u>literature)</u>		rectopelvic ratio.
	(US)	disorders	8.25 years)		-Group 2 (control):	
-		persisting	_	≤66: normal-	All ages:	US was performed using a Philips HDI
	of stool	longer than 6	Country:	transit	31. 83 ± 8.24 (range not	4000 US unit (Philips, Best, The
		months, all	Poland	constipation	given)	Netherlands) equipped with three
		patients fulfilled				electronic transducers with various
	used as a	Rome II criteria		66-100: slow-	≤3: 27.07 ± 8.00	frequencies from 2-14 MHz. children
		for defecation		transit	3.1 to 6: 29.25 ± 6.86	were examined before food and had a
	identifying	disorders		constipation	6.1 to 12: 32.85 ± 8.73	slightly filled bladder. Patients who
		(frequency of		400	>12 years: 35.15 ± 7.18	passed stool on the day of the
	functional	bowel		>100: very	0.004 (examination were temporarily excluded
	chronic	movements less		delayed slow-	p<0.001 for every age group	from the study until they became
	constipation,			transit	Mana and the state of the state	constipated again.
		week,		constipation	Mean rectopelvic ratios for all	De stelle generalle voidule vone general de
		consistency and size of stool			ages (mean ± SD)	Rectal ampulla width was measured
	whether				(Cut-off value to diagnose	with the probe applied to the anterior abdomen above the symphysis.
	children with	during			megarectum: 0.189)	Measurement was performed on
	-	defecation,			-Group 1 (constipated):	oblique transaxial scanning plane to
	colon (as	withholding			All ages:	obtain transverse diameter of the
		behaviour)			0. 22 ± 0.05	ampulla. Measurement was taken
	should be	benaviour)			0. 22 ± 0.03	several times and the highest one
		Exclusion			≤3: 0.24 ± 0.060	recorded taken as the final
	further	criteria:			3.1 to 6: 0.23 ± 0.05	measurement
	procedures	anatomic			6.1 to 12: 0.22 ± 0.05	Thousand The The
	such as	abnormality			>12 years: 0.19 ± 0.04	Total and segmental colonic transit time
		(Hirschsprung's				measured by the modified sixth day
	and	disease,			-Group 2 (control):	Hinton method. Total and segmental
		congenital			All ages:	time obtained by multiplying the number
	of colonic	abnormalities of			0.15 ± 0.04	of radiopaque markers seen on the

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	transit time.	the anorectal				radiograph by 1.2 (time in
		region)			≤3: 0.17 ± 0.05	hours/number of markers swallowed by
		neurological			3.1 to 6: 0.16 ± 0.04	the patient)
		and psychiatric			1 to 12: 0.15 ± 0.05	
		conditions			>12 years: 0.14 ± 0.03	The same individual performed all the
		(cerebral palsy,				US scans, but not other data on this
		spina bifida,			p<0.001 for age groups	were reported (as blinding, individual's
		mental			(years): ≤3;	experience in radiology, etc)
		retardation,			3.1 to 6; 6.1 to 12	
		anorexia nervosa)			p=0.002 for >12 years	It is not clear what number of children underwent each of the tests
		,metabolic			US vs. proctoscopy in the	
		conditions			diagnosis of faecal impaction	It is not clear how the authors
		(diabetes				calculated the sensitivity of the US vs.,
		mellitus/insipidu			-Sensitivity: 88.3%	proctoscopy to diagnose faecal
		s) endocrine				impaction, as the results of proctoscopy
		disorders			Mean colonic transit times:	are not reported
		(hypothyroidism			Children with faecal impaction	
), previous			(as per US) had significantly	It is difficult to know exactly how many
		thoracic or			longer average segmental	children were diagnosed with faecal
		abdominal			transit time for the rectum,	impaction by US, as these data are
		surgery			sigmoid and left colon	reported only in the form of a bar graph.
					(p<0.001, p=0.0015 and	Data on number of children diagnosed
		(control			p=0.0104 respectively) there	with "overfilled colon" are not reported
		patients: normal			was not statistically significant	at all.
		defecation			difference for the right side of	16.
		patterns,			the colon. Children with an	It is not clear whether "enlarged" and
		treated for			overfilled splenic flexure on US	"overfilled" colon mean the same for the
		various			had a significantly longer transit time in the left side of	authors, as no measurements of
		symptoms like				"enlarged" colon are reported.
		chronic			the colon (p=0.0029)	Children apparently underwent DRE but
		abdominal pain,			Definitions of:	no results are reported
		food allergies)			Delininolis or	·
		Setting:			-Faecal impaction (as per US	Control group did not differ from
		gastroenterolog			in sagital plane): when pelvic	patients regarding gender, the
		y outpatient			structures were covered by	comparison regarding age is not clearly
		clinic			stool masses and were not	reported

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
					even partially visible.	
					-Overfilled colon (as per US):	Source of funding: Not stated
					Overfilled bowel at the splenic flexure: when it was impossible to visualise the entire length of the left kidney due to the lack of visibility of the lower pole of the kidney because of bowel contents. Probe applied to the long axis of the spleen.	
					Overfilling of the transverse colon: when the superior mesenteric artery was not visible with the probe applied in the sagital plane over the aorta	
Joensson et al.		51 children	51 children, aged 4-	Test:	Rectal diameter (mm) (mean ±	For transabdominal measurements of
	Diagnostic.		12 years	Transabdominal	2SD)	rectal diameter: a 7.5 MHz probe
ultrasound of	Case control	Inclusion:		ultrasound of		applied to the abdomen approximately
rectum as a		Children	Group 1:	rectum	-Children with rectal impaction	2cm above the symphysis at 10 to15-
diagnostic tool	<u>Evidence</u>	referred to	27 children (mean		as per DRE (n=22, 20	degree downward angle. Diameter of
in childhood	<u>level</u> :	outpatient clinic	age 7.0±1.8 years)	Reference test:	constipated, 2 healthy):	the rectum measured in traverse plane.
constipation.	III	with either	diagnosed with	Digital rectal		At each session (n=3) diameters were
2008. Journal of	0	constipation or	chronic constipation		40.5 ± 7.9	measured three times and mean value
Urology 179[5],		faecal	by Rome III criteria	(DRE)	-Children without rectal	was calculated. All children had a
1997-2002	a possible	incontinence, with or without	Croup 2:		impaction as per DRE (n=26, 7	partially full bladder range (28 to 450 ml) corresponding to 20-155% of
		urinary	Group 2: 24 healthy children		constipated, 19 healthy):	expected bladder capacity for age at
		incontinence	(mean age 9.1±2.7		l constipated, 19 fleating).	the time of the measurement. In case of
	dilated		years)		21.0 ± 4.2	empty bladder fluid was offered orally
	rectum	UTI. Patients	y cars,		21.0 ± 1.2	and scanning was repeated. If the child
		fulfilled Rome III	Country:		p<0.001	had a bowel movement within 3 hours
		criteria, had at	the Netherlands			before the investigation or had an urge
		least 2 of the			Cut-off value for the presence	to defecate, the result was excluded. All
	mass	following			of rectal impaction (average	investigations were performed by the

Bibliographic	Study type	Number of	Population	Type of test and	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV	Reviewer comment
Information	& Evidence level	patients & prevalence	Characteristics	Reference standard	and NPV	
	detected by	characteristics:			rectal diameter of children	same observer (a paediatric intern, who
	digital rectal	-fewer than 3			without impaction plus 2SD):	had no prior radiological experience)
	examination.	bowel				This observer was not reported blinded
	To evaluate	movements/we			29.4 mm	to the study objectives and patient's
	whether this	ek				characteristics
	method	-more than 1			Rectal diameter (mm) (mean ±	
	could	episode of			2SD)	There was no significant difference in
	diagnose	faecal				height and weight distribution between
	constipation	incontinence			Before treatment:	the 2 groups, but the healthy children
	according to Rome III	weekly -large stools in			-Group 1 (Constipated, n=27):	were significantly older than the constipated children
	criteria	rectum by DRE			39.6 ± 8.2	
		or palpable on				Constipated children received 3 days of
		abdominal			-Group 2 (Healthy):	disimpaction followed by 4 weeks of
		palpation				laxative treatment with polyethylene
		-occasional			21.4 ± 6.00	glycol and behavioural therapy. No
		passage of				other details reported
		large stools			p<0.001	
		-display of				No significant correlation between
		retentive			After treatment	bladder volume at the time of
		posturing and			-Group 1 (Constipated,	measurement and rectal diameter
		withholding			responded to treatment, n=15):	(r=0.04)
		behaviour			26.9 ± 5.6	There are rejective data not accounted
		painful defecation			20.9 ± 5.0	There are missing data not accounted for
		defecation			p<0.01 (as compared to same	loi
		(healthy control			group before)	Apparently healthy children diagnosed
		children were			p<0.05 (as compared to group	with faecal impaction did not receive
		recruited form			2)	any laxative treatment, which is
		employees of			-'	worrying from an ethical point of view
		the Paediatrics			11 children did not respond to	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l
		Department at			treatment and no significant	Authors acknowledged the abdominal
		the hospital)			differences were observed in	ultrasound technique might bear
		' /			their rectal diameter as	technical limitations related to artefacts
		<u>Exclusion</u>			compared to pre-treatment	like: acoustic enhancement, speed
		criteria: known				error, and refraction artefacts although
		organic causes			Intraobserver variability:	their possible influence on their results
		of constipation,			-coefficient of variation of the 3	is unclear

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		including Hirschsprung's disease, spinal and anal congenital abnormalities, previous surgery on the colon, inflammatory bowel disease, allergy, metabolic and endocrine diseases, children receiving drugs know to affect bowel function during a 2-mont period before initiation (not specified which) Setting: outpatient clinic			consecutive measurements: 5.8% ± 4.3% 7 of the constipated children (26%) had a rectal diameter smaller than the established cut-off point for rectal impaction, despite the fact that they fulfilled the Rome III criteria for constipation. 2 healthy children with rectal impaction had a markedly larger rectal diameter (38 and 31 mm) than the other healthy controls.	No correlation was found between the rectal diameter and age or sex of the children in either group Source of funding: Supported by Karen Elise Jensen Foundation
nan et al. A new ultrasound	Study type: Diagnostic prospective	500 children Inclusion:	500 children 317 male	Test: Pelvic ultrasound	Correlation between SSS and US score	Additional information from study -US scoring sheet (this score can be used even with an empty bladder)
scoring system for assessing the severity of	case series <u>Evidence</u>	All children, both new referrals and	median age: 8 years (age range 8	Both transverse and longitudinal planes	-first visit (n=500) Mean SSS: 23.5 (SD 11.6)	Stool height (x): (bladder effect (y)):
constipation in children. 2008. Pediatric Surgery	level: III Study aim:	follow-up, attending a constipation outpatient clinic	months to 18 years) Country: UK		Mean US total score: 4.02 (SD 2.8)	No stool: 1 (empty bladder: 0 Retro bladder: 2 (n compression: 0) Just above bladder: 3 Nearly umbilicus: 4 (indented bladder:
International 24[12], 1379-	To assess the	Exclusion		training	Pearson's correlation: 0.39 P<0.001	1) To umbilicus: 5 (Flattened bladder: 2)

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
1384	and ultrasound (US) findings, the correlation between clinical examination and US	criteria: Children not compliant to have assessment done by US, cases when the US machine was not available Setting: Constipation outpatient clinic		Reference test: Clinical assessment: Standard symptoms severity scoring sheet (SSS), completed by parent or child if old enough Clinical assessment done by detailed history taking and abdominal examination	-second visit (n=226) Mean SSS: 19.9 (SD 12.6) Mean US total score: 3.49 (SD 2.6) Pearson's correlation: 0.49 P<0.001 -third visit (n=62) Mean US total score: 3.66 (SD 2.6) Pearson's correlation: 0.26 P=0.04 -fourth visit (n=12) Mean US total score: 4.9 (SD 3.2) Pearson's correlation: 0.70 P=0.01 Pearson's correlation between US score and clinical examination of palpable faeces per abdomen -first visit (n=500)	Beyond umbilicus: 6 (displaced bladder: 3) Can't see upper edge: 7 Uncooperative: 99 Not available: 0 total =x+y -Symptom severity scoring sheet: Filled in by parent, or child if old enough. Q1 About the soiling problem (faecal incontinence/mess in underclothes): - none (0) - rarely (1) - occasionally (2) - only is bowel loaded (5) - continuous day only (8) - continuous day and night (10) Q2 About the delay from passing one complete stool to the next: - daily stool (0) - every 2 or 3 days (1) - every 3-5 days (2) - every 5-10 days (5), - greater than 10 (8) - never (10) Q3 About pain and difficulty with passing stools: - none (0) - occasionally (1) - often (2) - with most stools (4) - with every stool (5)

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
					Mean palpable faeces score:	Q4 About the amount and types of
					1.42 (SD 1.6)	medicine needed regularly over the last
					Many UC total accuse 4.02 (CD	month:
					Mean US total score: 4.02 (SD	- none (0)
					2.8)	- softeners only e.g.: lactulose or Docusate or daily Movicol or methyl
					Pearson's correlation: 0.89	cellulose (1)
					P<0.001	- softeners and daily stimulants e.g.:
					1 40.001	Senokot or picosulfate (2)
					-second visit (n=226)	- softeners and daily stimulants and
						weekend extra picosulfate or Movicol
					Mean palpable faeces score:	(4)
					1.10 (SD 1.6)	- medicines as well as extra weekend
						klenprep or high dose Movicol (8)
					Mean US total score: 3.49 (SD	- medicines as well as regular enemas
					2.6)	or suppositories (10)
					Pearson's correlation: 0.845	Q5 About how your child's general
					P<0.001	health has been affected by the bowel
						problem over the last month:
					-third visit (n=62)	- well (0)
						- occasionally ill (2)
					Mean palpable faeces score:	- often ill (3)
					1.10 (SD 1.6)	- ill most days (4)
					Moon US total agers, 2.66 (SD	- never well (5)
					Mean US total score: 3.66 (SD 2.6)	Q6 About behaviour related to the
					(2.0)	bowel problem:
					Pearson's correlation: 0.77	- cooperative OK (0)
					P<0.001	- needs reminding to use the
					1 10.001	lavatory/pot (2)
					-fourth visit (n=12)	- refuses the lavatory or pot (3)
						- also refuses medicines (4)
					Mean palpable faeces score:	- also generally difficult behaviour (5)
					1.92 (SD 1.7)	Q7 overall, which best describes how
					Mean US total score: 4.9 (3.2)	the problems are now compared with
					WEAT 03 total 50016. 4.9 (3.2)	the last time seen at hospital:

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					Pearson's correlation: 0.91 P<0.001	- nearly completely OK (0) - much better (1) - some improvement (4) - still as difficult (8) - getting worse (12) Filled in by practitioner Amount of stool detected on clinical examination of abdomen score: - None palpable: 0 - Little: 1 - Suprapubic only: 2 - To umbilicus: 3 - Beyond umbilicus: 5 - Reaching ribs: 8
						Reviewers comments No control/comparison group
						Very small sample size at the fourth visit
						Source of funding: Not stated

Diagnostic Value of Transit Studies in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Radiopaque Markers

Bibliographic	Study type	Number of	Population	Type of test and	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV	Reviewer comment
Information	& Evidence	patients &	Characteristics	Reference	and NPV	
	level	prevalence		standard		
de Lorijn et al.	Study type:	169 consecutive	169 consecutive	Test:	Total and segmental transit	Additional information from study:
Prognosis of	Diagnostic	patients	patients	Colonic transit	times (hours), (median, 25	Significant baseline differences
constipation:	prospective		65% boys	time (CTT) with	to75 th centiles)	between boys and girls: median
clinical factors	case series	<u>Inclusion</u>	Median age 8.4	radiopaque	·	defecation frequency at intake lower in
and colonic		criteria:	years	markers	a. Boys (n=109)	girls than boys (1.0 vs. 2.0 times/week;
transit time.	Evidence	All referred			-total colon: 60 (38 to 103)	p=0.03); encopresis frequency more
2004. Archives	level: III	patients ≥ 5	Country:	Reference:	-delayed >62 h: 49%	than twice weekly reported more often
of Disease in		years old, at	the Netherlands	Clinical variables:	-ascending colon: 10 (5 to 16)	in boys (94% vs. 73%; p=0.0002). More
Childhood	Study aim:	least two of the			-delayed >18 h: 23%	girls than boys reported no encopresis
89[8], 723-727	То	following:		-defecation	-descending colon: 11 (4 to 18)	at all (20% vs. 6% p<0.05)
	investigate	1) defecation		frequency	-delayed >20 h: 21%	
	the relation	<3/week 2)		-encopresis	-rectosigmoid: 37 (19 to 68)	At entry all children underwent CCT.
	between	encopresis		frequency	-delayed >34h: 53%	Treatment with oral/rectal laxatives
	symptoms of	episodes		-night-time		discontinued for at least 4 days before
	chronic	>1/week 3)		encopresis	b. Girls (n=60)	the test; during this period they took
	constipation	passing of very		-rectal mass	-total colon: 53 (37 to 74)	one sachet of fibre (Volcolon, 6g) each
	and colonic	large stools			-delayed >62 h: 43%	day. Then they ingested a capsule
	transit time	every7-30 days			-ascending colon: 11 (5 to 15)	containing 20 radiopaque markers on 3
	(CTT). To	4)a palpable			-delayed >18 h: 18%	consecutive mornings. Abdominal X ray
		abdominal or			-descending colon : 8 (5 to 18)	performed on days 4 and 7 in morning.
	possible	rectal faecal			-delayed >20 h: 23%	Additional abdominal x ray performed
	relation	mass			-rectosigmoid: 31 (17 to 47)	on days 10, 13 and 16 if more than 20%
	between				-delayed >34h: 38%	of markers remained on previous film. X
	symptoms	<u>Exclusion</u>				ray localisation of markers based
	and CTT	<u>criteria:</u>			c. Total group (n=169)	identification of bony landmarks and
	and the	Hirschsprung's			-total colon: 58 (37 to 92)	gaseous outlines. Markers counted in
	outcome	disease, spinal			-delayed >62 h: 47%	right, left and rectosigmoid region and
	after one	and anal			-ascending colon: 10 (5 to 16)	mean segmental transit time calculated
	year of	abnormalities,			-delayed >18 h: 21%	according to previously described
	follow up	mental			-descending colon: 10 (5 to 18)	formula.
		retardation, use			-delayed >20 h: 22%	
		of drugs other			-rectosigmoid: 32 (18 to 63)	Normal ranges for total and segmental

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		than laxatives Setting: gastrointestinal outpatient clinic			-delayed >34h: 48% (no significant differences between boys and girls in the CTT and rectosigmoid transit time)	transit times based on upper limits (mean ± 2 SD) from a study in healthy children: CTT > 62 h considered delayed. Upper limits for right colon, left colon ad rectosigmoid transit time were 18, 20 and 34 hours respectively
					Correlation between clinical parameters and transit time (hours) (RSTT: rectosigmoid transit time)	Reviewers' comments: Researchers not blinded No definition of encopresis given No control group
					1. Defection frequency: a. 0 to1/week (n=79) CTT (median): 74 RSTT (median): 38	Source of funding: not stated
					b. >1 to 3/week (n=55) CTT (median): 50 RSTT (median): 30 c. ≥ 3/week (n=35) CTT (median): 49	
					RSTT (median): 28 CTT: p=0.001 a. vs. b and a vs. c RSTT: p= 0.009 a. vs. b and a vs. c	
					2. Encopresis frequency (day and night) a. no encopresis (n=18) CTT (median): 49 RSTT (median): 24	
					b. <1/day (n=24)	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					CTT (median): 52 RSTT (median): 31	
					c. 1 to 2/day (n=48) CTT (median): 50 RSTT (median): 30	
					<u>d. ≥2/day (n=79)</u> CTT (median): 70 RSTT (median): 38	
					CTT: p= 0.003 d vs. c, d vs. b, and d vs. a RSTT: p= 0.03 d vs. c, d vs. b, and d vs. a	
					3. Night time encopresis: a. not present (n=106) CTT (median): 47 RSTT (median): 28	
					b. present (n=63) CTT (median): 74 RSTT (median): 46	
					CTT: p< 0.0001 RSTT: p< 0.0001	
					4. Rectal mass: a. not present (n=118) CTT (median): 48 RSTT (median): 28 b. present (n=51) CTT (median): 86 RSTT (median): 64	
					CTT: p< 0.0001 RSTT: p< 0.0001	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
D :	level	prevalence	044 131	standard	T + LOTT (
	Study type:	211 children	211 children	Test:	Total CTT (hours, mean and	Additional information from study:
Defaecation	diagnostic	la alcada a	O 4 (DO)	Colonic transit	range):	Significant differences in the study
disorders in	case control	Inclusion	Group 1 (PC)	time (CTT) with	O 4 (DO 400)-	population regarding clinical variables:
children, colonic		criteria:	N=129	radiopaque	-Group 1 (PC, n=129):	more PC children reported large
	<u>Evidence</u>		64% boys	markers	79.3 (2.4 to 384)	amount of stools, a palpable abdominal
	<u>level</u> : III		Median age: 8		0 0 0 1 1 1 1 5 0 5 1 0	mass and rectal mass as compared to
score. 1995.	O. 1 .	defection,	years (5-14)	Reference test:	-Group 2 (isolated ES, n=54):	RAP children (p<0.001). More PC
European	Study aim:	soling,	0 0 1 1 1	Plain abdominal	41.4 (16.6 to 104.4)	children reported abdominal pain and
Journal of	to	encopresis or	Group 2 (isolated	radiography (read		no rectal sensation as compared to ES
	objectivate	recurrent	ES)	using the Barr	-Group 3 (RAP, n=23):	children (p<0.05)
154[4], 277-284	•		N=54	score)	32.5 (4.8 to 69.6)	
	or absence		81% boys			Two experienced paediatric radiologists
	of faecal		Median age: 9		-Healthy controls (n=23, mean	familiar with the Barr criteria and
		patients who	years (5-17)	Barr scoring	+ 2SD) (Arhan <i>et al.)</i>	without any knowledge of the clinical
		met at least 2 of		system:	29.0 (62)	condition of the patient, independently
	_		Group 3 (RAP)	Quantifies the		analysed in random order the first (day
	and compare		N=23		p=0.03 group 2 vs. group 3	4) and second (day 7) plain abdominal
	these	constipation	39% boys	in four different		radiographs of the markers studies of
	findings to		Median age: 9		Segmental CTT (hours, mean	the initial 101 consecutive patients. Barr
		frequency less	years (5-16)	ascending colon	and range):	scores were assessed in the different
	score	than 3		(0,1, or 2 points);,	-Right colon:	segments and total scores calculated. A
			Country:		Group 1 (PC, n=129):	radiograph was considered positive if
		or more	the Netherlands	(0,3, 4 or 5	13.2 (<1.2 to 60)	Barr score>10
		soling/encopresi		points)		
		S			Group 2 (isolated ES, n=54):	Normal range for segmental and total
		episodes/week		(0,3, 4 or 5	7.9 (<1.2 to 26.4)	CTT taken from upper limits obtained in
		3) periodic		points)		healthy controls (mean ± 2SD), as
		passage of very		and rectum (0,2	Group 3 (RAP, n=23):	described by Arhan et al.
		large amounts		or 5 points) and	7.7 (1.2 to 21.6)	Total CTT > 62h: delayed
		of stools once		also the		Total CTT > 100h: slow transit
		every 7-30 days		consistency of the	-Healthy controls (n=23, mean	constipation (based on study by
		4) a palpable		faces i.e. scybala	+ 2SD) (Arhan et al.)	Corazziari et al.)
		abdominal or		(0,1,2 or 3	7.7 (18)	Normal limits for segmental transit
		rectal mass		points); granular		times (h): right colon (18), left colon
		-Group 2: only		(0,2, 4 or 5	p<0.01 group 1 vs. group 2	(20), rectosigmoid (34)
		encopresis		points)	and group 1 vs. group 3	
		and/or soiling				Colonic transit time assessment
		(ES), without			-Left colon:	method: Metcalf

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
		any of the other			Group 1 (PC, n=129):	
		criteria for PC.			16.1 (<1.2 to 110.4)	Measurements of CTT performed with
		Soiling defined				patients on their habitual diet.
		as the loss of			Group 2 (isolated ES, n=54):	Treatment with laxatives ([ills or
		loose stools.			6.8 (<1.2 to 25.2)	enemas) discontinued for at least 4
		Encopresis				days before the CTT study
		defined as			Group 3 (RAP, n=23):	
		(in)voluntary			7.0 (1.2 to 25.2)	5 patients excluded from study: 4 not
		passage of a				able to swallow capsule, 1 had
		normal bowel			-Healthy controls (n=23, mean	"uninterpretable" abdominal X-ray
		movement in			+ 2SD) (Arhan et al.)	
		the underpants			8.7 (20)	Comparison of the Barr-score with the
		or another				marker method performed using the
		unorthodox			p<0.01 group 1 vs. group 2	mean Barr-score of the two observers
		location with a			and group 1 vs. group 3	obtained on radiograph I. Similar
		frequency of 2			Destesioned	analysis using radiograph II revealed no
		or more times/week			-Rectosigmoid	differences compared to radiograph I,
		after the age of			Group 1 (PC, n=129): 49.7 (<1.2 to 226.8)	therefore only results with radiograph I are presented in detail
		4 in the			49.7 (<1.2 to 220.6)	are presented in detail
		absence of any			Group 2 (isolated ES, n=54):	According to authors the radiopaque
		organic cause			26.7 (4.8 to 93.6)	markers were no hindrance for the 2
		-Group 3: RAP			20.7 (4.0 to 33.0)	observers in assessing the Barr-scores
		defined as at			Group 3 (RAP, n=23):	observers in assessing the Bair scores
		least 3			18.9 (1.2 to 49.2)	Reviewers' comments;
		episodes/week			10.0 (10 .0.2)	There are missing data not accounted
		of non specified			-Healthy controls (n=23, mean	for: only 101 abdominal radiographs
		RAP, severe			+ 2SD) (Arhan et al.)	were available for analysis, but there is
		enough to			12.4 (34)	no clear explanation for this
		interfere with			, ,	
		day-to day			p<0.01 group 1 vs. group 2	Source of funding: not stated
		activities over at			and group 1 vs. group 3	_
		least a 3-month				
		period, without			p=0.05 group 2 vs. group 3	
		any of the other				
		symptom of PC			CCT	
					-Interobserver agreement:	
		Exclusion				

Bibliographic Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	criteria: Hirschsprung's disease, spinal/ anal anomalies, prior surgery of colon, metabolic diseases, mental retardation, use of drugs other than laxatives Setting: gastroenterolog y outpatients clinic			Radiograph 1 (n=101): Perfect agreement: 62% Difference of one marker: 25% Radiograph 2 (n=101): Perfect agreement: 92% Difference of one marker: 6% Barr scores (n=101) (mean of two observers) -Group 1 (PC, n=57) Radiograph 1: ≥10: 60% Radiograph 2: ≥10: 63% -Group 2 (isolated ES, n=30) Radiograph 1: ≥10: 47% Radiograph 2: ≥10: 60% -Group 3 (RAP, n=14) Radiograph 1: ≥10: 47% Radiograph 2: ≥10: 63% -Interobserver agreement (agreement between the 2 observers for the different segments on the same radiograph): k from 0.28 (fair) to 0.60 (moderate) -Intraobserver agreement (difference in quantity and quality of stool between radiograph I and II as scored	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					by same radiologist): k from 0.05 (poor) to 0.47 (moderate) for both observers	
					-Intraobserver agreement (agreement on the existence of constipation as measured by a Barr-score of 10 or more points between radiographs I and II): fair for both observers, k= 0.22 and 0.25 respectively	
					Correlation of the Barr-score with Metcalf's makers method: Correlation between positive Barr score (≥10) and delayed total CTT (>62h): k=0.22 (fair) for all children.	
					K values by group: -PC group: 0.20 -ES group: 0.02 -RAP group: 0.46	
					Abnormal Barr scores found in at least 46% of patients with normal transit times. Positive Barr scores correlated only with total CTT exceeding 100 h	
Gutierrez et al.	Study type:	68 children	68 children	Test:	Total transit time (hours)	Additional information from study:
Total and	Diagnostic case control	Inclusion	aged 2 to 14 years	Colonic transit time (CTT) with	(mean ± SD, ranges)	Two children from patients group did not complete study: one refused to
segmental colonic transit	case control	Inclusion criteria:	Patients (n=38)	radiopaque	Patients (n=38)	swallow the capsules; one did not
time and	Evidence	Patients: history	1 4.5116 (11–66)	markers	49.57 ± 25.38 (15.6 to 122.4)	comply (not clear exactly with what)
anorectal	level:	of chronic	Controls (n=30)		,	, , ,
manometry in	III	idiopathic	,	Reference:	Controls (n=30)	No significant differences observed in
children with			Country:	Frequency of	29.08 ± 8.30 (14.4 to 50)	mean daily fibre intake and calorie
chronic	Study aim:	months,	Spain	defecation		consumption between the 2 groups

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
idiopathic	to evaluate	with/without			p<0.001	
		secondary				Measurements made while children
2002. Journal of		encopresis,			Segmental transit time (hours)	maintained their usual diets. Laxative
	motility study	•			(mean ± SD, ranges)	treatment discontinued 1 week before
Gastroenterolog	,	conventional				the test and a cleansing enema
-	applied in	treatment of			-RC:	administered on the day before the test
35[1], 31-38		disimpaction,			Patients (n=38)	
	practice to	re-education of			9.53 ± 9.07 (2.4 to 36)	No differences observed in CTT in
	more clearly				Controlo (n. 20)	relation to either se or age. Statistically
		habits, measures to			Controls (n=30) 7.52 ± 5.75 (2.4 to 15.6)	significant inverse correlation observed between total CTT and number of
		increase dietary			7.52 ± 5.75 (2.4 to 15.6)	weekly defecations (correlation
		fibre content			p value NS	coefficient, r=0.68, p<0.001)
	improve	and			p value No	Coefficient, 1=0.00, p<0.001)
		administration			-LC:	Reviewer comments:
	follow-up	of mineral oil or			Patients (n=38)	Researchers not blinded
		osmotic-type			15.41 ± 13.13 (2.4 to 32)	
		laxatives			,	Source of funding: Janssen
		(lactulose or			Controls (n=30)	Pharmaceutical contributed the material
		Lactinol).			6.60 ± 6.20 (2.4 to 24)	required to determine the colonic transit
		Encopresis				time. No further details provided
		defined as non-			p=0.01	
		voluntary			50	
		defecation with			-RS:	
		a frequency of			Patients (n=38)	
		more than twice weekly in			24.20 ± 16.77 (4.8 to 69.6)	
		children older			Controls (n=30)	
		than 4 years in			14.96 ± 8.70 (2.4 to 19.2)	
		the absence of			14.30 ± 0.70 (2.4 to 13.2)	
		any underlying			p=0.01	
		organic cause				
		Controls:			Clinical characteristic of the	
		normal bowel			patients' group as a function of	
		habits (between			colonic transit time:	
		3 defecations				
		daily and 3			a) Age at onset of constipation	
		weekly, without			(y, mean, SD):	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	levei	staring at stool,		Standard	-Total CTT within reference	
		and faces of			values (n=19): 2.54 (1.18)	
		normal			-Prolonged total CTT (n=19):	
		consistency for			1.77 (0.88)	
		at least 12			p<0.05	
		months before			70.00	
		the study, no			b) Family history of	
		history of			constipation:	
		previous			-Total CTT within reference	
		abdominal/majo			values (n=19): 21%	
		r extra-			-Prolonged total CTT (n=19):	
		abdominal			79%	
		surgery, not on			p<0.01	
		medication with				
		effects on			c) Abdominal mass	
		digestive tract,			-Total CTT within reference	
		normal diet, and			values (n=19): 60%	
		underwent			-Prolonged total CTT (n=19):	
		abdominal			93.8%	
		radiography as			p<0.05	
		part of clinical			•	
		study with			d) Encopresis episodes/night	
		normal results			(mean, SD)	
					-Total CTT within reference	
		Exclusion			values (n=19): 0.10 (0.44)	
		criteria:			-Prolonged total CTT (n=19):	
		Hirschsprung's			0.60 (0.91)	
		disease, spinal/			p<0.05	
		anal			•	
		malformations,			No significant differences	
		prior surgery of			found for age at diagnosis,	
		colon, metabolic			sex, defecations/week, pain at	
		diseases,			defecation, enuresis, anal	
		mental			fissure, rectal mass or	
		retardation			encopresis episodes/day	
		Setting:				
		gastroenterolog				

Bibliographic	Study type	Number of	Population	Type of test and	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV	Reviewer comment
Information	& Evidence level	patients & prevalence	Characteristics	Reference standard	and NPV	
	10401	y outpatients		Staridard		
		clinic				
Papadopoulou	Study type:	52 children	52 children	Test:	Patterns of transit time (n=52):	Additional information from study:
et al. The	Diagnostic		Median age: 8	Colonic transit	-normal transit: 21 (40%)	-To assess reliability of test
	prospective	Inclusion	years (range 2-13.5		-mild delay: 4 (8%)	interobserver error between 2
	case series	criteria:	years)	radiopaque	-moderate delay: 9 (17%)	observers was measured: each
transit studies in		Constipation		markers	-severe delay: 18 (35%)	independently assessing 30 abdominal
	<u>Evidence</u>	and/or soiling.	Sex distribution not			X-rays and interobserver error by
•	<u>level:</u> III	One patient had	reported	Reference:	Patterns of marker distribution:	carrying out duplicate estimations by
and soiling.	0	neurological		Frequency of	-pancolonic transit delay: 15	the same observer on the same 30
		problems due to		bowel	(29%)	days
Journal of	assess the	ganglioneuroma	UK	movements and	-segmental transit delay: 5	Accordant with his of coverity of
	acceptability,			soiling	(10%)	-Assessment criteria of severity of
153[8], 560-564	the reliability of	defined as less			-outlet obstruction: 11 (21%)	transit delay: a. normal transit: < 12 markers in colon
	interpretation				Correlation between transit	(<40% of given markers)
		movements/we			delay and clinical symptoms:	b. mild delay: 12-18 markers in colon
	clinical value				delay and chilical symptoms.	(41-60% of given markers)
		defined as			a) Fewer than 2 bowel	c. moderate delay: 19-24 markers in
	marker	involuntary			movements/week (%):	colon (61-80% of given markers)
		passage of fluid			(, 0,)	d. severe delay: >24 markers in colon
	studies in	or semi-solid			-Children with severe delay	(>80% of given markers)
	children with	stools into			(n=18):	l` ,
	soiling and	clothing 2/more			87	-Assessment criteria of different
	spurious	times/week			-Children with normal transit	patterns of marker distribution:
	diarrhoea				(n=21): 27	a. pancolonic transit delay: no single
	(otherwise	<u>Exclusion</u>				segment contains >75% of markers
	known as	criteria:			p<0.001	remaining in colon
	overflow	Hirschsprung's				b. segmental transit delay: >75% of
	incontinence	disease			b) More than 3 soiling	markers remaining in colon clustered in
)"	Cottings			episodes/week (%):	one segment
		Setting: hospital			-Children with severe delay	c. outlet obstruction: >60% of given markers clustered in rectosigmoid
		ποομιαι			(n=18):	markers ciustereu in rectusiginiulu
	* reviewer's				192	-In 6 patients the transit studies were
	note					repeated after colonic washout.
					-Children with normal transit	Significant improvements in transit
					(n=21): 35	found after colonic emptying (p<0.05)

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					p<0.005	(exact number not reported in text, just a bar graph)
					No correlation found between duration of symptoms and severity of delay	Laxative treatment not interrupted previous to measurements (97% were on laxatives)
					Correlation between marker distribution and transit -Children with severe delay (n=18): Outlet obstruction: 39% Pancolonic transit delay: 56% Segmental transit delay (in descending colon): 5% -Children with mild delay (n=4): Pancolonic transit delay: 25% Segmental transit delay (in rectosigmoid): 75% P<0.005 Correlation between marker distribution and symptoms: -Fewer than 2 bowel movements/week (%): a. Outlet obstruction: 100% b. Pancolonic transit delay: 83% c. Segmental transit delay: 33% a vs. c and b vs. c: p<0.05 -More than 3 soiling episodes/week (%):	Reviewers' comments: Researchers not blinded No data on the type of diet children were on when measurements were made No data reported on the correlation between transit delay and clinical symptoms for children with mild/moderate delay Source of funding: not stated

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					a. Outlet obstruction: 100% b. Pancolonic transit delay: 57% c. Segmental transit delay: 0% a vs. c and b vs. c: p<0.05 Observer errors: (coefficient of variation): -interobserver: 2.1 % -intraobserver: 3.1 %	
transit time, frequency of defecation, and anorectal manometry in healthy and constipated children. 1985.	Evidence level: III Study aim: to quantify bowel function in healthy children in regard to frequency of defecation, gastrointesti nal transit time and manometric	straining at defecation, or presence of	141 children Patients: N=63 40 boys Mean age 5.4 ± 4.1 years (2 months to 4 years) Controls: N=78 37 boys Mean age 5.5 ± 3.2 years (2 months to 12 years) Country: Italy	Test: Total gastrointestinal transit time (TGITT) 1 Reference: -Frequency of defecation	Total gastrointestinal transit time (TGITT) (hours, mean ± SD, range) -healthy controls (n=78) 25.0 ± 3.7 (19 to 33) -patients with TGITT>33h (n=53) 81.4% -patients with TGITT<33h (n=10) 18.6% Segmental transit time N=39 (out of 53 children with prolonged transit time) Colon: lowest in 3 patients Rectum: lowest in 24 patients	Additional information from study: No patients receiving laxatives during investigation Retention of contents in a given large bowel segment considered abnormally prolonged when transit index ≤60 (i/e when on average, ≥ 30% of markers were retained in that given segment at least 33 h after ingestion of radiopaque pellets). Transit index of 60 chosen because the lower confidence limit (?) of a normal adult population did not exceed this value Reviewers' comments: Not clear what type of diet patients were following during investigation Segmental colonic transit times (right and left colon and rectosigmoid) measured but results not reported

¹ Italian papers included in this review (Corazziari, Cucchiara, Staiano) measured "total gastrointestinal transit time (TGITT)". Because of the similarity in the figures with the other studies' CTTs we assumed that TGITT is the name by which CTT known in Italy.

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	anorectal tract and to compare variables of bowel function in children with chronic constipation	Exclusion criteria: secondary constipation excluded after clinical interview and examination, barium enema, anorectal motility studies, rectosigmoidosc opy, rectal biopsy. Metabolic and endocrinologic abnormalities. Setting: unclear			Colon and rectum: lowest in 12 patients Frequency of defecation (times/week): -healthy controls (n=78) 6.3 ± 1.3 (range 4 to 9) -patients with TGITT>33h (n=53) 2.5 ± 0.9 (range not reported) -patients with TGITT<33h (n=10) 5.1 ± 0.73 (range not reported) Stool frequency and TGITT significantly correlated in patients with prolonged transit time (r=0.75; p<0.001) and in healthy controls (r=0.78; p<0.001)	Accurate figures for CTT in patients not reported Segmental transit time not measured in controls Results reported for the healthy controls are not clearly stated in the paper that there actually belong to this group, but as results for the patients group are explicitly related to them, it was assumed the others belonged to the controls Researchers not blinded Source of funding: not stated
					In 7 of 53 patients with TGITT>33 h, the bowel frequency overlapped the range observed in the controls	
Benninga et al. Colonic transit time in constipated	Study type: Diagnostic case control	148 children Inclusion criteria:	148 children -Patients (n=94):	Test: Colonic transit time (CTT) with radiopaque	Total transit time (hours, median, range) -PSTC (n=24) 189 (104.4 to 380.4)	Additional information from study: Total and segmental CTT done as described by Metcalf
children: does pediatric slow- transit constipation exist? 1996. Journal of	Evidence level: III Study aim: To investigate	-Patients: otherwise healthy children with complaints of constipation	a. PSTC (paediatric slow transit constipation): 24 children 17 boys Mean age 8 years (range 5-14)		-NDTC (n=70) 46.8 (3.6 to 99.6) Segmental transit time (hours, median, range)	Based on upper limit (mean + 2SD) of previous study in 63 constipated children (Corazziari, 1985), children in current study arbitrarily separated in 2 groups: 1. CTT>100 h: paediatric slow transit constipation (PSTC)

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
Gastroenterolog					Right colon:	2. CTT<100 h: normal- or delayed-
y and Nutrition	of slow	alone or	b. NDTC (normal		-PSTC (n=24)	transit constipation (NDTC) (normal
23[3], 241-251	colonic	recurrent	delayed transit		27.0 (3.6 to 60)	transit ser at < 63h)
	transit in	abdominal pain.	constipation)			Further analysis of the NDTC group
	children with		70 children		-NDTC (n=70)	after separation into a group with total
	constipation	least 2 of the	46 boys		8.4 (0 to 32.4)	CTT<63h and one with total CTT
	using	following criteria	Mean age 8 years		·	between 63 and 100h showed same
	radiopaque	for paediatric	(range 5-14)		Left colon:	significant differences compared with
	markers	constipation: a)			-PSTC (n=24)	PSTC children as did the total PSTC
		2/fewer bowel	-Controls (n=54):		37.2 (0 to 110.4)	group allowing the merge of these
		movements/we	15 children (for			children
		ek b)2/more	rectal manometry)		-NDTC (n=70)	
		soiling or	10 boys		7.2 (0 to 36.0)	CTT performed on patients taking their
		encopresis	Mean age 11 years			normal diet, any treatment with
		episodes/week	(range 7-15)		Rectosigmoid:	laxatives discontinued at least 4 days
		c) passage of			-PSTC (n=24)	prior o test. No enemas given before
		very large	Country:		116.4 (49.2 to 226.8)	transit studies.
		amounts of	the Netherlands			
		stool once			-NDTC (n=70)	Reviewers' comments:
		every 7-30 days			27.0 (0 to 90.0)	Researchers not blinded
		d) a palpable				
		abdominal			Clinical variables:	Values for both total and segmental
		mass or rectal				transit times expressed as medians in
		mass			%)	the text and the heading of a table, and
		-Controls:			-PSTC (n=24)	as means in the table itself. We have
		healthy			22 (92)	chosen to report them as median
		children.			-NDTC (n=70)	values because authors stated in the
		Siblings and			48 (69)	statistical analysis section that results
		friends of			p=0.05	were expressed as median and range
		paediatric				for continuous variables
		patients and			- Daytime soiling episodes /	
		medical staff			week (median, range)	Source of funding: major grant from the
		Calling define			-PSTC (n=24)	Stitching Kinderpostzegels Nederland
		Soiling defined			14.0 (0 to 7)	and from an endowment from Zyma
		as loss of loose			NDTC (n. 70)	Nederland (Importal)
		stools,			-NDTC (n=70)	
		encopresis as			5.0 (0 to 56)	
		loss of formed			p<0.01	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		stools A palpable rectal mass defined as the presence of a firm and large faecal lump in the rectal ampulla			-Nightime soiling (yes/no) (no, %) -PSTC (n=24) 17 (71) -NDTC (n=70) 8 (11) p<0.01	
		Exclusion criteria: Hirschsprung's disease, spinal/ anal anomalies, surgery of colon, metabolic diseases, mental retardation, on drugs other than laxatives			- Nightime soiling episodes / week (median, range) -PSTC (n=24) 7 (0 to 7) -NDTC (n=70) 0 (0 to 7) p<0.01 -Normal stools (no., %) -PSTC (n=24) 18 (75)	
		Setting: outpatient clinic of tertiary academic teaching hospital			-NDTC (n=70) 33 (49) p=0.03 -Pain during defecation (no., %) -PSTC (n=24) 8 (33) -NDTC (n=70) 28 (60) p=0.01 -No rectal sensation (no., %) -PSTC (n=24) 8 (33)	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					-NDTC (n=70) 10 (14) p=0.03	
					-Palpable abdominal mass (no., %) -PSTC (n=24) 17 (71) -NDTC (n=70) 27 (39) p=0.02	
					-Palpable rectal mass (no., %) -PSTC (n=24) 17 (71)	
					-NDTC (n=70) 9 (13) p<0.01	
					No significant differences regarding: sex, age, toilet training statue, age at which toilet training started, bowel movements/week, large amounts of stools very 7-30 days, encopresis episodes/week, abdominal pain, poor appetite, daytime or nightime urinary incontinence	
					Proportion of children with PSTC and rectal palpable mass, night time soiling or both: 0.34, 0.39 and 0.82 respectively. (multivariate analysis) only 7% of children	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
					without any of these characteristics had PSTC	
	gastrointesti nal transit time (GTT) between constipated and normal healthy controls to elicit its significance in assessing the dynamics of the whole gastro-	(FC). Two of the following for more than 3 months: Evacuation less 3 times/week, evacuating pains, faecal soiling every week or incontinence more 2 times/week in over 5 years old, touchable stool by abdominal or anal examination, excessive defecation at interval of 7 to 30 days. No administration of gastrointestinal	96 children -Patients (n=28): 38 boys Mean age: 6 years (range 3 to 14) -Controls (n=68) 38 boys Mean age: 6 years (range 3 to 13) Country: China	Tests: Colonic transit time (CTT) with radiopaque markers Reference: none	characteristics had PSTC Total transit time (hours, mean ± SD) -Patients (n=28) 59.9 ± 2.3 -Controls (n=68) 14.8 ± 0.8 p<0.01 Segmental transit time (hours, mean ± SD) Right colon: -Patients (n=28) 20.3 ± 1.2 -Controls (n=68) 7.3 ± 1.1 p<0.01 Left colon: -Patients (n=28) 12.8 ± 1.7 -Controls (n=68) 3.4 ± 0.8 p<0.01 Rectosigmoid: -Patients (n=28)	Reviewers' comments: Researchers not blinded No data available on diet, use of laxatives previous to the measurement of CTT Source of funding: not stated
		dynamic and evacuation			26.8 ± 1.4	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		drugs for 2			-Controls (n=68)	
		weeks			4.1 ± 1.2	
		-Controls:			p<0.01	
		normal height				
		and weight,				
		normal				
		frequency and				
		character of				
		evacuation for 3 months without				
		administration				
		of any				
		gastrointestinal				
		dynamic and				
		evacuation				
		drugs				
		Exclusion				
		criteria: organic				
		ailment in				
		alimentary tract				
		and other organs ailment				
		that would				
		affect				
		gastrointestinal				
		function				
		Setting: general				
		hospital				
Cucchiara et al.	Study type:	99 children	99 children	Test:	Total transit time (hours, mean	Additional information from study:
Gastrointestinal	Diagnostic			-Total	± SD, range)	Controls matched for age and weight
transit time and	case-control	<u>Inclusion</u>	-Patients (n=53)	gastrointestinal		but not sex with the constipated
anorectal		criteria:	40 boys	transit time	a) Patients with soiling (n=32)	children
manometry in	Evidence	-patients:	mean age 8.3 years	(1611)	58 ± 14.3 (36 to 86)	TOITT we are una managed and the
children with	<u>level:</u> III	constipation of several months	(range 4.8 to 12.9)	Poforonco:	h) Patients without soiling	TGITT measurements performed with
fecal soiling.		several months		Reference:	b) Patients without soiling	children taking their usual diet

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
1001 1 1	level	prevalence	0 1 1 (10)	standard	(01)	
1984. Journal of			-Controls (n=46)	none reported	(n=21)	Davida varani a a mara a atau
Pediatric	determine	with/without	24 boys		61.1 ± 15 (36 to 96)	Reviewers' comments:
Gastroenterolog	characteristi	soiling	mean age 8.1 years		a) Cantrola (n=46)	No definitions of constipation/soiling
,	cs of the	-controls:	(range 4.2 to 12)		c) Controls (n=46) 25.6 ± 3.7 (19 to 33)	given
3[4], 545-550	anorectum	healthy children	Country:		25.6 ± 5.7 (19 to 55)	Researchers not blinded
	and to	without	Italy		a) vs. c) p < 0.001	ixesearchers not bilinded
	measure	gastrointestinal	lialy		b) vs. c) p < 0.001	No data on use of laxatives previous to
	total	complaints			β) v3. σ, ρ < σ.σσ ι	the CTT but a barium enema, without
	gastrointesti	referred to				previous cleansing of the colon and
	nal transit	outpatients				limited to the rectosigmoid was
	time (TGITT)	paediatric clinic				performed to demonstrate the presence
	in children	for routine				of stenosis, megarectum or
		examination				Hirschsprung's disease
	constipation,					
		Exclusion				Segmental transit times not measured
	faecal	criteria: history				
	overflow	of anorectal				Source of funding: not stated
		surgery, spinal				
		abnormalities,				
		psychiatric/neur ological				
		disorders				
		uisoideis				
		Setting:				
		outpatients				
		paediatric clinic				
Martelli et al.	Study type:	1182 children	1182 children	Test:	Total transit time (hours,	Additional information from study:
Can functional	Diagnostic		63% boys	Colonic transit	median, range)	Patients classified into 4 groups:
constipation	retrospective	Inclusion	,	time (CTT) with		-"Normal" transit time
begin at birth?	case series	criteria:	Group 1:	radiopaque	-C+E patients (n=168):	-"Pancolic" constipation: delay in the 3
1998.		Constipation	constipated	markers	67.2 (2 to 168)	sites
Gastroenterolog		with/without	children without			-"Terminal" constipation: delay in the
y International	<u>level:</u> III	encopresis	encopresis (C	Reference:	-C+4 patients (n=112):	rectosigmoid with/without delay in right
11[1], 1-11Italy.		Constipation	patients)	none	54.6 (9 to 168)	or left colon
		defined as less				-"Non terminal" constipation: right
	analyse	than 3	N=855		-C-4 patients (n=77)	and/or left delay but normal
	epidemiologi	spontaneous	59%boys		49.6 (8 to 161)	rectosigmoid transit time

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
illiorillation	level	prevalence	Characteristics	standard	allu NFV	
	C,	stools/week				
	manometric	without any	65% < 4 years old		-Controls (n=21) Arhan et al.	Reviewers' comments:
	and	laxative or	(C-4 patients)		1983	Researchers not blinded
	radiologic	motility-	35% > 4 years old		22.8 (9.4 to 56.4)	
	data in a	influencing	(C+4 patients)			Not all children underwent CTT
	large	drug.			p<0.0001 C+4/C-4/C+E	
	population of		Median age at first		patients vs. controls	No data on diet or use of laxatives
	young	defined (in	evaluation:		p<0.05 C+E patients vs. C+4	previous to CTT measurement
	patients	France) as	C-4: 11 months		patients	
	presenting in		(range 4 to 15			Source of funding: not stated
		associated with	years)		Segmental transit time (hours,	
		faecal	C+4: 7.7 years		median, range)	
		impaction, at or	(range 4 to 15			
	order to	after the age of	years)		1-Right colon:	
	classify	3 years. Faecal			-Controls (n=21): Arhan et al.	
	different	impaction	Group 2:		1983	
	types of	considered to	constipated		7.2 (0.6 to 19.2)	
	idiopathic	be present	children with		-C-4 patients (n=77):	
		when	encopresis (C+E		14.8 (0 to 96)	
		consistency of	patients)		-C+4 patients (n=168):	
	age of onset,				12 (0 to 48)	
	sex and	persisting in	N=327		-C+E patients (n=112):	
	pelvic floor	rectum more	78% boys		14 (0 to 144)	
	function	solid than that	Median age at first			
		of stools	evaluation: 8.5		p<0.0005 C+4/C-4 patients vs.	
		spontaneously	years (range 4 to		controls	
		emitted	15 years)		p<0.0001 C+E patients vs.	
			Country:		controls	
		Exclusion	France			
		criteria:			2-Left colon:	
		children aged <			-Controls (n=21): Arhan et al.	
		48 months.			1983	
		Local/general			7.4 (1.2 to 22.8)	
		causes of			-C-4 patients (n=77):	
		constipation:			12.4 (0 to 72)	
		anal lesions (anal fissures,			-C+4 patients (n=168): 12 (0 to 96)	
		anal			,	
		ailai			-C+E patients (n=112):	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
		malposition),			13.6 (0 to 96)	
		neurogenic				
		constipation			p<0.0005 C-4 patients vs.	
		(Hirschsprung's			controls	
		disease,			p<0.005 C+4/C+E patients vs.	
		neurointestinal			controls	
		dysplasia,				
		spinal cord			3-Rectosigmoid: Arhan et al.	
		disorders,			1983	
		chronic			-Controls (n=21):	
		intestinal			10.4 (1.21 to 34.2)	
		pseudobstructio			-C-4 patients (n=77):	
		n),endocrine			18.4 (0 to 106)	
		(hypothyroidism			-C+4 patients (n=168):	
), metabolic			26.4 (0 to 108)	
		disorders			-C+E patients (n=112):	
		(diabetes			30.2 (0 to 142)	
		mellitus, renal			n -0.00F C 4 notionto vo	
		acidosis, hypercalcemia),			p<0.005 C-4 patients vs.	
		still breast-fed			p<0.0001 C+4/C+E patients	
		patients with no			vs. controls	
		symptoms other			vs. controls	
		than fewer than			Classification of constipation	
		3 stools/week			according to segmental colonic	
		o otoolo, wook			transit times (n, %):	
		Setting:			<u> </u>	
		paediatric			1.Normal transit:	
		tertiary care			-C-4 patients (n=77): 33 (43)	
		hospital			-C+4 patients (n=168): 34	
					(30.5)	
					-C+E patients (n=112): 38	
					(22.5)	
					-Total (n=357): 105 (29)	
					p<0.001 C+E vs. C-4 patients	
					2.Non terminal constipation:	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	and NPV	Reviewer comment
					-C-4 patients (n=77): 18 (23) -C+4 patients (n=168): 26 (23) -C+E patients (n=112): 37 (22) -Total (n=357): 81 (23)	
					3.Terminal constipation: -C-4 patients (n=77): 17 (22) -C+4 patients (n=168): 42 (37.5) -C+E patients (n=112): 70 (41.5) -Total (n=357): 129 (36)	
					p<0.05 C+4 vs. C-4 patients p<0.005 C+E vs. C-4 patients	
					4Pancolic constipation: -C-4 patients (n=77): 9 (12) -C+4 patients (n=168): 10 (9) -C+E patients (n=112): 23 (14) -Total (n=357): 42 (12): 42 (12)	
					(p values not reported were not significant)	
Arhan et al. Idiopathic disorders of fecal continence	Study type: Diagnostic case control	176 patients Inclusion criteria:	176 patients aged 2 to 15 years 64% boys	Test: Colonic transit time (CTT) with radiopaque	Segmental transit time of one radiopaque marker (hours, min; mean ± SD)	Additional information from study: Markers ingested 24h after beginning a diet containing 0.5g/kg of crude fibres
in children. 1983. Pediatrics	Evidence level: III	-Patients: one of the following: 1) history of less than 3	Controls: 23 children (no further data reported)	markers -Reference: none	1. Ascending colon: -normal children (n= 23): 7:10 ± 1:4 -constipated children	Functional studies performed when rectum free of stool either spontaneously or as a result of cleansing enemas
		spontaneous stools/week 2) evidence of	Country: France		(with/without spina bifida occulta) (n=176): 13:24 ± 1:5	Reviewers' comments: No clear definition of constipation given
	of children with	(stools of harder consistency			p<0.05	Researchers not blinded

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		faecal material in the entire descending colon or faecaloma in the rectosigmoid area diagnosed radiologically -Controls: children with no intestinal abnormalities who had to undergo a radiography of the abdomen for medical reasons Exclusion criteria: none stated			2. Descending colon -normal children (n= 23): 7:37 ± 1:3 -constipated children (with/without spina bifida occulta) (n=176): 13:49 ± 1:37 p<0.05 3. Rectum -normal children (n= 23): 11:4 ± 1:5 -constipated children (with/without spina bifida occulta) (n=176): 30:22 ± 2:42 p<0.05 No significant differences between children with and without spina bifida occulta	Not clear how many children underwent CTT Total transit time not measured As no data are reported on the characteristics of the control group it is not possible to tell whether they could be significantly different from the patients Source of funding: partially by the Institut national de la Sante et de la Recherche Medicale (INSERM), CRL No.80-7002, grant MT-3511 from the CRM, and by the French Canadian sub commission for health matters
Staiano et al.	Study type:	Setting: hospital 42 children	42 children	Test:	Total gastrointestinal transit	Additional information from study:
Colonic transit	diagnostic	3		-Total	time (TGTT) (hours, mean ±	Severe brain damage: spastic
and anorectal	case control	Inclusion	Group1: children	gastrointestinal	SD):	tetraparesis/diplegia, generalised
manometry in	study	criteria:	with brain damage	transit time		hypotonia
children with		-patients:	N=16	(TGITT)	-children with brain damage:	· ·
severe brain	Evidence	children with	10 boys	<u> </u>	106.4 ± 6.1	Children off all laxatives and/or
damage. 1994.	level: III		Mean age 5.1 ± 3.5	-Colonic		suppositories during the measurement

Bibliographic	Study type	Number of	Population	Type of test and	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV	Reviewer comment
Information	& Evidence	patients &	Characteristics	Reference	and NPV	
	level	prevalence		standard		
Pediatrics 94[2		referred for	years (range 1.5 to	segmental	-children with functional faecal	of total and segmental transit times
Pt 1], 169-173		gastroenterologi	12 years)	gastrointestinal	retention (FFR):	
		c evaluation of		transit time	98.6 ± 5.1	Tracing coded and analysed by one of
	transit and	constipation	Group 2: children	(SGTT)		the authors unaware of the clinical
	anorectal	-Controls:	with functional		p value N.S	status of the child (not clear whether
	motility in	1. functional	faecal retention	Reference		this is CTT or manometry)
			(FFR)	standard:	Segmental gastrointestinal	
	severe brain		N=15	None	transit time (SGTT): (mean,	Reviewers' comments:
	damage,		9 boys		SEM)	29 of the children originally undergoing
			Mean age 6.0 ± 2.9			evaluation for severe brain damage
		frequency of	years (range 2 to		Left colon:	were found to have constipation, but
	from	defecation and	11 years)		total number of markers at 48	only 16 were included in the study. It is
	asymptomati		Oracia Ocabilalisas		n hasia damanadi	not clear why the other 13 were
	c children		Group 3: children		-brain damaged:	excluded
	and from patients with	gastrointestinal	with no		7.3 ± 1.3	Functional faecal retention not defined
	functional	uisease	gastrointestinal problems		-functional faecal retention	runctional faecal retention not defined
	faecal	Exclusion	N=11		(FFR):	Exact values for all segmental transit
	retention and		7 boys		3.0 ± 1.0	times in the 2 groups not reported
	normal	secondary	Mean age 5.6 ± 3.9		3.0 ± 1.0	lines in the 2 groups not reported
	neurologic	constipation	years (range 2 to		p< 0.05	Source of funding: not stated
	development		12 years)		P 0.00	Source of fariality. Not stated
		clinical	you.o,		total number of markers at 72	
		interview,	Country:		h:	
		physical	Italy		-brain damaged:	
		examination,	,		3.3 ± 0.8	
		barium enema,				
		and anorectal			-functional faecal retention	
		manometry			(FFR):	
		studies and/or			0.5 ± 0.3	
		multiple suction				
		rectal biopsies			p<0.01	
		Setting: hospital			Distribution of markers in right	
					colon and rectum not	
					significantly different between	
					the two groups	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
7 1 1 1	level	prevalence	04 11	standard		
	Study type:	61 adolescents	61 adolescents	Test:	Colonic transit times patterns	Additional information from study:
Chronic	Diagnostic	la aluaia a	Detients (n. 40)	Colonic transit	(N, %):	Radiographs interpreted by 2 of the
functional	case control	Inclusion criteria:	-Patients (n=48) Mean age: 14 years	time (CTT) with	Normal colonic transit: 8 (17)	authors (no further data provided)
constipation in adolescents:	Evidence		(range 12 to 18)	markers	Slow colonic transit: 29 (60)	Adolescents told to keep their usual diet
clinical findings	level:		13 boys	Illaikeis	Pelvic floor dysfunction: 6 (13)	during examination and to discontinue
and motility	III	both sexes,	13 boys	Reference:	Slow colonic transit and pelvic	use of laxatives 7 days before
studies. 2004.	""		-Controls (n=13)	Clinical variables	floor dysfunction: 5 (10)	examination
Journal of	Study aim: to		9 boys	Oliffical variables	liloor dystatiction. 3 (10)	examination
Adolescent	evaluate		age not reported		Total transit time (hours, mean	Patients underwent plain abdominal
Health 34[6],	symptoms	staging), <3	ago not roportou		± SD, median and range)	radiography as per Metcalf method
517-522	and clinical	evacuations/we	Country:		<u>= -2,</u>	l aansgraphy as per mercan memoa
			Brazil		Constipated:	-Slow CTT: delay of total CTT and
	prospective	straining,			62.9 ± 12.6	delay of markers in the right and/or left
	series of	complaints for 1			69 (62.9 to 12.6)	colon
	adolescents	year or longer			,	-Pelvic floor dysfunction: delay in the
	with	-controls: no			Non constipated:	rectosigmoid
	functional	digestive			30.2 ± 13.2	-Slow CTT associated with pelvic floor
	constipation	complaints,			27.5 (10.8 to 50.4)	dysfunction: delay in the colon and
	and to	more than 3			p<0.001	rectosigmoid together with delay in the
	identify	bowel				total CTT
	colonic	movements/we			Segmental transit time (hours,	
	,	ek			mean ± SD, range)	Cut-off points for measurements: mean
	measuring	(participated in			B. 14	value plus two SDs. Right colon (>14
	total and	previous study			-Right colon:	h); left colon (>24h), rectosigmoid (>>36
	segmental	by authors)			Constipated:	h) and total (>51 h)
	colonic transit times	Evaluaion			18.6 ± 15 13.2 (12 to 54)	Reviewers' comments:
	with	Exclusion criteria:			13.2 (12 (0 54)	Researchers not blinded
	radiopaque	neurologic/meta			Non constipated:	Researchers not billided
	markers	bolic diseases,			6.7 ± 3.9	Cut-off points for total and segmental
	Harkers	Hirschsprung's			4.8 (1.2 to 12)	transit times apparently taken from
		disease (barium			P=0.001	previous 1998 study by the authors
		enema), spinal				p. c c.
		disease,			-Left colon:	Source of funding: not stated
		anorectal			Constipated:	
		anomalies,			24.3 ± 13.7	
		surgery of the			22.8 (2.4 to 51.6)	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		colon, mental retardation, use of drugs that act on digestive motility, no clinical evidence of bowel /systemic disease that could cause constipation Setting: hospital gastroenterolog y outpatients clinic			Non constipated: 7.9 ± 7.8 7.2 (0-28.8) P<0.001 -Rectosigmoid: Constipated: 20 ± 15.7 18 (0 to 54) Non constipated: 15.6 ± 10.7 12 (3.6 to 36) NS Interval between evacuations: -Slow colonic transit (n=29): 7.7 ± 6.6 days -Pelvic floor dysfunction (n=6): 3.7 ± 2.4 days p<0.003 Faecal mass palpable at initial examination statistically associated with slow colonic transit (p=0.03) Other clinical variables not statistically associated with delay in colon or rectosigmoid transit: onset of constipation, scybalous faeces, large volume, faecaloma, anal bleeding, soiling, previous use of	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
Koletzko et al. Is histological diagnosis of neuronal intestinal dysplasia related to clinical and manometric findings in constipated children? Results of a pilot study. 1993. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterolog	Study type: Case series (multicentre) Evidence level: III Study aim: to investigate the relationship of clinical, manometric, and histological findings in a group of children with chronic	48 children Inclusion criteria: Initial symptoms of chronic constipation or	48 children 25 boys Mean age: 6.4 ± 5.2 years Country: Switzerland	Test: Colonic transit time (CTT) with radiopaque markers Reference: none	laxative/suppositories/enemas, history of constipation in family, anal fissure, daily ingestion of fibre, sex, age, skin colour Total transit time (hours, mean ± SD) -Children with normal histology (n=15): 70.0 ± 42.6	Additional information from study: Hirschsprung's disease diagnosed in 9 children excluded from further analysis Abortive neuronal intestinal dysplasia (NID) and classic NID diagnosed in 17 and 6 patients respectively. Mean colonic transit times measured using the Metcalf method, in only 30 children of the total population Reviewers' comments: CTT results for children diagnosed with abortive and classic NID not reported for the purposes of this review as they are considered organic causes of constipation No data reported on diet, use of laxatives previous to the investigations Segmental transit times results not reported, and not clear whether they were measured Researchers not blinded Source of funding: not stated
	(NID) and the relationship					

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
Zaslavsky et al.	of histological and manometric findings to clinical severity of constipation and outcome Study type:	prevalence 26 adolescents	26 adolescents	standard Test:	Total transit time (hours, mean	Additional information from study:
Total and segmental colonic transit time with radio-opaque markers in adolescents with functional	Diagnostic case control <u>Evidence</u>	Inclusion criteria: -patients: hard stools, difficulty in evacuating, less than 3	aged 12-18 years Constipated (n=13) Non-constipated (n=13) 9 boys in each group	Colonic transit time (CTT) with radiopaque markers Reference: Clinical variables	<u>± SD, range</u>) -Constipated 58.25 ± 17.46 68.4 (27.6 to 72) -Non constipated 30.18 ± 13.15	No significant statistical differences between two groups regarding age, weight and height Total and segmental CTT measured using Metcalf technique
constipation. 1998. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterolog y and Nutrition 27[2], 138-142	To measure total and segmental	bowel	Country: Brazil	Omnoar variables	27.5 (10.8 to 50.4) P<0.001 Segmental transit time (hours, mean ± SD, range) -Right colon: Constipated 15.97 ± 12.48	On the days the measurements were performed adolescents were advised not to alter their diets and not to ingest food that might alter bowel motility. Fibre intake standardised at 15g/day but due to poor compliance, test was performed on their normal diet. Any treatment with laxatives discontinued at least 7 days before test
	the results	digestive complaints, more than 3 bowel movements/we ek Exclusion criteria: neurologic/meta bolic diseases,			13.7 (2.4 to 43.2) Non constipated 6.74 ± 3.91 7.2 (1.2 to 12) P=0.03 -Left colon: Constipated 24.74 ± 13.39 25.7 (7.2 to 51.6)	All radiographs interpreted by the same radiologist who did not know whether the patient was constipated Patients with constipation considered to have slow colonic transit when delay in transit through the right colon, the left colon or both. They were considered to have distal obstruction when the delay occurred in the rectosigmoid.

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	ievei	Hirschsprung's disease, spinal/anal anomalies, surgery of the colon, mental retardation, history of drug abuse Setting: hospital		Standard	Non constipated 7.94 ± 7.82 7.2 (0 to 28.8) P<0.001 -Rectosigmoid: Constipated 17.60 ± 16.25 16.6 (0 to 49.2) Non constipated 15.58 ± 10.69 12 (3.6 to 36) NS	Normal values for total and segmental transit times taken from the 95 th percentile of adolescents without constipation Reviewers' comments: Small sample size Source of funding: not stated
					Interval between stools: -Constipated: 5.8 ± 2.3 days -Nonconstipated: Daily P<0.01 No significant differences	
					between the 2 groups regarding: bulky or small stools, encopresis, rectal mass, intense use of laxatives, bowel movements/week and mean daily intake of fibres	
Bijos et al. The usefulness of ultrasound examination of the bowel as a	Study type: Diagnostic Case control Evidence	225 children Inclusion criteria: Referred	225 children Group 1: 120 children with chronic constipation	Test: Abdominal ultrasound Reference:	Mean colonic transit times: Children with faecal impaction (as per US) had significantly longer average segmental	Additional information from study: Faecal impaction (as per US in sagital plane): when pelvic structures were covered by stool masses and were not even partially visible.

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence	(== ·	standard		
method of	<u>level</u> :	because of			transit time for the rectum,	
assessment of	Ш	chronic	6.25 years, range	time (CTT) with	sigmoid and left colon	-Overfilled colon (as per US):
functional	o	constipation,	1.6 to 17.9)	radiopaque	(p<0.001, p=0.0015 and	
chronic	Study aim:	based on	0 0	markers	p=0.0104 respectively) there	Overfilled bowel at the splenic flexure:
constipation in	to determine		Group 2:		was not statistically significant	when it was impossible to visualise the
children. 2007.	whether a	physical	105 children with		difference for the right side of	entire length of the left kidney due to
Pediatric	new method		normal defecation	-	the colon. Children with an	the lack of visibility of the lower pole of
Radiology	of ultrasound		pattern (mean age	Transit times		the kidney because of bowel contents.
37[12], 1247-	(US)	disorders	8.25 years)		had a significantly longer	Probe applied to the long axis of the
1252		persisting	0 1		transit time in the left side of	spleen.
	of stool	longer than 6	Country:	<u>literature)</u>	the colon (p=0.0029)	
	retention	months, all	Poland	400	T-4-LOTT	Overfilling of the transverse colon:
	could be	patients fulfilled		≤66: normal-	Total CTT	when the superior mesenteric artery
	used as a	Rome II criteria		transit	(mean values are estimates	was not visible with the probe applied in
	method of	for defecation		constipation	taken from a bar chart):	the sagital plane over the aorta
	identifying	disorders		00.400	5	
		(frequency of		66-100: slow-	-Patients with faecal impaction	US: children examined before food and
		bowel		transit	on US: 67	had a slightly filled bladder. Patients
	chronic	movements less		constipation	Detients with and forced	who passed stool on the day of the
		than twice a		400	-Patients without faecal	examination were temporarily excluded
	and to	week,		>100: very	impaction on US: 42	from the study until they became
	determine	consistency and		delayed slow-	0.004	constipated again. Measurement was
	whether	size of stool			p<0.001	taken several times and the highest one
		caused pain		constipation	Commontal CTT	recorded taken as the final
		during			Segmental CTT	measurement
		defecation,			(mean values are estimates	Total and as manufal aslanis too mait time
	colon (as	withholding			taken from a bar chart)	Total and segmental colonic transit time
	seen on US)	benaviour)			4. Diabt colon	measured by the modified sixth day
	should be	F l i			1. Right colon	Hinton method. Total and segmental
	referred for	Exclusion			-Patients with faecal impaction	time obtained by multiplying the number
	further	criteria:			on US: 9	of radiopaque markers seen on the
	procedures	anatomic			Detients with sort forced	radiograph by 1.2 (time in
	such as	abnormality			-Patients without faecal	hours/number of markers swallowed by
	proctoscopy	(Hirschsprung's			impaction on US: 8	the patient)
	and	disease,			N.S	Poviowar'a commenta:
		congenital			O.NI	Reviewer's comments:
	of colonic	abnormalities of			2 Loft colon	No data an diet or use of levetives
	transit time.	the anorectal			2. Left colon	No data on diet or use of laxatives

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
iniormation	level	prevalence	Characteristics	standard	allu NFV	
		region)				previous to the measurement of CTT
		neurological			on US: 18	The conservation of the co
		and psychiatric conditions			-Patients without faecal	The same individual performed all the US scans, but not other data on this
		(cerebral palsy,			impaction on US: 9	were reported (as blinding, individual's
		spina bifida,				experience in radiology, etc)
		mental			p=0.0104	
		retardation,				It is not clear what number of children
		anorexia			3. Rectosigmoid:	underwent each of the tests
		nervosa) ,metabolic			-Patients with faecal impaction on US: 32	It is not clear whether "enlarged" and
		conditions			011 03. 32	It is not clear whether "enlarged" and "overfilled" colon mean the same for the
		(diabetes			-Patients without faecal	authors, as no measurements of
		mellitus/insipidu			impaction on US: 16	"enlarged" colon are reported.
		s) endocrine				
		disorders			p=0.0015	Data on number of children diagnosed
		(hypothyroidism				with "overfilled colon" are not reported.
), previous thoracic or				It is not clear how many children were
		abdominal				diagnosed with faecal impaction by US
		surgery				
						Children apparently underwent DRE but
		(control				no results are reported
		patients: normal				Control array and did not differ from
		defecation patterns.				Control group did not differ from patients regarding gender, the
		treated for				comparison regarding age is not clearly
		various				reported
		symptoms like				
		chronic				Source of funding: Not stated
		abdominal pain,				
		food allergies)				
		Setting:				
		gastroenterolog				
		y outpatient				
		clinic				

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
de Lorijn et al.	Study type:	89 non selected	89 children	Test:	Mean Leech score (using the	Additional information from study
The Leech	Diagnostic.	consecutive		Plain abdominal	first score):	Diagnosis of functional non-retentive
method for	Case control	children	Median age: 9.8	radiography (read		faecal incontinence (FNRFI) based on:
diagnosing			years	using the Leech	-Group 1 (constipation): 10.1	two/more faecal incontinence
constipation:	<u>Evidence</u>	<u>Inclusion</u>		method)	-Group 2 (controls): 8.5	episodes/week with no signs of
intra- and	<u>level</u> :	criteria: patients	Group 1			constipation 2) defecation frequency
interobserver	III	referred for the	(constipation):	Reference test:	p=0.002	3/more times/week 3) no periodic
variability and		evaluation of	n=52 (28 boys)	Colonic transit		passage of very large amounts of stool
accuracy. 2006.		abdominal pain,		time (CTT) with	Mean CTT:	at least once during a period of 7-30
	to assess	constipation or	Group 2 (controls):	radiopaque	-Group 1 (constipation): 92 h	days 4) no palpable abdominal or rectal
0, 1,	intra- and	faecal	N=37 (24 boys)	markers	-Group 2 (controls): 37 h	mass on physical examination for a
43-49		incontinence.				period of at least 1 week during the
	variability	Diagnosis of	31: FNRFI		p<0.0001	preceding 12 weeks. Faecal
	and		6: FAP			incontinence defined as the
	determine	least two of the	_		Diagnostic accuracy of Leech	voluntary/involuntary loss of loose
	diagnostic	following was	Country:		method vs. CTT method:	stools in the underwear after the age of
		present:	the Netherlands			4 years
	the Leech	-defecation			-Leech method:	Functional abdominal pain (FAP)
	method in	frequency less			(cut-off point as per study	defined as abdominal pain of at least 12
	identifying	than 3			comparable to 9 as per	weeks duration 1)that was continuous
	children with				literature)	or nearly discontinuous in a school-
	functional	-2/more			Sensitivity: 75%	aged child or adolescent 2) that had no
	constipation	episodes of			Specificity: 59%	or only an occasional relationship with
		faecal				physiological events 3) that was
		incontinence			(cut-off point 9 as per	accompanied by some loss of daily
		per week			literature)	functioning 4) that was not feigned and
		-production of			Positive Predictive Value: 72%) for which there were insufficient
		large amounts			Negative Predictive Value: 63%	criteria to indicate the presence of
		of stool once			03%	another functional gastrointestinal disorder
		over a period of 7-30 days			-CCT:	Children with clinical characteristics of
		_			(cut-off point 54h as per study)	FAP and FNRFI classified as the
		-presence of palpable			Sensitivity: 79%	control group: according to authors they
		abdominal or			Specificity: 92%	have "little or no faecal loading on an
		rectal mass			Openiolty. 92 /0	abdominal radiograph"
					(cut-off point 62h as per	and a second sec
		(control children			literature)	Treatment with oral/rectal laxatives
		fulfilled criteria			Sensitivity: 71%	discontinued in each patient for at least

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		for functional abdominal pain (FAP) and for functional non-retentive faecal incontinence (FNRFI)) Exclusion criteria: not reported Setting: tertiary gastroenterolog y outpatients clinic			Specificity: 95% Positive Predictive Value: 69% Negative Predictive Value: 97% ROC analysis -AUC (Leech method): 0.68 (95% CI 0.58-0.80) -AUC (CTT method): 0.90 (95% CI 0.83-0.96) p=0.00015 AUC=Area Under the ROC curve ROC=Receiving Operator Characteristic	4 days. Thereafter the patient ingested one capsule with 10 small radiograph opaque markers on 6 consecutive days, in order to determine the CTT. Subsequently, a plain abdominal radiograph was taken on day 7. this radiograph was both used in the Leech method and for CTT measurement CTT determined by the method of Bouchoucha. Radiography on day 7 used to count the number of markers in the colon. Number of markers x 2 produced total CTT in hours. Localization of markers and CTT calculated according to previously described formula. Normal range for total transit time based on the upper limits (mean ± 2xSD) from a study in healthy children. Based on this study a CTT > 62 h was considered delayed 3 scorers independently scored the same radiography twice (4 weeks apart) using the Leech method, discussed amongst the 3 scorers previous to both readings CTT assessed once by single scorer. Assumed the counting of radiopaque markers would not lead to intra- or interobserver variability Leech scoring method: Colon divided into three segments: right, left and recto sigmoid Each segment provided with a score from 0-5 0:no faeces visible

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
						1:scanty faeces visible 2: mild faecal loading 3: moderate faecal loading 4: severe faecal loading
						5: severe faecal loading with bowel dilatation
						Leech score of 9 or more: suggestive of constipation
						Scorers: 3 experienced doctors (a 5 th year radiology resident, a paediatric radiologist and a senior paediatric gastroenterologist). No clinical information about the patients was made available to them.
						In 5% of cases the Leech scores of the same patient produced by different scorers could differ by 4 points or more
						Reviewer's comments:
						No data reported on type of diet given prior to the measurement of CTT
						Source of funding: not stated

Radioisotopes Markers

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
illiormation	level	prevalence	Characteristics	standard	and NFV	
Cook et al.	Study type:	101 consecutive	101 children	Test:	Mean sum of GC for the 4	Additional information from study:
Radionuclear	Diagnostic	nuclear transit		Colonic transit	imaging periods (mean ± SD,	Four imaging periods: 6, 24, 30 and 48h
transit to assess	retrospective	time performed	62 boys	time (CTT) with	range)	
sites of delay in	case series	on children with		radioisotopes		Intake of laxatives stopped 5 days
large bowel		severe	Mean age 7.3 ±		1-Normal transit time (n=24):	before the transit time and patients
transit in	<u>Evidence</u>	constipation	3.7 years	Reference		fasted for 4h before start of test. Rectal
children with	<u>level</u> : III	over a 2-year		Standard:	15.7±3.3 (7.3-19.1)	disimpaction not carried out before
chronic		period	Country:	None stated		study in any patient.
idiopathic	Study aim:		Australia		2-SCT (n=50):	Radiopharmaceutical technetium 99m-
constipation.	To review	<u>Inclusion</u>		Three categories		calcium phytate colloid, suspended in
2005. Journal of	the authors'	criteria:		of colonic transit	11.2±1.9 (7.5-16.3)	20mL of milk was administered by
Pediatric	results of	All patients		according to		mouth.
Surgery 40[3],		seen by the		visual	p<0.001 as compared to	
478-483	studies on	senior author or		assessment	normal transit time and FFR	A nuclear medicine radiologist from the
	children with			-Normal transit	groups	hospital performed qualitative visual
	severe	gastroenterologi		time: tracer		assessment of the images acquired at
	chronic	st paediatrician.		reached the	3-FFR (n=22):	each time interval. Colonic transit times
		All had		caecum by 6		was estimated by analysis of the
	and to	symptoms of		hours, passed	15.1±1.5 (12.7-18.2)	images acquired between 6 and 48
	assess the	severe chronic		through the colon		hours
	use of the	constipation		and was largely	4-Borderline (n=5)	
	geometric	and/or		excreted by 6	not reported	Geometric centre (GC): six regions of
		encopresis that		hours		interest were defined:
	and visual	had not			GC at each of the 4 imaging	1-precolonic region
		responded to at		-Slow colonic	periods (mean ± SD, range)	2-caecum and ascending colon as far
		least six months		transit time		as the hepatic flexure
		of medical		(SCT): when the	1-Normal transit time (n=24):	3-transverse colon from hepatic to
	these	therapy with		tracer reached	6h: 2.0±0.5 (1-3.5)	splenic flexure
	children	laxatives,		the caecum at 6	24h: 3.9±1.1 (1-5.9)	4- descending colon from splenic
		dietary		hours but most	30h: 4.6±1.2 (2-5.9)	flexure to start of sigmoid
		alterations and		radioactivity was	48h: 5.2±0.9 (2.3-6)	5-sigmoid colon
		behaviour		retained in the		6-faeces
		modification			2-SCT (n=50):	GC refers to the median point of the
				24, 30 and 48 h	6h: 1.8±0.3 (1-2.5)	distribution of activity within the colon. It
		<u>Exclusion</u>			24h: 2.6±0.5 (1.9-4.4)	was calculated by multiplying the

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	criteria: Obviously palpable faecaloma in rectum or sigmoid colon. Anorectal malformation, spinal deformity, Hirschsprung's disease, bowel washout or enema in the week before study to remove faecaloma Setting: continence clinic		retention/outlet obstruction (FFR): the tracer reached the rectosigmoid by 24 to 30 h but was not passed at 48 h -Borderline: according to	30h: 3.1±0.6 (1.8-4.5) 48h: 3.7±0.9 (1.9-5.7) p<0.05 at 6h and p<0.001 at 24, 30 and 48 h, as compared to normal transit and FFR groups 3-FFR (n=22): 6h: 2.0±0.4 (1.2-3) 24h: 3.6±0.7 (2.5-5) 30h: 4.4±0.5 (3.5-5.4) 48h: 5.1±0.3 (4.4-5.7) 4-Borderline (n=5) not reported No significant difference in the GC at any imaging time when comparing patients with normal transit with those with FFR. Two of the 101 children (not clear in which group) had a GC of 1.0 at 6 h indicating that 100% of the tracer was located in the small bowel, suggesting	fraction of the administered activity in a region, by a region number and the 6 numbers for each image episode were added Reviewers' comments: No control group, or comparison with a reference test Not clear definition of constipation reported No diagnosis prior to the application of the test was made Researchers not reported blinded Source of funding: Not stated
Vattimo et al. Total and segmental colon transit time in constipated children assessed by scintigraphy with 111In-	Study type: Diagnostic. Prospective case series Evidence level: III Study aim: Not clearly	Constipation	39 children 23 females Age range: 2-13 years <u>Country:</u> Italy	Test: Colonic transit time (CTT) with radioisotopes Reference test: none reported	impairment. Total transit time (hours, mean ± SD) -Normal transit time (n=13) 27.79 ± 4.10 -Mainly rectosigmoid retention (n=5) 53.36 ± 29.66	Additional information from study: -RC: right colon from caecum to mid- transverse -LF: left colon from mid-transverse to descending colon-sigmoid junction -RS: rectosigmoid from the sigmoid junction to rectum From the point of view of radiation dosimetry the most heavily irradiated

Information &	Study type Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
orally. 1993. mi lik Nuclear Biology and Medicine 37[4], 218-222 ch	ight read ae: to resent the esults of hildren eferred for onstipation ho nderwent tal and egmental ansit time	of the defecating time Exclusion criteria: Normal children (no other details given) Setting: unclear, but children were outpatients			-Prolonged transit time in all segments (n=14) 62.09 ± 7.23 -More prolonged transit time in rectosigmoid tract (n=7) 92.36 ± 24.16 Segmental transit time (hours, mean ± SD) -Normal transit time (n=13) Right colon: 9.11 ± 2.53 Left colon: 9.80 ± 3.50 Rectosigmoid: 8.88 ± 4.09 -Mainly rectosigmoid retention (n=5) Right colon: 10.38 ± 2.34 Left colon: 10.40 ± 4.00 Rectosigmoid: 32.58 ± 29.64 -Prolonged transit time in all segments (n=14) Right colon: 21.81 ± 5.29 Left colon: 23.32 ± 6.14 Rectosigmoid: 16.95 ± 4.52 -More prolonged transit time in rectosigmoid tract (n=7) Right colon: 19.78 ± 9.03	organs were the lower large intestine and the ovaries and the level of radiation burden depended on the colon transit time Reviewers' comments: No data reported on diet or use of laxatives previous to the measurement of CTT It is unclear whether the children suffered from severe/intractable constipation. Otherwise if might be difficult to justify this study No data on the researchers or their performance was reported Results for children with dolichocolon (n=7) not reported as this would be secondary constipation Source of funding: not stated

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	and NPV	Reviewer comment
					Left colon: 21.05 ± 5.70 Rectosigmoid: 51.53 ± 17.82 Interval between defecations: (hours, mean ± SD) -Normal transit time (n=13) 23.38 ± 5.42 -Mainly rectosigmoid retention (n=5) 35.60 ± 14.54 -Prolonged transit time in all segments (n=14) 53.00 ± 15.97 -More prolonged transit time in rectosigmoid tract (n=7)	
Shin et al. Signs and symptoms of slow-transit constipation versus functional retention. 2002. Journal of Pediatric Surgery 37[12], 1762-1765	Retrospective case series Evidence level: III Study aim: to correlate symptoms, signs, transit times and immunohisto	180 children Inclusion criteria: Severe, intractable constipation which did not respond to at least 6 months of medical therapy instituted by a general practitioner or paediatrician Exclusion	180 children 92 boys Mean ages: 10.5 years (STC); 6 years (FFR) Country: Korea & Australia	Test: Colonic transit time (CTT) with radioisotopes Reference: -Clinical variables -Stool characteristics	85.71 ± 32.25 FFR (n=19) STC (n=161) FFR vs. SCT (Clinical variables (%)) -Constipation: 89 vs.91 -Soling: 42 vs.64 -Bloating: 26 vs. 46 -Abdominal pain: 42 v. 51 -Anal pain: 16 vs. 19 -Vomiting: 7 vs. 16 -Failed toilet training: -Poor appetite: 42 vs. 22 -Behavioural problems: 21 vs. 22 -Prematurity: 6 vs. 5 -Meconium passage > 24 after	Additional information from study: Clinical stories reviewed retrospectively and augmented by interview or questionnaire No gender differences between both groups Normal CCT defined as the presence of tracer in the caecum by 6h, in the rectosigmoid by 30 h and passed in the faces by 48h. Slow CCT defined as global colonic delay with hold-up of tracer proximal to the rectosigmoid at 30 and 48 h (with no rectal faecaloma). FFR identified by hold-up of tracer proximal to the rectosigmoid at 48 h preceded by normal transit

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level	Number of patients & prevalence	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	diagnostic differences between	criteria: None reported Setting: unclear		standard	birth: 41 vs. 33 (35% unknown) -Family history of constipation: 61 vs. 52 -Constipation present at birth: 11 vs. 26 (p=0.17) (p values not reported are not significant) FFR vs. SCT (Stool characteristics (%)) -Volume: Small moderate: 68 vs. 47 Large: 26 vs. 52 Not known: 5 vs. 2 -Consistency: Hard/firm: 78 vs. 58 Soft/variably soft: 16 vs. 39 (p<0.001) Not known: 5 vs. 3 -Frequency: >1 week: 56 vs. 40 1/week: 26 vs. 22 <1 week: 11 vs. 28 Not known: 5 vs. 10	Visual inspection of collected radiographic images augmented by use of a "colonic transit index" (sum of the geometric centres of radioactivity at 6, 24, 30 and 48 h) Normal values for CTT derived from several studies of transit time in healthy children Slow-transit constipation, STC: slow transit through the colon FFR: chronic constipation caused by delay of anorectal release Reviewers' comments: Exclusion criteria not reported Questionnaires not piloted. No data on intrarater/interrater reliability No data on diet or use of laxatives previous to CTT No data of individual(s) performing readings: blinding, etc. Actual figures for CTT not reported
Chitkara et al.		67 adolescents	67 adolescents	Test:	Colonic transit time (n=41)	Source of funding: Not reported Additional information from study:
The role of	Diagnostic		Mean age: 14.7±	Colonic transit	(FC=12; FFR=8; C-IBS=21)	Patients were classified in three groups
pelvic floor	retrospective		3.3 yr	time (CTT) with		according to paediatric Rome II criteria
,	case series	criteria:	67% female	radioisotopes	-Geometric centre at 24 h	based on the symptoms and diagnoses
slow colonic		-constipation			Total: 2.03 ± 0.99	provided by the clinician who evaluated
transit in	<u>Evidence</u>	unresponsive to			FC: 1.73 ± 0.29	the patient prior to the ARM and BET
adolescents	<u>level</u> : III	first line,	(n=16) Functional	Reference tests:	FFR: 2.04 ± 0.38	

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence	Number of patients &	Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
	level	prevalence		standard		
with refractory		symptomatic	constipation (FC)	-Clinical variables		Patients instructed to discontinue all
constipation.	Study aim:	treatments		(nausea,	-Slow colonic transit (%)	medications known to affect intestinal
	to examine	 completion of 	Group 2:	vomiting,	Total: 30	motility 48 h prior to study. Patients
Journal of	the	clinically	(n=18) Functional	٥, ٥	FC: 42	given the radioisotope after overnight
Gastroenterolog			faecal retention	loss and	FFR: 14	fast
y 99[8], 1579-	and pelvic	and BET for	(FFR)	incomplete rectal		
1584		the evaluation		evacuation)	-Fast colonic transit (%)	A geometric centre at 24h of ≤ 1.6 was
		of constipation	Groups 3:		Total: 7.5	classified as slow colonic transit and >
	manometry	-age ≤ 18 yr	(n=33)		FC: 0	3.8 considered fast colonic transit.
	(ARM) and	-able and willing			FFR: 0	Davisona, as managarta.
	balloon	to follow	predominant		No significant association of	Reviewers' comments:
	expulsion test (BET) in		irritable bowel syndrome IBS(C-		No significant association of abnormal GC at 24h (fast or	Methodology poorly described. Researchers not reported blinded.
			IBS)		slow) and individual	Intrarater/interrater reliability
	≤ 18 years of		163)		gastrointestinal symptoms (no	measurements not reported
	age referred		Country:		further details reported)	lineasurements not reported
		test operator	USA		latifier details reported)	Only 61% of total sample underwent
	care centre	-presence of	00/1			colonic transit time, but not clear
	for	gastrointestinal				explanation for this
	symptoms of	complaints in				
	refractory	the absence of:				Not clear on what basis the cut off
	constipation,					points for the geometric centre were
	and to	<u>Exclusion</u>				determined
	describe the	criteria:				
	results of	colonic				Insufficient data to allow calculation of
	scintigraphic					other parameters of diagnostic value of
	colonic	systemic				CTT (Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and
	transit	organic disease				NPV)
	measuremen					
	ts in the	mellitus,				Results for C-IBS patients not reported,
		hypothyroidism,				as population outside the remit of this
	also	mielomeningoc				guideline
	underwent	ele, mental				Course of fundings
	this test	retardation/deve				Source of funding:
		lopmental				In part by the GlaxoSmithKline Institute of Digestive General Research Award
		delay, Hirschsprung's				to D. Chiktara ad NIH grants to M.
		disease)				Camilleri
		uisease)				Carrilleri

Bibliographic Information	Study type & Evidence level		Population Characteristics	Type of test and Reference standard	Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV and NPV	Reviewer comment
		Setting: tertiary care centre				

Pharmacological and Surgical Interventions for Disimpaction in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic	Study Type &		Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
Candy et al.	Study Type:	65 children	65 children	Intervention:	Follow-up	1. Successful	Additional information from study:
Treatment of	Prospective			Polyethylene	period:	disimpaction (No, %):	Definition of impaction was functional or
faecal impaction	case series	Inclusion	Mean age: 5.7	glycol 3350 (13.8	9 days	-total (n=63)	procedural: Children were eligible if they
with	(phase 1 of	criteria:	years(56%	g powder		yes: 58 (92)	would, in the normal course of events,
polyethelene	the study)*	children aged	children 5 to 11	dissolved in at	Outcome	no: 5 (8)	have been admitted and treated for
glycol plus		2 to 11 years	years)	least 125 ml water	Measures:		faecal impaction
electrolytes	<u>Evidence</u>	with		per sachet) plus		-age 2 to 4 (n=28)	
(PGE + E)	level:	intractable	68% boys	electrolytes (PEG	1. Successful	yes: 25 (89)	Phase 1 of the study planned as
followed by a	3	constipation		+ E; Movicol ®)	disimpaction	no: 3 (11)	noncomparative because of good
double-blind		that had failed	Country: UK	administered	without any		success rate obtained at initial
comparison of	Study aim: to	to respond to		orally in hospital	additional	-age 5 to 11 (n=35)	experience in treating impacted children
PEG + E versus	assess the	conventional		according to an	intervention	yes: 33 (94)	with PEG + E in the authors' unit: it was
lactulose as	efficacy of	treatment and		escalating dosing		no: 2 (6)	considered unethical to randomise the
maintenance	polyethylene	would require			2. Time to		children to an alternative treatment
therapy. 2006.	glycol 3350	hospital		disimpaction was	disimpaction	2. Time to	
Journal of	plus	admission for		achieved (up to 7	(primary	disimpaction (days)	Sample size: intended to recruit 60
Pediatric	electrolytes	disimpaction		days)	efficacy	(mean, SD; median,	children to obtain approximately 45
Gastroenterolog		(otherwise			endpoint)	range):	children continuing to end of phase 2
	Movicol ®) as	been admitted		-PEG + E dosing		-total (n=63)	
43[1], 65-70	oral	for enemas,		regime	3. Maximum	5.7 ± 1.2	Successful disimpaction indicated by the
	monotherapy	manual			dose required	6.0 (3 to 7)	passage of watery stools.
	in the	removal or		No. PEG + E	to achieve		
	treatment of	intestinal		sachets:	disimpaction	-age 2 to 4 (n=28)	Dose regime chosen because it had
	faecal	lavage with				5.8 ± 1.2	shown to be effective in a previous study
	impaction in	PEG + E		a. 2 to 4 years	4. Safety	6.0 (3 to 7)	from the same unit
	children and	solutions)		Day 1: 1			
	to compare	<u>_</u>		Day 2: 2		-age 5 to 11 (n=35)	After disimpaction children continued to
		<u>Exclusion</u>		Day 3: 2		5.6 ± 1.1	received PEG + E at the dose that

^{*} Study comprised two phases. Outcomes for the second phase (RCT) regarding maintenance therapy will be presented at the next review

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention &	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
iniormation	Level	Patients	S	Comparison	Measures		
	PEG + E with	criteria: any		Day 4: 3		6.0 (3 to 7)	achieved disimpaction for 2 more days
	lactulose as	condition		Day 5: 3			to ensure that complete disimpaction of
	maintenance	contraindicati		Day 6: 4		3. Maximum dose	the bowel had occurred
	therapy in a	ng the use of		Day 7: 4		<u>required</u>	
	randomised	PEG+E or				(sachets/day):	Use of additional interventions
	trial	lactulose,		b. 5 to 11 years		-total (n=63): 6	necessary to achieve disimpaction
		including		Day 1: 2		-age 2 to 4 (n=28): 4	(laxatives, suppositories, enemas,
		intestinal		Day 2: 3			washouts or manual removal) necessary
		perforation or		Day 3: 4			to achieve disimpaction was also
		obstruction,		Day 4: 5		4. Mean number (SD)	recorded
		allergy to any		Day 5: 6		of sachets required to	
		of the		Day 6: 6			3 children withdrew before receiving any
		ingredients of		Day 7: 6		-total (n=63): 19.6	study medication and 2 children failed to
		the trial		_		(7.5)	disimpact within the time allowed, but
		products,		Comparison: none			they were included in results
		paralytic ileus,				-age 2 to 4 (n=28):	
		toxic				14.3 (4.5)	Reviewer comments:
		megacolon,				5	No explicit definition of "watery stools"
		Hirschsprung'				-age 5 to 11 (n=35):	given
		s disease ,				23.6 (6.8)	10.
		severe				N	It is not clear who assessed the
		inflammatory				No significant	outcome "passage of watery stools",
		bowel				differences between	although it looks like it was probably the
		disease,				the two age groups	researchers
		uncontrolled renal/hepatic/				for any of the outcomes measured	Individual assessing outcomes not
		cardiac				outcomes measured	reported blinded to study objectives
		disease,				The 2 children who	reported billided to study objectives
		uncontrolled				failed to disimpact in	Not reported whether there were any
		endocrine				the 7 days specified	differences between the children who
		disorder or				in the study protocol	withdrew before receiving any
		any				were continued on	medication, those who failed to
		neuromuscula				PEG+E	disimpact and the ones who completed
		r condition				administration and	the study and disimpacted during the
		affecting the				eventually	time allowed
		bowel				disimpacted	
		3.10.				a.c.iiipaotoa	Not clear whether vomiting affected the
		Setting:				4. Safety:	dose required to achieve disimpaction

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		hospital				-Number of children	or whether children receive any
						experiencing adverse effects: 39 (62%).	medication to prevent / stop vomiting
						(non of these judged	Source of funding: supported by Norgine
						by investigator to be	Pharmaceuticals Ltd.
						serious)	Tharmaceuticals Etc.
						oonous,	
						Most commonly	
						reported events:	
						gastrointestinal (51%	
						children) (abdominal	
						pain, nausea,	
						pruritus, ani /	
						proctalgia and	
						vomiting)	
						No differences in the overall incidence of	
						adverse effects or of	
						gastrointestinal	
						effects for the two	
						age groups, except	
						for vomiting (32% of	
						age 2 to 4 children	
						vs. 9% of aged 5 to	
						11 children)) results	
						showed a direct	
						correlation between	
						incidence of vomiting	
						and day of dosing	
Youssef et al.		41 children	41 children	Intervention:	Follow-up	Clearance of faecal	Additional information from study:
Dose response	RCT	la alvaia a	27 male	Polyethylene	period: 5 days	impaction (number of	Functional faecal retention: difficulty
of PEG 3350 for	Cylidanaa	Inclusion	median age 7.5	glycol PEG 3350	after starting	patients, %)	passing stools >3 months (straining,
the treatment of childhood fecal	Evidence level:	criteria: children with	years (3.,3 to 13.1)	Comparisons (4	treatment (48 hour after their	-Achieved	grunting, stool "getting stick") and passage of stools <3 times/week
impaction.	11-	functional	13.1)	arms):	last drug use)	total: 30 (75)	passage of Stools <3 tilles/week
2002. Journal of	-	faecal	Country: USA	<u>aiiiis).</u>	last ulug use)	(13)	Planned to enrol 10 children in each
Pediatrics		retention as	Country.	1) 0.25 g/kg per	Outcome	(Values for each	group
141[3], 410-414		defined by		day	Measures:	group are estimates	3. 4 4

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	the efficacy and safety of 4 different doses of polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350 in the treatment of childhood faecal disimpaction	Rome criteria, aged 3 to 18, male or female, with evidence of faecal impaction at physical examination Exclusion criteria: previous gastrointestin al surgery, no allergy /sensitivity to PEG solution or phosphates, signs and symptoms suggestive of obstruction (vomiting, abdominal distension and abdominal mass that extended beyond the level of the umbilicus)		2) 0.5 g/kg per day 3) 1.0 g/kg per day 4) 1.5 g/kg per day Each of them to be taken for 3 consecutive days, premixed with a solution flavoured in orange Crystal Light (Kraft Food, Inc) in the morning with breakfast at a dose of 10mL/kg/day. If volume exceeded 240 ml, the remaining daily dose was equally divided throughout the remaining meals. Maximum dose 100 g daily	-number of	during the 5-day study: 33 (83%) of total sample (Values for each group are estimates taken from a Bar chart. Baseline value is less than 2 for all groups): a) 0.25 g/kg per day (n=10): 6 b) 0.5 g/kg per day	All medications for constipation discontinued 7 days before baseline examination and also during the duration of study Faecal impaction: a palpable mass in the left abdomen and/or a dilated rectum filled with a large amount of hard stool on rectal examination Presence or absence of faecal impaction assessed by abdominal and rectal examination. Physical examinations performed by 2 examiners to confirm presence of faecal impaction Investigators blinded to randomisation allocation sequence and concealment maintained until patients enrolled completed All medications dispensed to families in a clear container labelled with only a random sequence number generated by manufacturer. All containers initially contained PEG 3350: 50g, 100g, 200g or 300g. Each container was then constituted to a 2000 ml solution for respective four doses Characteristics of stools measured by diaries provided to parents. Diaries had visual analog scales marked from 0 to 10, each mark evenly spaced 1 cm apart, 0 minimum and 10 maximum. Children and parents asked to report each defecation and its associated attributed.
		and abdominal mass that extended beyond the level of the		Maximum dose		group are estimates taken from a Bar chart. Baseline value is less than 2 for all groups): a) 0.25 g/kg per day (n=10): 6	or 300g. Each container was then constituted to a 2000 ml solution for respective four doses Characteristics of stools measured by diaries provided to parents. Diaries had visual analog scales marked from 0 to 10, each mark evenly spaced 1 cm apart, 0 minimum and 10 maximum. Children and parents asked to report

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						c) 1.0 g/kg per day (n=10): 11	to 10, very difficult and much effort), consistency of stool (0, too loose and watery; 1 to 10 very hard), amount of stools per defecation (0, very little; 1 to
						d) 1.5 g/kg per day (n=9): 12	10, a lot) associated gas (0, none; 1 to 10 too much) and cramping (0, none; 1 to 10 very painful)
						p<0.005 for each group compared to	5 th day after initiation of treatment
						the others	chosen for follow-up visit because of author's previous clinical experience
						-time of first bowel	with PEG 3350 showed initial effect
						movement after initiation of treatment	between 1 and 2 days after beginning use of medication
						(mean ± SD) 1.89 ±	use of medication
						0.46 days (total	Clearance of faecal impaction defined
						sample)	as rectal vault that was either empty or had a small amount of soft stools. In
						Characteristics of	those with abdominal examination
						stools and symptoms	findings, resolution of the left lower
						during treatment	quadrant mass in addition to an empty rectal vault was defined as successful
						No significant	disimpaction. Clearance of faecal
						differences in any of the following	impaction confirmed by 2 examiners
						parameters among	Success of disimpaction not significantly
						the 4 groups:	related to the independent factors of
						straining,	age, duration of constipation, current
						consistency, stool amount, gas and	use of medication for constipation and baseline constipation score
						cramping (copy actual	baseline constipation score
						results)	One child receiving 1.5 g/kg/day did not show up at follow-up visit
						Adverse effects:	·
						-Nausea (5%)	Reviewer comments:
						-Vomiting (5%) -Bloating/flatulence:	Small sample, no sample size calculation
						18%	Calculation

Level s Measures	Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
-Loose stools (13%) -Diarrhoea: higher doses groups (5/20) vs. lower doses group (2/20); p-0.02 Acceptability of study medication by children: 95% of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study doses: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group				S		Measures		
Diarrhoea: higher doses groups (5/20) vs. lower doses group (2/20); p-0.02 Acceptability of study medication by children: 95% of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose; no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group							-Pain/cramping: 5%	Methods of randomisation and allocation
doses groups (5/20) vs. lower doses group (2/20); p<0.02 Acceptability of study medication by children: 95% of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose; no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								concealment not described
vs. lower doses group (2/20); p<0.02 Acceptability of study medication by children: 95% of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose; no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
(2/20); p-0.02 Acceptability of study medication by children: 95% of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose; no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
Acceptability of study medication by children; 95% of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose; no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group Acceptability of study medication by children; and baseline significantly longer for the group								
medication by children: 95% of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
children: 95% of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
Sow of children took PEG 3350 on the first attempt Source of funding: supported by Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose: no significant differences between groups								
PEG 3350 on the first attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group Duration of the group Duration of the group Source of funding: supported by Braintree Laboratories Incorporated, General Clinical Research Centre, Children's Hospital of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania								
attempt Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								Solution for respective four doses
Mean daily volumes required to take the appropriate study dose: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group							attempt	Source of funding: supported by
required to take the appropriate study dose; no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group							Mean daily volumes	Braintree Laboratories Incorporated
appropriate study dose: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
dose: no significant differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
differences between groups All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
All children said they would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
would repeat a 3-day regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group							groups	
regimen of PEG3350 to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group							All children said they	
to help treat future faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
faecal impaction Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
Duration of constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group								
constipation at baseline significantly longer for the group							faecal impaction	
baseline significantly longer for the group							Duration of	
longer for the group							•	
l receiving 1.5 g/kg per l								
day as compared to								
the group receiving								
0.5 g/kg per day								
Tolia et al. A Study Type: 48 children 48 children Intervention: Follow-up Frequencies (%) Additional information from study:	Talia et al. A	Ctudy Type:	40 obildron	40 obildron	Intervention	Follow up		Additional information from attel:::
			46 Children	46 Children				Constipation defined as the passage of
prospective RCT 2-8 tablespoons period: 2 days (total sample for all outcomes, n=36) Constipation defined as the passage of infrequent, large sized, firm to hard			Inclusion	Data available		penou. 2 days		

study with mineral oil and oral lavage solution for treatment of faecal impaction in children. 1993. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 The properties of the basis of intestinal lavage solution or the containing polyethylene of the data oral lavage solution or containing polyethylene of the data oral lavage solution containing polyethylene of the data oral lavage polyethylene of children aged containing polyethylene of the data oral lavage solution containing polyethylene of the data oral lavage solution containing polyethylene of children aged chi	
mineral oil and oral lavage solution for treatment of faecal impaction in children. 1993. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 Therapeutics 7[5], 523-5	
oral lavage solution for treatment of faecal impaction in children. 1993. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 The faecal impaction in children. 1998. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 The faecal impaction in children. 1998. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 The faecal impaction in containing ployethylene Counterly treatment of intestinal lavage solution containing ployethylene Counterly treatment of firm to hard faecal ployyethylene Counterly treatment of faecal ployyethylene Counterly treatment of study aim: constipation, normal growth determined (30 ml/10 kg of body weight) -Group I (mineral oil) conder treatment of bowel movements after treatment of bowel movements after treatment (30 ml/10 kg of body weight) -Group I (mineral oil, n=17): 2/10/5 -Alimentary Pharmacology and the basis of history and using either mineral oil or pineapple intestinal lavage solution containing ployyethylene Counterly time of firm to hard faecal ployyethylene Counterly time of firm to hard faecal and polyethylene Counterly time of firm to hard faecal and polyethylene Counterly time of firm to hard faecal ployyethylene Counterly time of firm to hard faecal and polyethylene Counterly time of firm to hard faecal ployyethylene Counterly time of firm to hard faecal ployyethylene Counterly time of proved the termined (30 ml/10 kg of body weight) -Group I (lavage solution, n=19): 9/8/2 p<0.005 -Group II (lavage solution, n=19): 9/8/2 p<0.005 -Group II (lavage solution, n=17): 17/0/0 -Group II (lavage solution, n=19): 1/17/0/0 -Group II (lavage solution, n=17): 1/10/0 -Group II (lavage solution, n=17): 1/10/0/0 -Group II (lavage solution, n=17):	ectal
solution for treatment of faecal impaction in children. 1993. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 The represence of intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene is of treatment of in children. 1994. Constipation, normal growth faecal impaction in containing polyethylene is olution recreated impaction to compare the efficacy and administering the faecal impaction in containing polyethylene is olution.	
treatment of faecal impaction in children. In ormal growth and development, and and acceptability of the acceptability and	
faecal impaction in children. 1993. Alimentary Pharmacology and Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 The properties of impaction in containing polyethylene The anal canal and acceptability of the basis of intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene The anal canal and absence of development, absence of the efficacy absence of the efficacy absence of development, absence of difficulty in acceptability of the sissease treatment of firm to hard faecal inpaction in containing polyethylene The anal canal and absence of development, absence of difficulty in acceptability of the sissease treatment of firm to hard faecal inpaction in containing polyethylene The anal canal and development, absence of difficulty in acceptability of the sissease treatment of firm to hard faecal inpaction in containing polyethylene The anal canal and development, absence of difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice The anal canal and acceptability of the sissease treatment of the basis of history and using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene The anal canal and acceptability of the anal canal and acceptability of the anal canal and acceptability of the aspence of difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice The anal canal and acceptability of the assessing disconting associated encopresis, rectal blee orange juice The anal canal and acceptability of the assessing absolution acceptability of the paramacology and difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it by blending it by the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it by blending it by the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it by blending it by the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending (none/occasio	
in children. 1993. Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 To pineapple isotonic prineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene To comparison: presence of intestinal polyethylene To comparison: proper part of the efficacy and acceptability of the saceal impaction in containing polyethylene To comparison: proper part of the efficacy and acceptability of the sace al assence of treatment of the basis of history and physical examination by the presence of containing polyethylene To comparison: proper patients in the I parents had difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise if the parents had difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice To comparison: pineapple flavoured lavage solution containing polyethylene To comparison: provious after treatment vomiting adfer treatment vomiting after treatment vomiting asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of vomiting a	
Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 To pineapple isotonic pineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene To place in the basis of intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or pineapple isotonic ontaining polyethylene To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or physical examination by the previous treatment oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or presence of firm to hard lavage solution containing polyethylene To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or physical examination by the previous treatment oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or presence of firm to hard lavage solution containing polyethylene To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or physical examination by the previous treatment oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or physical examination by the previous treatment or firm to hard lavage solution containing polyethylene To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or physical lavage solution oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it or orange juice To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it orange juice To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it bowel movement after treatment cramps/bloating orange juice To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it orange juice To the difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it bowel movement after treatment cramps/bloating orange juice To difficulty in administering the oil they were asked to disguise it bowel movement a	
Alimentary Pharmacology and pharmacology and treatment of Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529	avage
Pharmacology and Therapeutics T[5], 523-529 of the treatment of faecal impaction using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene of the anal canal polyethylene and rectal of the dasis of the basis of the basis of history and physical excluded on the basis of history and physical examination by the presence of firm to hard lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal of they were asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice orange juice oil they were asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice oran	
treatment of faecal impaction using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal treatment of faecal impaction using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal polyethylene and rectal treatment of faecal impaction using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal polyethylene and rectal treatment of faecal impaction in the anal canal and rectal treatment of faecal impaction in the anal canal and rectal treatment of faecal impaction in the anal canal and rectal treatment of faecal impaction in the anal canal and rectal impaction in the basis of flavoured asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it treatment consider same treatment cons). No
Therapeutics 7[5], 523-529 faecal impaction using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene in the basis of history and using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene isotonic impaction using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene isotonic impaction in the anal canal and rectal into basis of history and physical (flavoured in the basis of history and physical (asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it treatment consider same treatment consider same treatment (asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it treatment consider same treatment (asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it treatment (asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it treatment (asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it treatment (asked to disguise it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice it treatment (asked to disguise it treatment (asked to disguise it treatment (asked to diseasonal/a lot): -Group II (lavage solution, n=17):17/0/0 s	
T[5], 523-529 impaction using either mineral oil or pineapple isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene more polyethylene it by blending it with 120-180 ml of orange juice movement after treatment consider same treatment consider same treatment movement after treatment movement afte	
using either mineral oil or pineapple by the presence of intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal solution in the anal canal polyethylene	
mineral oil or pineapple by the presence of intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal solution in the anal canal polyethylene and rectal solution sistomatic pineapple aisotonic pineapple isotonic pineapple by the presence of firm to hard faecal impaction in the anal canal and rectal solution containing polyethylene are pineapple diet, palpable abdominal masses, abdominal distension, anal fissure treatment consider same treatment polyethylene diet, palpable abdominal masses, abdominal distension, anal fissure perineal soiling, sphincter tone an consistency of stool. 2.Physical examination: Group II (lavage solution, n=19): compliance of child with medication time of first bowel movement after	
pineapple by the presence of isotonic intestinal lavage faecal solution containing polyethylene and rectal properties of the solution isotonic isotonic intestinal lavage solution containing polyethylene isotonic isotonic isotonic intestinal lavage solution isotonic presence of firm to hard faecal impaction in the anal canal polyethylene isotonic isotonic isotonic isotonic isotonic isotonic intestinal impaction in containing isotonic isotoni	
isotonic presence of firm to hard lavage solution containing polyethylene project of presence of firm to hard lavage and rectal polyethylene project of the firm to hard lavage solution containing presence of firm to hard firm to hard lavage solution containing presence of firm to hard firm	
intestinal lavage faecal solution containing polyethylene and rectal firm to hard faecal impaction in the anal canal and rectal firm to hard faecal impaction in the anal canal and rectal firm to hard faecal pineapple flavoured balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal firm to hard faecal pineapple flavoured balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal pineapple flavoured balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal pineapple flavoured balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal pineapple flavoured balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal pineapple flavoured balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene pineapple flavoured balanced oral lavage solution polyethylene pineapple flavage solution polyethylene pineapple flavoured balanced oral lavage soluti	
lavage solution impaction in the anal canal polyethylene and rectal solution and rectal solution balanced oral polyethylene and rectal flavoured balanced oral lavage solution containing solution containing solution polyethylene and rectal solution polyethylene and rectal solution solution and rectal solution solution solution solution and rectal solution solution solution and rectal solution solution solution solution solution and rectal solution solut	d
solution impaction in containing polyethylene and rectal balanced oral polyethylene impaction in the anal canal and rectal balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal balanced oral lavage solution containing polyethylene lavage solution containing polyethylene lavage solution containing polyethylene lavage solution containing polyethylene lavage solution containing lavage solution containing polyethylene lavage solution containing lavage solution lavage solution containing lavage solution containing lavage solution lavage soluti	
containing the anal canal polyethylene and rectal lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal lavage solution containing polyethylene and rectal solution, n=19): compliance of child with medication polyethylene containing polyethylene and rectal solution, n=19): compliance of child with medication polyethylene and rectal solution polyethylene	
polyethylene and rectal containing -palpable 12/6/1 time of first bowel movement after	
I be a compared to the control of th	
glycol-3350 ampulla on an polyethylene abdominal p<0.005 treatment, number of bowel move	
(Colyte) otherwise glycol-3350 masses on each day, consistency of bowe	
normal; (Colyte) -abdominal c. compliance movements, abdominal distension	
complete (sweetened with distension) (good/fair/poor): cramps, nausea and vomiting, and physical Nutra-Sweet) to -consistency of -Group I (mineral oil, willingness to repeat the same tree	
physical physical examination Nutra-Sweet) to drink in the dose Consistency of stool Group I (mineral oil, millingness to repeat the same tre in the future if impaction recurred	aument
of 20 ml/kg/h for 4 -anal fissure III=17). 14/3/0 III the future ii impaction recurred	
Exclusion h once daily on 2 -anal sphincter -Group II (lavage After treatment patients re-evalua	tod by
criteria: consecutive days. tone solution, n=19): 6/7/6 the same physician who repeated	
medical Maximum -perineal soiling p<0.01 abdominal and rectal examination	
history of amount/hour: 1 same way as before	111 1116
recurrent litre d. cramps/bloating	
vomiting (none/ a few/a lot): 12 patients failed to return for	
and/or In addition Group I (mineral oil, reassessment in two days	
aspiration, patients received n=17): 13/4/0	
central a single oral dose Post-treatment history and physic	al I

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
		nervous system problems or known history of liver, kidney and heart disease		of metoclopramide (0.1 mg/kg) before dinking the lavage solution on both days to prevent nausea and vomiting		-Group II (lavage solution, n=19): 10/8/1 N.S e. first bowel movement after treatment (< 1 day/>1 day/none): -Group I (mineral oil, n=17): 6/6/5 -Group II (lavage solution, n=19): 14/3/2 p<0.01 f. consider same treatment (yes/maybe/no): -Group I (mineral oil, n=17): 12/3/2 -Group II (lavage solution, n=19): 11/6/2 N.S 2.Physical examination: -palpable abdominal masses (none/a few/many): -Group I (mineral oil, n=17): 10/4/3 -Group II (lavage	examination further analysed after stratifying for previous use of mineral oils and stratified results did not differ significantly from unstratified analysis. Results presented are unstratified Reviewer comments: Small sample size. No sample calculation made Method of allocation concealment not described Physician-researchers not reported blinded Intention to treat analysis not performed Unclear how descriptive outcomes converted to numerical before analysis Source of funding: Block Drug Company, Inc. (Jersey City, NJ, USA) provided the supplies for the study
						solution, n=19):	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		3		Measures	17/1/1	
						p<0.005	
						-abdominal distension	
						(none/some): -Group I (mineral oil,	
						n=17): 11/6	
						-Group II (lavage	
						solution, n=19): 11/8 N.S	
						-consistency of stool	
						(soft/firm/hard): -Group I (mineral oil,	
						n=17): 12/3/2	
						-Group II (lavage	
						solution, n=19): 14/3/2	
						N.S	
						-anal fissure	
						(none/healing): -Group I (mineral oil,	
						n=17): 15/2	
						-Group II (lavage	
						solution, n=19): 15/4 N.S	
						-anal sphincter tone	
						(normal/decreased): -Group I (mineral oil,	
						n=17): 14/3	
						-Group II (lavage	
						solution, n=19): 15/4	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s		Measures		
						N.S	
						-perineal soiling	
						(absent/present):	
						-Group I (mineral oil,	
						n=17): 10/7	
						-Group II (lavage	
						solution, n=19): 13/6	
						N.S	
Guest et al.	Study Type:	224 children	224 children	Intervention:	Follow-up	Percentage of	Additional information from study:
Clinical and	Multicentre		aged 2 to 11	macrogol 3350	period:	patients disimpacted	Clinical data contained in patients' case
economic	retrospective		years	plus electrolytes	12 weeks	within 5 days (%,	notes transcribed onto case report forms
impact of using	cohort	<u>Inclusion</u>			(including	Confidence limit)	designed specifically for this study by
macrogol 3350			5 centres in	Comparison 1:	maintenance		one independent nurse, who examined
plus electrolytes		between 2	England and	enemas and	treatment)	-macrogol 3350 plus	the case notes of all patients at all
in an outpatient	<u>level:</u>		Wales	suppositories		electrolytes (n=5	centres
setting	2-	suffering from			<u>Outcome</u>	centres): 97% (94%,	
compared to		intractable		Comparison 2:	Measures:	100%)	Patients stratified according to centre
enemas and	Study aim: to	constipation	10050	manual	-Percentage of	,	and initial treatment for disimpaction.
suppositories	estimate the	and initially	-macrogol 3350	evacuation of the	patients	-enemas and	Individual clinical outcomes quantified
and manual	clinical and	•	plus electrolytes		disimpacted	suppositories (n=5	for each treatment at each centre.
evacuation to	economic	between	n=112 children	anaesthesia	within 5 days	centres): 73% (58%,	Clinical centre was the unit of analysis
treat paediatric	impact of		n=5 centres		-Time to initial	89%)	Daviewer commenter
faecal impaction based on actual		31/01/06	-enemas and			-manual evacuation	Reviewer comments: No clear definition of "intractable
clinical practice	macrogol 3350 plus	Exclusion	suppositories		disimpaction	of the bowel under	constipation" given
in England and	electrolytes	criteria: not	n=101 children		-time for	anaesthesia (n=2	Consupation given
Wales. 2007.	(macrogol	initially	n=5 centres		disimpaction for	centres): 89% (67%,	Very small sample size for the manual
Current Medical	3350;	disimpacted	II-5 Cerilles		those who did	100%)	evacuation of the bowel
Research and	Movicol,	between	-manual		not disimpact	100 /0)	evacuation of the bower
Opinion 23[9],	Movicol,	previous	evacuation of		within 5 days	p<0.001	Not reported which enemas and
2213-2225	Paediatric	•	the bowel under		Within O days	P 30.001	suppositories children were treated with
2210 2220	Plain) in an		anaesthesia		-reported	Time to initial	for disimpaction
	outpatient		n=11 children		adverse effects	disimpaction and time	To Gompaonon
	setting		n= 2 centres			for disimpaction for	Having another nurse (or other
	compared to	macrogol				those who did not	professional) independently examining
	enemas and	3350	Country: UK			disimpact within 5	the case notes or reviewing the

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	suppositories and manual evacuation to treat paediatric faecal impaction		S		Measures	days: No significant differences amongst the 3 groups Doses required for successful disimpaction within 5 days (mean, 95% CI): -macrogol 3350 plus electrolytes (sachets): 29 (13 to 44) -enemas (units): 2 (1 to 3) -suppositories (units): 1 (1 to 2) Percentage of patients on different treatments during the week before initial treatment: Significantly more children disimpacted with manual evacuation were taking lactulose and senna compared with other 2 groups (p<0.001) Significantly more children disimpacted	transcriptions might have decreased the risk of potential bias According to the reported results it is unclear that clinical centre was the unit of analysis Source of funding: sponsored financially by Norgine Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Harefiled, UK, manufactures of Movicol (macrogol 3350 plus electrolytes)
						with Macrogol were	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						taking picosulfate	
						compared with other	
						2 groups (p<0.01)	
						Significantly more	
						children disimpacted	
						with enemas and	
						suppositories were	
						taking lactulose and	
						other combinations	
						(p<0.01), other	
						laxatives (p<0.001) or	
						were not treated	
						((p<0.001) when	
						compared with other	
						2 groups	
						No significant	
						differences between	
						the 3 groups for	
						patients taking	
						lactulose only or	
						those taking Senna	
						Adverse effects:	
						a. Vomiting (%):	
						-macrogol 3350 plus	
						electrolytes (n=112	
						patients): 2	
						-enemas and	
						suppositories (n=101	
						patients): 2	
						-manual evacuation	
						of the bowel under	
						anaesthesia (n=11	
						patients): 18	
						p<0.01	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Pashankar et al. Efficacy and optimal dose of daily polyethylene glycol 3350 for treatment of constipation and encopresis in children. 2001. Journal of Pediatrics	Study Type: Prospective case series Evidence level: 3 Study aim: to examine the efficacy and dosing of PEG in children with constipation	24 children Inclusion criteria: constipated children between ages of 18 months and 12 years Exclusion criteria: history of Hirschsprung's disease, anorectal malformations , abdominal surgery or any systemic	(data available for only 20 children who completed study) 9 boys aged 18 months to 11 years Mean age 6.09 ± 4.2 years 11 children: constipation alone	Intervention: PEG solution, initial dose ~1g/kg body weight per day (14 ml/kg/d solution) given in 2 divided doses for 8 weeks Parents instructed to dissolve 17 g of PEG powder in each 240 ml (8 ounces) of water, juice or other clear-liquid beverage, families allowed free choice of clear liquid beverage. For determination of best dose for each child, parents asked to increase or	Follow-up period: 8 weeks Outcome Measures: -soiling frequency -presence of abdominal faecal mass -presence of faecal rectal impaction -dilated rectal vault -painful defecation -fear of defecation	No significant differences among 3 groups for: urinary tract infection, dermatitis around anus, thrush and gastric illness Soiling frequency (n=9) (mean ± SEM): before treatment: 10.0 ± 2.4 during treatment: 1.3 ± 0.7 p= 0.003 Total resolution of soiling: 4 patients (44.4%) Presence of abdominal faecal mass (n=18) before treatment: 44% during treatment: 0% p<0.0029 Presence of faecal rectal impaction (n=18)	Additional information from study: Diagnosis of constipation based on symptoms of at least 3 months' duration including at least 2 of: hard stools, painful defection, withholding of stools, faecal soiling, palpable faecal mass and fewer than 3 bowel movements/week Administration of all other medications for constipation stopped on enrolment. No enemas or cathartics given either. Initial doses of PEG prescribed based on authors' previous experience with this agent Stool consistency assessed by history on a scale of 1 to 5 as follows: 1, hard; 2, firm; 3, soft; 4, loose and 5, watery Patients examined on enrolment and at the end of 8 weeks of therapy for the presence or absence of a palpable faecal mass, faecal impaction and rectal dilatation
				decrease volume of PEG solution by 20% every 3 days as required to yield 2 soft-to-	/stool withholding	before treatment: 83% during treatment: 22%	Children of appropriate developmental status advised to sit on toilet for 5 minutes after each meal Patients bowel habits before PEG

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	loose stools	Measures	p<0.0006	treatment compared with those recorded
				(consistency			on diary forms during the last 2 weeks
				score of 3 to 4)		Dilated rectal vault	(weeks 7 and 8) of treatment
				per day		<u>(n=18)</u>	
							4 subjects dropped from study because
				Comparison: none		before treatment:	of failure to return required symptoms
						78%	diaries: 2 of these had an excellent
						during treatment:	response to therapy by parent report
						11%	and two were lost to follow up
						p<0.0001	Deviewer comments:
						Dainful defendation	Reviewer comments:
						Painful defecation (n=20)	Small sample size, no sample size calculation
						before treatment:	Calculation
						75%	No data reported on who performed
							physical examination on enrolment and
						p<0.0001	at the end of 8 weeks of therapy
						P 10.0001	at the one of a weeker of therapy
						Fear of defecation	Not clear why data on physical
						/stool withholding	examination available for only 18
						(N=20)	children
						before treatment:	
						70%	Source of funding: not stated
						during treatment: 5%	
						p<0.0001	
						Final effective dose	
						during last 2 weeks of	
						treatment (mean ±	
						SEM) (g/kg/day):	
						0.84 ± 0.27 (range	
						0.27 to 1.42)	
						0.21 (0 1.72)	
						Palatability: all	
						children reported	
						willingness to take	
						PEG and found it	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						highly palatable (to	
						prepare PEG patients	
						used sweeteners, fruit	
						juices, water and	
						cow's milk)	
						Adverse effects: no	
						significant except for	
						diarrhoea during	
						adjustment of dose.	
						Flatulence (n=2)	
						Abdominal pain	
						(n=10)	

Pharmacological Interventions for Ongoing Treatment/ Maintenance in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome		
	Level		s		Measures		
Candy et al.	Study Type:	65 children	-Phase 1:	Intervention:	Duration of	Number of successful	Additional information from study:
Treatment of	Double-blind		65 children	Polyethylene	<u>treatment</u>	defecations/week	Sample size: intended to recruit 60
faecal	RCT**	<u>Inclusion</u>		glycol 3350 (13.8	12 weeks	(last on-treatment	children to obtain approximately 45
impaction with		criteria:	-Phase 2:	g powder		value)	children continuing to end of phase 2
polyethelene	Evidence level:	children aged	58 children	dissolved in at	Assessment	Mean, SD, range	
glycol plus	1+	2 to 11 years		least 125 ml water	point (s):		Children and investigators blinded to
electrolytes		with	67% boys	per sachet) plus	Immediately	-PEG+E (n=27):	medication which was dispensed
(PGE + E)	Study aim:	intractable		electrolytes (PEG	after treatment		according to randomisation list
followed by a	to assess the		Mean age: 5.7 ±	+ E; Movicol ®)	finished	9.4 (4.56; 2 to 24)	generated by the study sponsor
double-blind	efficacy of	that had failed					
comparison of	polyethylene	to respond to	(range 2 to 11	Comparison:	Follow-up	-Lactulose (n=26):	Blindness reasonably maintained as
PEG + E	glycol 3350	conventional	years)	Lactulose (10 g	period:		appearance of 2 products very similar
versus	p.0.0	treatment and		powder dissolved	No follow-up	5.9 (4.29; 2 to 23)	and both packed in sachets of an
lactulose as		would require	Country: UK	in at least 125 mL	made after		identical size
maintenance	(hospital		water)	treatment	Difference in means:	
therapy. 2006.	Movicol ®) as	admission for			finished	3.5	5 children did not complete phase 1: 3
Journal of	oral	disimpaction		For both		95% CI: 1.0 to 6.0	children withdrew before receiving any
Pediatric	monotherapy in	(otherwise		medications	<u>Outcome</u>	p=0.007	study medication and 2 children failed to
	the treatment of			children received	Measures:		disimpact within the time allowed
gy and	faecal	admitted for		oral maintenance		Reimpaction rate (n,	
	impaction in	enemas,		doses	1. Primary	% children):	58 children entered phase 2. 5 were
65-70	children and to	manual			efficacy	-PEG+E (n=27): 0	excluded from the ITT population as
	compare PEG	removal or			endpoint:		they did not provide any on-treatment
	+ E with	intestinal		of sachets	-number of	-Lactulose (n=26): 7	efficacy data.
	lactulose as	lavage with		required for	successful	(23%)	10 children (17%) did not complete
		PEG + E		disimpaction/day	defecations/we		phase 2: 7 on lactulose reimpacted, 2 on
	therapy in a	solutions)			ek	p=0.011	lactulose did not want to continue, 1 on
	randomised			Disimpaction			PEG+E did not complete the diary card
	trial	<u>Exclusion</u>		regime (n		Number of sachets	
		criteria:		sachets):	2.Secondary	used each day:	No significant differences at baseline

⁻

^{**} This is phase 2 of the study. Phase 1 was a prospective case series already discussed in the review for disimpaction

Bibliographic		Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome		
	Level		S		Measures		-
		any condition			efficacy		between 2 groups regarding: age, sex,
		contraindicati		a. 2 to 4 years	endpoints:	(0.41)	height and weight
		ng the use of		Day 1: 1			
		PEG+E or		Day 2: 2	-reimpaction	-Lactulose (n=26):	No children withdrew form the study for
		lactulose,		Day 3: 2	rate	2.41 (0.91)	safety reasons
		including		Day 4: 3			
		intestinal		Day 5: 3	-number of	Use of senna as	Reviewer comments:
		perforation or		Day 6: 4	sachets used	rescue medication	No clear definition of constipation given
		obstruction,		Day 7: 4	each day	-PEG+E (n=27): 0	
		allergy to any					Method of allocation concealment not
		of the		b. 5 to 11 years	-use of senna	-Lactulose (n=26): 8	described
		ingredients of		Day 1: 2	as rescue	(31%)	
		the trial		Day 2: 3	medication	p=0.002	Results not controlled for confounders
		products,		Day 3: 4			
		paralytic		Day 4: 5	-amount of stool		Missing data on 2 children who did not
		ileus, toxic		Day 5: 6		differences in mean	enter phase 2 of the study
		megacolon,		Day 6: 6	-predominant	values per patient	
		Hirschsprung'		Day 7: 6		between 2 groups	Source of funding:
		s disease ,				with respect to:	supported by Norgine Pharmaceuticals
		severe				amount of stool,	Ltd.
		inflammatory		Additional laxative	-pain	predominant bowel	
		bowel		treatment with		movement form, pain,	
		disease,		senna allowed as	-straining	straining, rectal	
		uncontrolled		rescue medication		bleeding, abdominal	
		renal/hepatic/		if the response to	-rectal bleeding	pain, soiling and	
		cardiac		a single agent		overall assessment of	
		disease,		alone was judged	-abdominal pain	treatment	
		uncontrolled		inadequate by			
		endocrine		investigator	-soiling	Safety (% children)	
		disorder or				<u>(n=58):</u>	
		any			-overall	-PEG+E: 64	
		neuromuscula			assessment of	-Lactulose: 83	
		r condition			treatment		
		affecting the				Similar incidence in	
		bowel				each age group. Most	
					3. Safety	commonly reported	
						events	
						gastrointestinal and	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	resolved during the study. No clinically significant abnormal values observed in urine and plasma electrolytes after 12	
						weeks of maintenance therapy	
Voskuijl et al. PEG 3350 (Transipeg) versus lactulose in the treatment of childhood functional constipation: a double blind, randomised, controlled, multicentre trial. 2004. Gut 53[11], 1590- 1594	clinical efficacy and safety of PEG 3350 (Transipeg; polyethylene glycol with electrolytes) and lactulose in paediatric constipation	100 children Inclusion criteria: children aged 6 months to 15 years with constipation Exclusion criteria: organic causes for defecation disorders, including Hirschsprung' s' disease, spina bifida occulta or hypothyroidis	91 children 49 male age range: 6 months to 15 years Age (y) (mean (SD)) PEG 3350 6.5 (3.2) Lactulose 6.5 (3.4) Country: the Netherlands	Run-in phase (1 week before treatment): No laxatives allowed. At the end all patients received 1 enema daily for 3 days: -Children ≤ 6 years: 60 ml Klyx (sodium dioctylsulfosuccin ate and sorbitol) -Children > 6 years: 120 ml Klyx 1. Initial phase: Intervention: PEG 3350	period: 26 weeks after entering case series phase Outcome Measures:	Defecation frequency/week -PEG 3350: 7.12 (5.14) -Lactulose: 6.43 (5.18) N.S Encopresis frequency/week: -PEG 3350: 3.11 (5.41) -Lactulose: 2.84 (3.59) N.S Success percentages (95% CI)	Additional information from study: Childhood constipation defined as having at least 2 to 4 of the following symptoms for the last 3 months: less than 3 bowel movements/week, encopresis more than once/week, large amounts of stool every 7 to 30 days (large enough to clog the toilet) and palpable abdominal or rectal mass on physical examination Estimated that a total sample of 90 patients would be adequate to show a difference of at least 30% more success at 8 weeks using PEG 3350 compared to lactulose, with a 2 tailed alpha level of 0.05 with a power of 80% Unlabelled number boxes with unlabelled sachets prepared by the AMC pharmacy and handed out to
		m		-children aged 6 months to 6 years	-frequency of	PEG 3350: 56 (39 to 70)	patients after randomisation. The box contained 180 sachets containing either lactulose 6g/sachet or PEG 3350 2.95g
				(inclusive): one sachet (2.95g) per day	stools -frequency of	Lactulose: 29 (16 to 44)	per sachet. Toilet training advised after each meal
				-children older	encopresis	P=0.02	(5 minutes) and small gifts and praise used to enhance compliance

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s	•	Measures		
				than 6 years: 2	-overall	Overall treatment	
				sachets (5.9g) per	treatment		No significant differences at baseline
				day	success		between the 2 groups with respect to:
							age, sex, defecation frequency,
				Comparison:	2. Safety	laxatives for more	encopresis, large amounts of stool and
				Lactulose		than 1 year prior to	faecal impaction
					-Incidence and	the start of the study.	
				-children aged 6	severity of		9 dropouts: 4 on PEG 3350, 5 on
				months to 6 years		less than 1 year a	lactulose. 2/each group lost to follow-up,
				(inclusive): one	adverse effects		1/each group reason unknown. 2 on
				sachet (6g) per		in success found	lactulose were helicobacter positive, 1
				day		between those	on PEG due to bad palatability of study
				alattalara a talan		treated with PEG	medication
				-children older		3350 (63%) or	O II to
				than 6 years: 2		lactulose (31%), p=0.02	Overall treatment success defined 3 or more bowel movement/week and 1
				sachets (12g) per		p=0.02	
				day 2. Follow-up		Medication	encopresis episode or less every 2 weeks
				phase		(sachet/day):	weeks
				priase		(Sacriet/day).	Reviewer comments:
				Intervention:		-PEG 3350: 1 99 (0 3)	Method of randomisation and allocation
				PEG 3350		1 20 0000: 1.00 (0.0)	concealment not described
				. 20 0000		-Lactulose: 2.4 (0.4)	Case series phase outcomes not
				-children aged 6			reported for the purpose of this review
				months to 6 years		p=0.03	ITT analysis not performed
				(inclusive): one			
				sachet (2.95g) per		no significant	Source of funding: not stated
				day		differences between	-
						2 groups at 1, 2, 4	
				-children older		and 8 weeks for	
				than 6 years: 2		defecation and	
				sachets (5.9g) per		encopresis frequency	
				day			
						Side effects:	
				Comparison:		No serious or	
				none		significant side effects	
						recorded	
						Significantly more	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						adverse effects	
						(abdominal pain, pain	
						at defecation and	
						straining at	
						defecation) in	
						patients taking	
						lactulose as	
						compared to PEG	
						(p<0.05). No	
						significant differences	
						between 2 groups	
						regarding: bloating,	
						diarrhoea, flatulence,	
						nausea, hard stool	
						consistency and	
						vomiting.	
						Significantly more	
						children complained	
						of bad palatability of	
						PEG compared to	
						lactulose and this	
						caused the premature	
						withdrawal of 1	
						patient.	
Loening-	Study Type:	79 children	79 children	General:	Duration of	Improvement rate (%)	Additional information from study:
Baucke et al.	RCT		65 boys	disimpacted with	treatment:	-at 12 months:	Functional constipation defined by
A randomized,		<u>Inclusion</u>	age range: 4 to	1 or 2 phosphate	12 months	PEG (n=34): 62	duration of ≥ 8 weeks and ≥ 2 of the
prospective,	Evidence level:	<u>criteria:</u> age ≥	16.2 years	enemas in the		MOM (n=21): 43	following: frequency of bowel
comparison	1-	4 years and	(median 7.4;	clinic on the day	Assessment		movements <3 stools/week, >1 episode
study of		presence of	mean 8.1 ± 3.0)	of the visit, if	point (s):	NS	of faecal incontinence/week, large stools
polyethylene	Study aim: to	functional	ĺ	necessary and	1, 3, 6 and 12		noted in rectum or felt during abdominal
glycol 3350	compare the	constipation	Country: USA	started laxative	months after	Recovery rate (%)	examination, passing of stools so large
without		with faecal		therapy that	initiating	-at 12 months:	that they obstructed the toilet
electrolytes	and patient	incontinence		evening	treatment	PEG (n=34): 33	
and milk of	acceptance of					MOM (n=21): 23	Randomisation performed by children
magnesia for		Exclusion		Intervention:	Follow-up		drawing a sealed envelope with and
children with		criteria: stool		polyethylene	period:	NS	enclosed assignment
constipation	3350 without	toileting		glycol (PEG) 3350	No follow-up		

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
and fecal	added	refusal, faecal		without added	made after	Bowel movement	Investigators, children and their parents
incontinence.	-	incontinence		electrolytes 0.7	treatment	frequency (mean ±	aware of the study group assignment
2006.	milk of	but no		a a	finished	<u>SD,</u>	
Pediatrics	magnesia	constipation,		daily for 12		episodes/week)	Estimated that 38 subjects required in
118[2], 528-	` '	previous		months	<u>Outcome</u>	-Baseline:	each group to be able to detect a
535	months	refusal of one				,	difference in failure rates between the 2
		of study		capful of PEG (17		MOM (n=40): 3.5 ± 6	groups of 30% in 12 months (40% vs.
		medications,		g) mixed in 8 oz of			10%), at the .05 significance level with
		children who			outcomes:	-at 12 months:	.80 power. Authors hypothesized that
		came from far		Kool-Aid, Crystal			PEG would be as successful as MOM in
		away for a		Light or water)		MOM (n=21): 8.2 ±	treating chronic constipation and faecal
		second		making a solution		3.9	incontinence. Authors' previous study
		opinion,		of ~2g/30 mL	-recovery		showed that 33% of children refused to
		Hirschsprung'				P<0.005 for both	take MOM during the first 12 months of
		s disease,			2. Secondary	groups compared to	treatment.
		chronic			outcomes:	baseline	
		intestinal		(MOM) 2mL/kg			Children treated with minimal effective
		pseudobstruct		body weight daily		Faecal Incontinence	dosage of PEG or MOM, allowing for a
		ion, previous		for 12 months	stool frequency	frequency (mean ±	daily stool and preventing abdominal
		surgery			per week	<u>SD,</u>	pain and faecal incontinence. Parents
		involving		plain MOM could		episodes/week)	instructed to aim for 1 or 2 stools of
		colon or anus		be mixed into	-improvement in		milkshake consistency each day.
				apple sauce or		PEG (n=39): 12.2 ±	Parents asked to increase dosage if
				milkshakes, or	faecal	13	stools too hard or not frequent enough
				chocolate and		MOM (n=40): 13.5 ±	and to decrease the dosage if stools
				other flavouring	per week	15.5	watery or too numerous. Small changes,
				could be added			such as 2 oz of PEG or 0.5 tbsp of MOM
					-resolution of	-at 12 months:	every 3 days, were recommended.
				Large doses of			Regular stool sittings for 5 minutes after
				both medications		MOM (n=21): 0.5 ±	each meal required initially. Toilet sitting
				could be divided		1.6	frequency reduced after children
				into 2 doses	-safety profile		recognized urge to defecate and
						P<0.005 for both	initiated toilet use themselves.
						groups compared to	
						baseline	No significant differences at baseline
					compliance		between the 2 groups regarding: age,
						Abdominal pain (%)	sex, primary faecal incontinence,
						-Baseline:	previous treatment with laxatives, history

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
						PEG (n=39): 71.8	of retentive posturing, frequency of
						MOM (n=40): 52.5	bowel movements, bowel movements
						-at 12 months:	obstructing the toilet, frequency of faecal incontinence, presence of abdominal
						PEG (n=34): 3	pain, presence of abdominal faecal
						MOM (n=21): 0	mass and presence of rectal faecal mass
						P<0.005 for both	
						groups compared to	By 12 months a total of 27 dropouts/lost
						baseline	to follow-up. PEG: 2 children lost to follow-up monitoring, 2 (5%) had refused
						At 12-month	PEG, 1 child allergic to PEG, 2 children
						frequency of bowel	were receiving senna. These 7 children
						movements,	counted as not improved and not
						frequency of	recovered. MOM: 2
						episodes of faecal incontinence, and	Children lost to follow-up monitoring, 3 children had discontinued study
						percentage of	participation, 14 children (35%) had
						children with	refused to take MOM, and 1 child was
						abdominal pain not	receiving senna
						significantly different	Todalving comia
						between PEG and	Efficacy analyses performed with
						MOM group	intention to treat population, other
							outcomes calculated from available
						Patient Acceptance	follow-up data
						Several children	
						complained about	Reviewer comments:
						taste of PEG and	Results not controlled for potential
						MOM.	confounders
						2 children (5%) continued to refuse	High drop-out / lost to follow-up rate: 30.4%
						PEG vs. 14 children	JU.4 /0
						(35%) continued to	Source of funding: Braintree
						refuse MOM during	Laboratories (Braintree, MA) supported
						the 12 months of the	study with an unrestricted research
						study (P < .001	grant. According to authors, the funding
						, ,	source had no involvement in the study
						Treatment doses	design, collection, analysis,

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						(mean ± SD): -PEG (g/kg body weight)	interpretation of data, writing of the report or decision to submit the article for publication
						1 month: 0.7 ± 0.2 3 months: 0.6 ± 0.3 additional senna at some point: 3 children	
						-MOM (mL/kg body weight)	
						1 month: 1.2 ± 0.7 3 months: 1.2 ± 0.8 additional senna at some point: 1 child	
						mean doses similar in children who improved and who did not improve for both treatments	
						safety profiles	
						PEG: 1 child allergic No other significant clinical effects for either medication, apart from transient	
						diarrhoea disappearing with dose reduction	
						-Laboratory tests: PEG: 1 child with elevated platelets	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						before and after	
						treatment, 1 child with	
						decreased sodium	
						levels at 6 months,	
						but normal at 12	
						months	
						MOM: 1 child high	
						platelet count, 1 low	
						serum sodium level,	
						elevated AST, 1	
						elevated AST, T	
Dupont et al.	Study Type:	96 children	96 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Stool frequency	Additional information from study:
Double-blind	RCT		51 male	PEG 4000	treatment:	(number of stools/wk,	Constipation defined as less than 1
randomized	IXO1	Inclusion	or male	1 20 4000	3 months	median (interquartile	stool/day for > 1 month in children 6 to
evaluation of	Evidence level:	criteria:		-Starting dose:	3 months	range)	12 months old and less than 3
clinical and	1+	children with	-Age (months)	1 sachet (4g) and	Assessment	<u>rango</u>	stools/week for > 3 months in children
biological		constipation	median (25 th to	1 placebo to be	point (s):	-D42	aged 13 months to 3 years
tolerance of	Study aim: to	despite their	75th	taken at breakfast		NS in babies	aged 10 months to 0 years
polyethylene	assess the		percentiles)	tanon at broaklast	and day 84	Toddlers:	PEG 4000 and lactulose packaged in a
glycol 4000	safety of a	treatment for	porcontinoo	Comparison:	(D84) after	PEG 4000 (n=51):	double-blind and double-dummy design,
versus	polyethylene		PEG 4000:	Lactulose	starting	8 (6–10)	by means of coupled sachets, according
lactulose in	glycol (PEG)	month, aged	28 (19.5–33.7)	Lactaicco	treatment	Lactulose (45):	to a randomisation list. Double dummy
constipated	4000 laxative	6 months to 3	20 (10.0 00.1)	-Starting dose:	u oaumont	6 (5–7)	design required because of the
children, 2005.	without	vears.	Lactulose:	1 sachet (3.33g)	Follow-up	(P=0.013).	difference of taste between the drugs.
Journal of	additional salts	ambulatory	25.8 (12.3–33)	and 1 placebo to	period:	(* 515 : 5):	Numbered boxes provided to
Pediatric	in paediatric	, , , , , ,	()	be taken at	No follow-up	-D84	investigators at each site in equal
Gastroenterolo		Exclusion	Country:	breakfast		NS in babies or	numbers. Investigators randomly
gy and		criteria:	France			toddlers	allocated either PEG 4000 or lactulose
Nutrition 41[5],		history of			finished		to the children for a 3-month period, with
625-633		intractable		For both drugs,		Frequency of hard	the same strategy for dose adaptation
		faecaloma,		dose could be	Outcome	stools	
		Hirschsprung'		doubled if	Measures:		3 children not included because of a
		s disease,		ineffective in		-D42	baseline laboratory value ONR (out of
		neurologic,		children aged 13	-Efficacy:	PEG 4000: 9%	normal range) before amendment
		endocrine or		months to 3 years	_	(4 of 46)	applied. 2 children in PEG 4000 group
		metabolic		If maximum	stool frequency	Lactulose (45): 34%	dropped out before any study drug
		disorders,		authorised dose	frequency of	(14 of 41)	intake, so the intention to treat

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		allergic		unsuccessful, one		P = 0.003	population included 51 children (10
		disease or		micro-enema of	enema use		babies and 41 toddlers) in the PEG
		allergies		glycerol per day	faecal	-D84	4000 group and 45 (12 babies and 33
				could be	impaction	PEG 4000 (n=51):	toddlers) in the lactulose group. 76 of
				prescribed for a		6% (3 of 47)	these children included in the per
				maximum of 3	appetite	Lactulose (45): 28%	protocol analysis and 20 excluded by
				consecutive days.		(11 of 40)	the independent scientific committee for
				If child not	-Biological	P = 0.008	at least one major deviation, 11 in the
				produced stools	tolerance:	_	PEG 4000 group and 9 in the lactulose
				after treatment 2		Enema use	group. Reasons for exclusion were no
				enemas could be	ion	5.40	laboratory test at D84, one or more one
				administered at a	electrolytes	-D42:	missing laboratory results at D84,
				48-h interval. This		PEG 4000: 30% (14	delayed laboratory test at D84 (n = 12),
				procedure only	albumin		inadequately long exposure to the study
				allowed twice	vitamin A		drug (n = 2), personal reasons (n = 5)
				during the study,	vitamin D	44)	and unauthorized concomitant treatment
				If child produced	folates	-D84:	(n = 1)
				liquid stools for >1			No alinia dhe valarent diffarana
				day or > 2 or 3	-Clinical		No clinically relevant differences
				stools/day	tolerance:	48)	between 2 treatment groups at baseline
				depending on	body boight		for clinical or biologic parameters
				age, dose could		42) P = 0.012	Stool frequency, abdominal pain,
				be decreased by	body weight adverse effects	P = 0.012	vomiting, and nausea recorded on Self-
				1 pair of	adverse effects	Facal impaction	Diary Evaluation Booklet
				sachets/day to a minimum of 1 pair		Faecal impaction	Reviewer comments:
				of sachets every		PEG 4000 (n=51): 1	Methods of randomisation and allocation
				other day and			concealment not clearly described
				possibly to		Lactulose (45): 6	No sample calculation performed
				transitory		(13%)	Results not controlled for potential
				interruption		P=0.049	confounders
				Interruption		1 -0.0 1 3	Comounders
						Abdominal pain	Source of funding:
						disappearance:	not stated
						alcappoulatioo.	
						-D42	
						PEG 4000: 82% (9	
						out 11 at baseline)	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						Lactulose: 38% (3 out of 8 at baseline) P<0.08	
						-D84 PEG 4000: 55% (6 out 11 at baseline)	
						Lactulose: 63% (5 out of 8 at baseline) P<1.00	
						Appetite score improvement	
						PEG 4000 (n=51): +19% Lactulose (45): -4%	
						p<0.003	
						Clinical tolerance (ITT population)	
						-6 adverse effects (all non serious): 5 diarrhoea (5 episodes in 2 children in both treatment	
						groups) 1 anorexia (on lactulose)	
						-median (interquartile range) duration of either new onset or	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						worsened flatulence (days):	
						PEG 4000: 3 (1 to 4.5) Lactulose: 5 (3 to 19.5) P=0.005	
						-median (interquartile range) duration of either new onset or worsened vomiting episodes (days):	
						PEG 4000: 1 (1 to 2) Lactulose: 2 (1 to 6) P<0.05	
						-anal irritation: 5% (2 out of 40 children, both on lactulose)	
						-no difference between PEG 4000 and lactulose groups with regards to other digestive tolerance outcomes	
						-Body height and body weight unaffected during the 3-monht treatment for both boys and girls Biological tolerance (ITT population): No significant	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	difference between	
						treatment groups for	
						the % of children with	
						ONR values on D84	
						compared to baseline	
						status. No treatment-	
						related changes	
						found in serum iron,	
						electrolytes,	
						total protein, albumin	
						and vitamins A, D and	
						folates	
						Dose used	
						(sachets/day)	
						(median (interquartile	
						range))	
						-Babies:	
						1 (0.9 to 1) PEG	
						1 (1 to 1.3) lactulose	
						P = 0.67	
						-Toddlers	
						1 (1 to 1.3) PEG	
						1.1 (0.9 to 1.5)	
						lactulose	
						P = 0.58	
						Treatment stopped in	
						1 child because of	
						lack of efficacy	
						(lactulose group).	
Perkin.		21 children	21 children	Intervention:	Duration:	Number of patients	Additional information from study:
	RCT	l		Senna syrup	1 week each	passing stools of any	Patients given either treatment
childhood: a	(crossover)	Inclusion	Country: UK	10 to 20 ml daily	period with 1	kind each day:	according to a code-list of random
controlled		criteria:		for 1 week	week no	Lactulose vs. Senna	numbers, placed in a series of sealed
comparison	Evidence level:	children aged			treatment in	N.S	envelopes, one of which was opened

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		<15 years			between		each time a child entered the trial
lactulose and		with a history		Lactulose		Number of patients	
		of		,	Assessment		1 dropout: 1 patient on senna at the
		constipation		for 1 weeks	point (s):	each day (mean)	beginning of study failed to attend at the end of 1 st week
		treated at			immediately	1 () (40 4	end of 1 week
	and side effects	months or			after treatment	-Lactulose: 13.4 -Senna: 8.43	No written or arel indication of any
		more			completed	p <0.01	No written or oral indication of any medical preference for other preparation
- I - L-3/	senna syrup	more		the appropriate treatment week in		p <0.01	given and patients presented with single
	and lactulose in	Evolucion		a daily dose	period:	Adverse effects (n	bottle of one or other of the preparations
	the treatment of				No follow up	patients):	according to the coded instruction at
		any cause of		to the age of the		a- senna week:	start of trial. On 3 rd week a bottle of
		constipation		patient		12 (8 colic, 1	alternative preparation was given
		requiring		pationi		diarrhoea, 2 colic+	anomalivo proparation mao given
		surgical or		1 intermediate		diarrhoea, 1 colic +	Outcomes recorded by parents in written
		medical		week with not	Outcome	distension)	diaries
		correction in		treatment	Measures:	,	
		addition to				b- no treatment week:	4-point scale of stool consistency: loose,
		laxation			-stool	4 (3 colic, 1 colic +	normal, hard, none
					consistency	distension)	
							Reviewer comments:
						c- lactulose week	No clear definition of constipation given
						1 (colic)	Very small sample size, no sample size
					each day		calculation
						p<0.001 (a vs. c)	Inadequate method of allocation
					-adverse effects	NS (b vs. c)	concealment
							Patients' baseline characteristics not
							reported
							Study not reported as blinded
							Results not controlled for confounders
							Very short treatment period
							According to authors the number of stools passed each day was recorded,
							but is not reported
							Source of funding:
							not stated

Farahmand. A Study Type: randing of the properties of the reatment of chronic functional constipation in childhood constipation of the standard functional childhood constipation in the treatment of a chronic functional constipation in the treatment of a chronic functional constipation in constipation of constipation of the constipation of chronic functional constipation in the treatment of chronic functional constipation in the treatment of a constipation of constipation in the treatment of large and safety of the deficacion (and safety of functional and lacutose for functional constipation of constipation in the treatment of large and safety of the constipation of constipation of constipation of constipation of the constipation of constipation of constipation of the constipation of cons	Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
randomised trial of liquid paraffin versus lactulose in the treatment of chronic functional functional constipation in cinical, efficacy children. 2007. Acta Medica Iranica 45[3], 183–1881ran, 183–1881ran, 183–1881ran, 184–184. Public of. Republic of.		Level				Measures		
trial of liquid paraffin revsus lactulose in the treatment of chronic functional constipation in children. 2007. Acta Medical Iranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of functional constipation in children. 2007. Acta Medical Iranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of functional constipation of muchildren. 2007. Acta Medical Iranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of compare the constipation of muchildren. 2007. Acta Medical Iranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of functional constipation of solution and safety of liquid paraffin original constipation of the problem of the p	Farahmand. A	Study Type:	247 children	247 children	General:	Duration of		
paraffin versus Exidence level 1-		RCT						
Iactulose in the treatment of chronic functional constipation in children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], alsalmic Republic of. Simple of the treatment of chronic functional constipation in children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], alsalmic Republic of. Simple of the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], alsalmic Republic of. Simple of the treatment of listence of the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], alsalmic Republic of. Simple of the treatment of listence of the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of plant of the treatment of children. 2007. Acta Medical ranica 45[3], and lactulose in the treatment of plant of the treatment of the treatment of plant of the treatment of the treatment of the plant of the treatment of			<u>Inclusion</u>	127 male	daily for 2 days to	8 weeks	-before treatment (per	constipation based on having at least 2
the treatment of chronic functional constipation in constipation in constipation in constipation of chronic functional constipation in compare the constitution in compared the constitution in constitution in constitution in cliquid paraffin orally, 1 to 2 milkg, twice daily for 8 weeks in compared to 2 milks, twice daily for 8 weeks in compared to 2 milks, twice daily for 8 weeks in compared to 2 milks, twice daily for 8 weeks in compared to 2 milks, twice daily for 8 weeks in compared to 2 milks, twice daily for 8 weeks in compared to 2 milks, twice daily for 8 weeks in compared to 2 milks, twice daily for 8 weeks in compared to 2 milks, twice daily for 8 weeks in	paraffin versus	Evidence level:						
of chronic functional compare the constipation in compare the clinical, efficacy children. 2007. Acta Medica Iranica 45[3], alsa lactulose in the treatment of functional slaimic Republic of. Republic of defecation defectation	lactulose in	1-						
functional constipation in children. 2007. Acta Medica Iranica 45[3], 183-188Iran, Islamic Republic of. Republic								
Constipation in children. 2007. And safety of Safety. 2007. And Safety.			constipation	4.1± 2.1 years)	paraffin oil)			
children. 2007. Acta Medica Iranica 45[3], 183-188Iran, 183-188Iran, Islamic Republic of. Republic								
Acta Medica Iranica 45[3], and lactulose in and lactulose in the treatment of functional constipation on stipation of intestinal pseudo obstruction of the process of the constitution of				Country: Iran				
Iranica 45[3], 183-188Iran, 183-188Iran, 183-188Iran, 183-188Iran, 183-188Iran, 184 wether teatment of functional childhood constipation on stipation stipation on stipation stipa		,					p=0.155	examination
the treatment of Islamic Islam								
Islamic Republic of. Islamic Republic of.								
Republic of. childhood constipation Comparison: Lactulose orally, 1 to 2 ml/kg, twice daily for 8 weeks Couttan, hypothyroidis m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction Pacific days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Comparison: Lactulose orally, 1 to 2 ml/kg, twice daily for 8 weeks Couttome Measures: Douttome Measures: Do	,				for 8 weeks			
Constipation Hirschsprung's 'disease, spina biffida occulta, hypothyroidis m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Lactulose orally, 1 to 2 ml/kg, twice daily for 8 weeks Doutcome Measures: 00utcome Measures: 00utcome 00 under the compliance 00utcome 0utcome 00utcome 00utcom								
s' disease, spina bifida occulta, hypothyroidis m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools to 2 ml/kg, twice daily for 8 weeks Dutcome Measures: 9.2 ± 2.1 p<0.001 Treatment success defined as 3 or more bowel movements/week and encopresis episodes less than 2/week (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) between the 2 treatment groups regarding: age, sex, duration of constipation, defection frequency, number of patients with history of encopresis frequency furger 1 = 0.001 main to 2 = 0.001 main to 2 = 0.001 main to 3 = 0.001 main to 3 = 0.001 main to 4 = 0.001 main to 2 = 0.001 main to 3 = 0.001 main to 4 = 0.001 more along the spinal days more bowel movements/week and encopresis episodes less than 2/week (per week): Liquid paraffin n=127) number of patients with history of encopresis, large amount of stool, faecal impaction in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication main to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication main to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication more follow-up after 8 weeks, bad p	Republic of.					finished		
spina bifida occulta, hypothyroidis m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction Set of determination of best dose for each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Set of fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction Set of determination of best dose for each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Set of fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction Set of determination of best dose for the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Set of fiequency Set of determination of best dose for the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Set of fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo of best dose for the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Set of fiequency Set of fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo of best dose for the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Set of fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo of best dose for the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Set of fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo of best dose for the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Set of fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo of best dose for the volume of encopresis frequency (n=127) episodes less than 2/week poisodes less than 2/week (n=127) not prevently intestinal provides and particular provides desermination of bowel movements/week and encopresis episodes less than 2/week power intestinal provides (n=127) not provide abnormalities, intestinal provides (n=127) number of patients with history of encopresis, large amount of stool, faecal impaction in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost to follow-up after 8 weeks, betwee		constipation						
occulta, hypothyroidis m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools P<0.001 Treatment success defined as 3 or more bowel movements/week and encopresis episodes less than 2/week (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) 13.1 ± 2.3 Lactulose (n=120) Salvation of encopresis, large amount of stool, faecal impaction in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication Reviewer comments: Reviewer comments: Method of randomisation and allocation concealment not described Non blinded study								compliance
hypothyroidis m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools hypothyroidis m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools hypothyroidis m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools -stool frequency (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) -success rate -success rate -success rate -success rate -optimal dose of drug -optima					daily for 8 weeks			
m, cystic fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of between the 2 treatment groups regarding: age, sex, duration of constitution of constitution of constitution of constitution of drug For determination of child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 2.3 and the 2.3 and parents asked to increase the 2 treatment programment age asked to increase the 2 treatment programment age asked to increase the 2 treatment programment of stool, faceal impaction in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication concealment not described Non blinded study			,				p<0.001	
fibrosis, neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents obstruction in frequency oconstipation, defection frequency, number of patients with history of encopresis, large amount of stool, faecal impaction in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost of follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication concealment not described Non blinded study						-stool frequency		
neurological abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase obstruction For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination of best dose for child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools For determination (n=127) Beloween the 2 treatment egarding: age, sex, duration of constipation, defection frequency, number of patients with history of encopresis, large amount of stool, faecal impaction in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication For determination (n=127) Before treatment (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) Liquid paraffin (n=127) Method of randomisation and allocation concealment not described Non blinded study								episodes less than 2/week
abnormalities, intestinal pseudo obstruction obstruction of best dose for child, parents asked to increase obstruction obstructions obstruction obstructions obstruction obstruction obstructions obstruction obstructions								N
child, parents asked to increase obstruction child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 2.5% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 2.5% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of each drug by 2.5% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose to found in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication concealment not described by 2.5% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools child, parents asked to increase the volume of the volume of the volume of the volume of parents asked to increase the volume of the volume of parents asked to increase the volum						rrequency		
pseudo obstruction asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools asked to increase the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Lactulose (n=120) 8.1 ± 3.1 p<0.001 Encopresis frequency to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication [New week]: Reviewer comments: [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study medication [New indication in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost palatability of study med							,	
the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Encopresis frequency (mean ± SD) -Before treatment (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) Liquid								
each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools each drug by 25% every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools p<0.001 encopresis, large amount of stool, faecal impaction in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad palatability of study medication (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) Liquid paraffin on to described Non blinded study								
every 3 days as required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Second Stools			obstruction					
required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose stools Side effects Side effects Encopresis frequency (mean ± SD) -Before treatment (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) Liquid paraffin to concealment not described Liquid paraffin to concealment not describe						arug	p<0.001	
or 2, firm-loose stools (mean ± SD)						aida affaata	Engantagia fraguanav	
stools -Before treatment (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) 10 ± 4.7 Reviewer comments: Concealment not described Non blinded study						-side effects		
(per week): Reviewer comments: Liquid paraffin Method of randomisation and allocation (n=127) concealment not described 10 ± 4.7 Non blinded study								paratability of study medication
Liquid paraffin (n=127) Concealment not described Non blinded study					510015			Poviower comments:
(n=127) concealment not described 10 ± 4.7 Non blinded study								
Non blinded study								
Lactulose (n=120) No sample calculation performed								No sample calculation performed
9 ± 4.85 No withdrawals/dropouts reported								

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						p=0.1 -during first 4 weeks (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) 1 ± 4.3 Lactulose (n=120) 2 ± 4.6 p=0.07 -during last 4 weeks (per week): Liquid paraffin (n=127) 0 ± 0 Lactulose (n=120) 3 ± 4.1 p<0.001 Success rate (%, CI 95%) -during first 4 weeks: Liquid paraffin (n=127) 90 Lactulose (n=120) 52 p<0.001 -at end of 8 weeks: Liquid paraffin (n=127) 85 Lactulose (n=120) 29 p<0.001	Results not controlled for potential confounders Source of funding: not stated, but authors reported "no conflicts of interests"

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						Optimal dose of drug	
						-Final effective dose	
						(mean, ml/kg/day):	
						Liquid paraffin	
						(n=127)	
						1.72 ± 0.13	
						Lactulose (n=120)	
						2.08 ± 0.21	
						p<0.001	
						Side effects (during 4	
						to 12 week) (not clear	
						whether, n or %, but	
						probably %)	
						(estimates taken from	
						bar chart, outcomes	
						not reported in text):	
						Lactulose (n=120)	
						Abdominal pain: 10	
						Bad palatability: 15	
						Pain at defecation: 10	
						Bloating: 10	
						Diarrhoea: 10	
						Anal oil leakage: 20	
						Flatulence: 10	
						Nausea: 10	
						Hard stool: 20	
						Vomiting: 0	
						Liquid paraffin	
						(n=127)	
						Abdominal pain: 50	
						Bad palatability: 40	
						Pain at defecation: 50	
						Bloating: 20	
						Diarrhoea: 30	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		5		ivieasures	Anal oil leakage: 40	
						Flatulence: 20	
						Nausea: 5	
						Hard stool: 6	
						Vomiting: 0	
Gremse et al.	Study Type:	44 children	44 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Mean number of	Additional information from study:
Comparison of	RCT			PEG 3350 without		bowel movements	7 patients withdrew during the first 2-
polyethylene	(crossover)	Inclusion	Age range: 2 to	electrolytes	2 weeks each		week treatment period due to lack of
glycol 3350		criteria:	16 years (mean	(MiraLax)	period	-PEG 3350 (n=37):	efficacy of the assigned intervention: 6
and lactulose	Evidence level:		7.8 ± 3.7)	10g/m2/d orally		14.8 ± 1.4	patients taking lactulose at time of
for treatment	1-	2 to 16 years,		for 2 weeks	<u>Assessment</u>		withdrawal
of chronic		referred for	Country: USA		point (s):	-Lactulose (n=37):	
constipation in		subspecialty		Mean weight	Immediately	13.5 ± 1.5	Stool form scoring: 0 hard, 1 firm, 2 soft,
		evaluation of		adjusted dose: 0.3			3 loose, 4 watery
Clinical	efficacy of PEG	constipation		g/kg/d (range 0.2	treatment	Stool form (mean	
Pediatrics	3350 and			to 0.5)	period	sum of scores)	Stool passage scoring: 0 hard, 1 difficult,
41[4], 225-229		Exclusion					2 easy, 3 urgency, 4, no control
	treatment of	criteria:		Comparison:	Follow-up	-PEG 3350 (n=37):	
		organic		Lactulose 1.3	period:	25.9 ± 3.0	Stool frequency, form and easy of
		disease of the		g/kg/d orally for 2	No follow-up		passage recorded by parent or guardian
		large or small		weeks	made after	-Lactulose (n=37):	in symptom diary
		intestine,			treatment	27.9 ± 1.5	Davidance
		known allergy		(no woohout	completed	Ctoolo noocogo	Reviewer comments: No definition of constipation given
		to PEG or		(no washout	Outcome	Stools passage	
		lactulose, previous		period)	Outcome Magaziros:	(mean sum of scores) -PEG 3350 (n=37):	Baseline characteristics between groups not compared
		gastrointestin			Measures:		Method of randomisation and allocation
		0			-Stool	20.0 ± 4.2	concealment not described
		al surgery, renal; or heart			frequency	-Lactulose (n=37):	Non blinded study
		failure, bowel			lifequency	26.2 ± 5.1	Small sample size, no sample size
		obstruction,			-Stool form	20.2 ± 3.1	calculation
		ileus,			Cloor Ioiiii	Effectiveness (%	No follow-up period
		pregnancy,			-Easy of	effective)	Intention to treat analysis not performed
		lactation,			passage	-PEG 3350 (n=37):	15.9 % dropout rate
		galactosemia,			Faccago	84	Results not controlled for potential
		diabetes			-Effectiveness		confounders
		mellitus			(global	-Lactulose (n=37): 46	
					assessment, as		Source of funding:

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		3		reported by parent or guardian) -Laxative preference (based on	Laxative preference (% preferred): -PEG 3350 (n=37): 73 -Lactulose (n=37):	not stated
					efficacy, ease of administration and side effects)	27	
Wald et al. Evaluation of biofeedback in childhood encopresis.	Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1-	50 children Inclusion criteria: encopresis of	50 children 40 boys Age range 6 to 15 years (mean	Intervention: Biofeedback , one 25 to 30-minute session	Duration of treatment: 12 weeks Assessment	(%) (results are estimates	Additional information from study: At baseline 2 groups comparable respect to age, sex, duration and severity of soiling, anorectal motility parameters and expulsion patterns
gy and	Study aim: to evaluate the efficacy of	at least 6 months of duration	8.4) Country: USA	Children with abnormal expulsion pattern taught a	point (s): Immediately after treatment completed	as exact figures not reported in text) -3 months:	Single blinded design Initial and follow-up office visits at 2, 4
Nutrition 6[4], 554-558	biofeedback for childhood encopresis	Exclusion criteria: not stated		technique to normalise their patterns and they and children with	Follow-up period: 6 and 12	biofeedback (n=24): 54 mineral oil (n=26): 54	and 8 weeks similar in duration for both groups. All outcomes recorded by parents in written calendar. Follow-up interviews by telephone performed at 3,
				normal expulsion pattern told to use the technique whenever they	months after treatment finished	-6 months: biofeedback (n=24): 50	6 and 12 months by investigator unaware of treatment or results of anorectal studies
				attempted to defecate Reinforcement	Outcome Measures: -frequency of defecation		Based on outcomes, children placed in groups at each assessment: 1-some improvement, 2-some improvement, but major soiling (<1/week), 3-marked
				sessions at 2, 4 and 8 weeks Comparison:	-frequency of gross incontinence	biofeedback (n=24): 50 mineral oil (n=26): 59	improvement (rare major soiling <1/week or minor soiling) 4-complete remission

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	Mineral oil orally in graded amounts (range 1 to 4 tablespoons/day), designed to induce a soft bowel movement daily	-frequency of staining or minor soiling -parental perception of clinical status and overall satisfaction	NS for any treatment period No significant differences in outcomes for children with abnormal expulsion pattern vs. children with normal expulsion patterns	2 dropouts at 3 months (1 from each group), 3 additional dropouts at 6 months (2 biofeedback) and 5 lost to follow-up at 12 months (3 biofeedback). All dropouts designated as treatment failures for each subsequent assessment point Reviewer comments: No clear definition of encopresis given Method of randomisation and allocation concealment not described No sample size calculation. ITT analysis apparently performed Unclear how the 4 outcomes groups were defined from the clinical variables Source of funding:
		51 children	51 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Number of complete	not stated Additional information from study:
Polyethylene glycol 3350	RCT (cross over,	Inclusion	29 girls mean age 5.4	PEG + E (6.9 g powder/sachet)	treatment: 2 weeks each	defecations per week (Mean (SD), range)	Chronic constipation defined according to Rome criteria as fewer than 3
plus	multicentre)	criteria:	years (range:	powdel/sacriety	treatment	(data do not include	complete bowel movements/week, and
electrolytes for	,	chronic	24 months to 11	Comparison:	period	washout period)	at least 1 of the following: pain on
chronic			years)	Placebo (6.9 g	separated by a		defecation on at least 25% of days; at
constipation in		for at least 3		powder/sachet)		a. ITT population	least 25% of bowel movements with
children: a		months	Country: UK		washout	DEO E (47)	straining, and at least 25% of bowel
double blind,	Study aim: to assess the	Evaluaian		Machaut paried in	Accomment	-PEG+E (n = 47):	movements with hard or lumpy stools
placebo controlled,	efficacy and	Exclusion criteria:		Washout period in between: 2 weeks		3.12 (2.050) 0.00–8.87	Random sequence group computer
crossover	safety of	current or		Detween. 2 weeks	immediately	0.00-0.07	generated before start of recruitment
		previous		Dosing regimen	after each	-Placebo (n = 48)	using block size of 4 patients and study
appears in		faecal		for both PEG + E	treatment	1.45 (1.202)	medication labelled accordingly.
	0 ,	impaction		and placebo	period,	0.00–3.73	Random blocks (with numbers stored in
2008		decided by		(number	including		sealed code-break envelopes) sent to
Jan;93(1):93].	(PEG + E) for	either		sachets/day):	washout	Treatment difference:	investigator sites as required. As
	the treatment of					1.64	children enrolled, sites allocated
of Disease in	chronic	examination		-children aged 2	Follow-up		treatment supplies sequentially, started

Bibliographic Information	Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
Childhood	constipation in	or abdominal		to 6 years		p Value (95% CI)	with lowest possible number. Both the
92[11], 996-	children	X-ray,		days 1-2: 1	No follow-up	<0.001 (0.99 to 2.28)	children (and their parents/guardians)
1000		previous		days 3-4: 2 (taken			and those administering treatment were
		intestinal		together)		b. PP population	blinded to allocation schedule
		perforation/ob			completed		
		struction,		morning, 1		-PEG+E (n = 36):	A sample size of 50 children was
		paralytic				3.63 (1.980)	planned to achieve 40 evaluable
		ileus,		days 7-8: 4 (2	Measures:	0.00–8.87	children, giving 90% power to detect a
		Hirschsprung'		morning, 2			true treatment difference of 0.3 bowel
		s disease,		evening)	1. Primary	-Placebo (n = 36):	movements/week using a two-tailed
		severe				1.63 (1.229)	significance test at the 5% level. As
		inflammatory			endpoint:	0.00–3.73	dropout rate was higher than originally
		conditions of		to 11 years			estimated, recruitment target was
		the intestinal		days 1-2: 2 (taken			increased to 60 children
		tract, severe				1.96	
		gastroesopha		days 3-4: 2 (taken		0.004 (4.40 (At baseline, clinically significant
		geal reflux,			week	<0.001 (1.19 to 2.72)	abnormalities on physical examination
		diabetes,		days 5-6: 5 (2		(0=0) 01 0=0	(mainly associated with faecal loading
		receiving		.	2. Secondary	(95% CI, 95%	but not impaction) recorded for 8
		doses of		evening)		confidence interval;	children (5/27 in the PEG+E/placebo
		stimulant		days 7-8: 6 (3			group, 3/24 in the placebo/PEG+E
		laxatives		morning, 3		PP per protocol)	group). Before randomisation, 47
		considered by		evening)	-total number of	0	children taking other laxatives (most
		local		:	defecations	Secondary efficacy	frequently lactulose)
		observers to		For both groups if		outcomes, ITT	40/54 abildray (7/07 in the
		be at higher		diarrhoea, doses	-pain on	population (mean,	13/51 children (7/27 in the
		end of their		was decreased by	defecation	SD)	PEG+E/placebo group, 6/24 in the
		own doses		2 sachets or miss		a. Tatal assessant	placebo/PEG+E group) recorded at least
		spectrum		a day. If loose	-straining on	a. Total number of	one deviation from the study protocol (1
				stools doses	defection	defaecations	child recorded 2 protocol deviations).
				decreased by 1	ataal	DEC . E /n 47\. E 00	Main reason for deviation was non-
				sachet			compliance with study medication (7/51
						(2.771)	children), followed by failure to supply
						Placebo* (n = 47):	sufficient bowel movement data (4/51
						4.10 (2.503)	children), and taking concomitant non-
					hard stools	Treatment difference: 1.58	study laxative medication after
							randomisation (3/51 children).
					r-abuominai pain	p Value (95% CI)=	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
					on defecation -faecal incontinence 3. Adverse events	b. Pain on defaecation PEG+E (n = 47): 0.49 (0.727) Placebo (n = 47): 0.77 (0.863) Treatment difference: -0.28 p Value (95% CI): 0.041 (-0.52 to -0.01) c. Straining on defaecation PEG+E (n = 47): 0.72 (0.789) Placebo (n = 47): 1.37 (1.041) Treatment difference: -0.65 p Value (95% CI): 0.001 (-0.97 to -0.33) d. Stool consistency PEG+E (n = 47): 1.73 (0.497) Placebo (n = 47): 2.21 (0.556) Treatment difference: -0.48 p Value (95% CI): 0.001 (-0.68 to -0.27)	Reviewer comments: Blinding procedures not clearly described Unclear whether outcomes assessors were also blinded to treatment allocation Study not controlled for potential confounders Source of funding: Norgine Ltd. One of the authors was an employee of Norgine Ltd at the time the study was written. The others declared that they had nothing to declare
						e. Percentage hard	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						stools PEG+E (n = 47): 14.64 (26.041) Placebo (n = 47): 38.19 (39.508) Treatment difference: -23.55 p Value (95% CI):	
						<0.001 f. Abdominal pain on defaecation PEG+E (n = 47): 0.67 (0.789) Placebo (n = 47): 0.79 (0.903) Treatment difference: 20.12 p Value (95% CI) NS	
						g. Faecal incontinence PEG+E (n = 47): 4.70 (6.344) Placebo (n = 47): 4.85 (7.863) Treatment difference: 20.15 p Value (95% CI) NS	
						Mean effective dose of PEG 3350 (g/kg/day): 0.6 (2 to 6-year-old) 0.7 (7 to 11-year-old)	

Adverse events: PEG-E (3/14/9, 63%) Placebo (28/49, 57%) during periods I and III. None serious, most judged by investigator to be moderate or mild in severity 20 children (41%) on PEG-HE: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, iudged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG-HE (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG-HE group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawat. New clinically significant	Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Placebo (28/49, 57%) during periods I and III. None serious, most judged by investigator to be moderate or mild in severity 20 children (41%) on PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events): placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant							Adverse events:	
Placebo (28/49, 57%) during periods I and III. None serious, most judged by investigator to be moderate or mild in severity 20 children (41%) on PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events): placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
during periods I and III. None serious, most judged by investigator to be moderate or mild in severity 20 children (41%) on PEG4E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG4E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG4E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
III. None serious, most judged by investigator to be moderate or mild in severity 20 children (41%) on PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events), 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New chinically significant								
most judged by investigator to be moderate or mild in severity 20 children (41%) on PEG-E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG-E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG-E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
investigator to be moderate or mild in severity 20 children (41%) on PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events), placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
moderate or mild in severity 20 children (41%) on PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
severity 20 children (41%) on PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
20 children (41%) on PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant							severity	
PEG+E: 41 events 22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant							20 children (41%) on	
22 children (45%) on placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
placebo: 45 events, judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
judged by investigator to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
to be at least possibly related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
related to the study treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
treatment. Most gastro-intestinal disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
disorders (particularly abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
abdominal pain), PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
PEG+E (39%, 39 events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant							disorders (particularly	
events); placebo (45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
(45%, 41 events). 1 child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
child in placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
placebo/PEG+E group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
group withdrawn at week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
week 3 because of abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
abdominal pain, assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
assessed by investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
investigator as being related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
related to treatment, this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
this child was taking placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
placebo at the time of withdrawal. New clinically significant								
withdrawal. New clinically significant								
clinically significant								
							abnormalities on	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	physical sysmination	
						physical examination (mainly associated	
						with faecal loading):	
						13 children (8/27 in	
						the PEG+E/placebo	
						group, 5/24 in the	
						placebo/	
						PEG+E group). When	
						analysed for what	
						these children were	
						taking for the 2 weeks	
						before the physical	
						examination, 23 out	
						of the 24 reports	
						(95.8%) occurred	
						when child taking	
						placebo. Only 1 report of an abnormal	
						abdominal	
						examination while	
						patient on PEG+E	
						Mean weight similar	
						before and after	
						treatment, no	
						significant difference	
						found between the 2	
						groups for change in	
						weight while on	
Candhaimarat	Ctudy Types	37 children	37 children	Canaralı	Duration	treatment (p=0.357)	Additional information from study
Sondheimer et al. Lubricant	RCT	or criliaren	or children	General: 5-day course of	<u>Duration:</u> Unclear,	Daily bowel movement (%	Additional information from study: Diagnosis of chronic functional
versus laxative	_	Inclusion	26 male	oral bisacodyl	probably 6	patients)	constipation made on basis of historical
in the	Evidence level:	criteria:	20 maie	(most patients)	months	<u>pationis</u>	features and physical exam
treatment of	1-	patients	age range: 3 to	and daily enema		at 1 month: N.S	demonstrating dilated rectum, excessive
chronic	⁻	treated for	12 years	for 3-5 days in	Assessment		retained stool directly within anal verge
functional	Study aim: to	chronic		addition (a	point (s):	at 3 months:	and in most cases, evidence of perianal
constipation of	compare the	functional	Country:	minority)	1, 3 and 6		soiling

Bibliographic Information	Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
1 11 1	Level		S		Measures	14: 1 :1 (10)	
children: a	efficacy of	constipation	USA	latamantia.	months after	-Mineral oil (n=18):	Obilden and the 4 of 0 to other ant
comparative		in specialist			initiating	100	Children assigned to 1 of 2 treatment
study. 1982.		clinic			treatment	-Senokot (n=18): 72	groups according to the last digit of their
Journal of Pediatric	senna	Evaluaion		twice daily in doses sufficient to		p<0.05	hospital number. All patients seen by
	concentrate in the treatment of	Exclusion		induce loose		latest follow-up:	same physician. Parents informed that 1 of 2 acceptable medications would be
	functional	criteria:		stools and	period: -Mineral oil	latest follow-up.	used to accomplish the discussed
gy and Nutrition 1[2],		neurological impairment,			group, mean	-Mineral oil (n=18): 89	objectives
223-226		faecal soiling			10.1 months	-Senokot (n=18): 50	Objectives
223-220	Ciliuleii	in the		week of		p<0.05	No significant baseline differences
		absence of		treatment, dose	-Senokot group,	P<0.03	between 2 groups regarding mean age,
		retained stool		reduced until	mean 10.5	Daily soiling (%	median age at onset of symptoms and
		rotaliloa otool			months	patients)	percent of patients who had received
				This dose (range		<u>panomor</u>	prior treatment with constipation, sex
				1.5 to 5.0	Outcome	at 1 month:	ratio, faecal soiling, overt retentive
				cc/kg/day)	Measures:		behaviour, enuresis, "difficult" toilet
				maintained for		-Mineral oil (n=18): 11	training and primary failure of toilet
				minimum 3	-daily bowel		training.
				months.	movements	p<0.05	_
							Patients allowed to discontinue
				Comparison:	-daily soiling	at 3months:	medications after 3 months if symptom
				Senokot (tablet or			control unsatisfactory
				syrup), doses	-compliance	-Mineral oil (n=18): 11	
				sufficient to	with medication	-Senokot (n=18): 50	1 patient on mineral oil lost o follow-up
				induce at least 1		p<0.05	after 3-month visit and not considered in
				bowel movement			results. No dropouts/lost to follow-up in
				daily during first 2		latest follow-up:	other group
				weeks of		Minaral ail (n. 40). C	Divine 4 and an earth motion to /n a route I cont
				treatment. This dose maintained		-Mineral oil (n=18): 6 -Senokot (n=18): 44	During 1rst month patients/parents kept records of medication, stool frequency
						D<0.05	and faecal soiling. From then on
				for 3 months. Tapering		p<0.00	outcomes measured by telephone
				accomplished by		Compliance with	interviews and during consultations
				changing from		medication (% reliably	and during consultations
				daily to every		compliant)	Reviewer comments:
				other day and			Study inadequately randomised.
				then every 3 rd day		-Senokot (n=18): 78	Allocation concealment not described
				medication			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures	because of unacceptable symptom control 45% in each group remained on regular medication Episodes of symptoms recurrence /treatment/ month (Mean ± SD):	Clinicians/researchers not blinded. Blinding procedures for parents/patients not clearly described No sample size calculation performed Results not controlled for potential confounders Definition of "reliably compliant" not given Source of funding: not stated
						-Mineral oil (n=18): 0.09 ± 0.08 -Senokot (n=18): 0.34 ± 0.36	
Bu et al. Lactobacillus casei rhamnosus	Study Type: RCT Evidence level:	45 children Inclusion criteria:	45 children 23 male	Intervention: MgO 50 mg/kg per day, twice a day	Duration of treatment: 4 weeks	Defecation frequency (times/day) -MgO (n=18) 0.55 ± 0.13	Additional information from study: Chronic constipation defined as a stool frequency of <3 times/week for >2 months and at least 1 of the following
Lcr35 in children with chronic constipation. 2007.	1+ Study aim:	children under 10 years old with chronic constipation	Age (months, mean, SD) MgO group	Comparison 1: Lcr35 8 X 10^8 c.f.u/day (Antiobiophilus	Assessment point (s): Immediately after treatment completed	-probiotic (n=18) 0.57 ± 0.17 -placebo (n=9)	minor criteria: anal fissures with bleeding due to constipation, faecal soiling or passage of large and hard stool
Pediatrics	Probiotics		Probiotic group	250 mg, 2	Completed	0.37 ± 0.10	Children randomly assigned into the 3

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
International 49[4], 485-490	(Lactobacillus case rhamnosus, Lcr35) alone in the treatment of chronic constipation in children and to compare the effect with magnesium oxide (MgO) and placebo, respectively	Exclusion criteria: organic causes of constipation like Hirschsprung's s disease, spina bifida (occulta), hypothyroidis m, or other metabolic/ren al abnormalities, drugs influencing gastrointestin al function other than laxatives (calcium channel blockers, antidysrythmi c agents, anticonvulsiva nts, anticholinergi c agents)	Country: Taiwan	capsules, twice a day) Comparison 2: Placebo (starch in content) Lactulose use (1mL/kg/day) allowed when no stool passage noted for 3 days. Glycerin enema used only when no defecation for >5days or abdominal pain suffered due to stool impaction	Follow-up period: No follow up made after treatment finished Outcome Measures: -frequency of defecation -consistency of stools -episodes of soiling -episodes of abdominal pain -use of lactulose or enema	MgO vs. probiotic NS Placebo vs. probiotic P=0.006 MgO vs. placebo p=0.01 Hard stool (%) -MgO (n=18) 23.5 ± 7.9 -probiotic (n=18) 22.4 ± 14.7 -placebo (n=9) 75.5 ± 6.1 MgO vs. probiotic NS Placebo vs. probiotic p=0.02 MgO vs. placebo p=0.03 Abdominal pain (times) -MgO (n=18) 4.8 ± 3.7 -probiotic (n=18) 1.9 ± 1.6 -placebo (n=9) 6.7 ± 3.3 MgO vs. probiotic p=0.04 Placebo vs. probiotic p=0.01 MgO vs. placebo NS	groups according to a computer - generated randomisation list Blinding achieved by the use of 3 interventions with similar appearances and placed into identical capsules, which were either swallowed o as a whole or opened and the contents of the capsule administered in milk or fluid Throughout the duration of study all investigators, participants and data analysts were blinded to the assigned treatment Sample size determined by doing primary trial with 9 patients using non-inferiority to test. Equivalent margin chosen with reference to effect of active control in the data of preliminary trial. Unbalance design of allocation number used for more interest in the new drug (Lcr35): allocation rate set at 2:2:1. One sided significance level set at 0.05 and power was 80%. Under these assumptions the smallest sample size was 45 and the sample size of MgO, Lcr35 and placebo was 18, 18 and 9 respectively No significant differences at baseline amongst the 3 group regarding: sex, age of enrolment, age of onset of constipation, duration of constipation, previous treatment, defecation period, stool consistency, abdominal pain, faecal soiling, bleeding during defecation, use of enema, taking fruit or

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
							vegetable daily
						Use of glycerine	
						enema (times)	Patients asked to discontinue any
						-MgO (n=18)	laxatives previously prescribed 3 days
						1.3 ± 1.9	before entering protocol, and also asked
						1: :: (40)	to avoid any other probiotics, yogurt or
						-probiotic (n=18)	beverage containing probiotics for at
						1.6 ± 1.9	least 2 weeks before treatment and during therapy
						-placebo (n=9)	
						4.0 ± 2.1	All outcomes measures recorded by
							parents in a stool diary
						MgO vs. probiotic NS	,
						Placebo vs. probiotic	4 patients discontinued medication
						p=0.04	during study period: 2 in MgO, 1 in
						MgO vs. placebo	probiotic, 1 in placebo group (2 patients
						p=0.03	suffered from acute gastroenteritis and 2 patients lost to follow-up)
						No significant	patiente lost to lonew up)
						differences regarding	Reviewer comments:
						use of lactulose,	Allocation concealment not described
						faecal soiling and	Not clear whether the 2 patients who
						change of appetite	suffered from acute gastroenteritis had it
						amongst 3 groups	as consequence of the study medication
							Study not controlled for potential
						Patients with	confounders
						treatment success	
						(%)	Source of funding: not stated
						-MgO (n=18): 72.2	
						-probiotic (n=18):	
						77.8	
						-placebo (n=9): 11.1	
						. ,	
						MgO vs. probiotic NS	
						Placebo vs. probiotic	
						p=0.01	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
miormation	Level	latients	S	Companison	Measures		
						MgO vs. placebo	
						p=0.01	
						no adverse effects	
						noted in probiotic and	
						placebo groups, only	
						1 patient in the MgO	
						group suffered from	
						mild diarrhoea	
Loening-		49 children	-Miralax group:	Intervention:	Duration of	Bowel movement	Additional information from study:
Baucke.	Prospective cohort	Inclusion	28 children 20 boys	MiraLax 17 dissolved	treatment: 12 months	frequency (mean,	Initial dose of Miralax 0.5 g/kg daily suggested for children whose rectums
Polyethylene glycol without	CONOIL	Inclusion criteria:	Mean age ± SD:		12 months	results are estimates taken form bar chart	were loaded with stool but who had no
electrolytes for	Evidence level:		8.7 ± 3.6 years	beverage such as	Assessment	as not reported in	fecal abdominal masses at the initial
children with			Range 4.1 to	juice or Kool-Aid	point (s):	text)	physical examination and no history of
constipation		referred for	17.5 years	initial dose:	1, 3, 6, and 12		long intervals between huge bowel
and	Study aim:	functional		0.5 to 1 g/kg/daily	months after	-baseline:	movements. Those with palpable
encopresis.	to determine	constipation	-MOM group:		initiating	PEG: 3.2	abdominal fecal masses or history of
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		21 children	Comparison:	treatment	MOM: 2.5	infrequent huge bowel movements
of Pediatric	1 7 /	encopresis	17 boys	MOM			started on 1 g/kg daily
			Mean ± SD: 7.3	Initial dose:	Follow-up	-1 month PEG: 9.0	NAME of Manageria given if family apple
gy and Nutrition 34[4],	dosage of MiraLax	constipation defined as	± 3.0 years Range: 4.0 to	1 to 2.5 mL/kg	period: No follow-up	MOM: 6.5	Milk of Magnesia given if family could afford only the use of a cheaper laxative
		delay/difficulty			made after	IVIOIVI. 6.5	or if child had previously received MOM
States.		in defecation	10.5 years		treatment	-3 months	without refusal. For these children, MOM
Giaico.		and	Country:		finished	PEG: 9.5	reintroduced or adjusted to adequate
	electrolytes)	encopresis	USA			MOM: 7.0	dosage. Parents told how to improve the
	during a 12-	(≥1/week) for			<u>Outcome</u>		taste by mixing the child's preferred
	month	more than 1		Large laxative	Measures:	-6 months	flavoring with plain MOM. Initial daily
	treatment	year		dosages divided		PEG: 8.8	dosage of 1 mL/kg body weight
	period in			into 2 daily doses.		MOM: 6.3	suggested for children with rectal fecal
		Exclusion		Parents told to	movement	40	masses only at initial evaluation and if
	functional constipation	criteria: Children <4		adjust the dose of medication by 30	rrequency	-12 months PEG: 6.8	no history of infrequent large bowel movements. Dosage of 2.5 mL/kg
				mL for MiraLax	-consistency of	MOM: 7.2	prescribed for those with fecal
	and encopiesis	children who		and by 7.5 mL	stools	IVIOIVI. 7.2	abdominal masses at the initial
		refused the		(one-half		P<0.01 when	evaluation or history of huge, infrequent
		toilet for		tablespoon) for	-soiling	comparing values at	bowel movements.

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		stooling but		MOM every 3	frequency	every assessment	
		who had no		days to a dosage		point to baseline for	Regular stool sittings for 5 minutes after
		constipation,		that resulted in 1		both treatments	each meal required for initial months
		Hirschsprung'		to 2 soft bowel	frequency	<i>.</i>	
		s disease,		movements/day	r	Soiling frequency	Patients and parents provided with diary
		chronic		and prevented	-medication	(mean, results are	sheets to record each outcome
		intestinal		soiling and	dosage	estimates taken form	measured
		pseudo-		abdominal pain.	-11-111	bar chart as not	Dain a could define de la Commanda de la could
		obstruction,		If child retained	-clinically	reported in text)	Doing well defined as 3 or more bowel
		or		stools despite	significant side	haaaliaa.	movements/week and 2 or fewer soiling
		previous		compliance with	effects	-baseline:	episodes / month. Improved defined as
		surgery of the		assigned laxative,		PEG: 12.0	3 or more bowel movements / week and
		colon or anus		daily senna added		MOM: 8.5	more than 75% decrease in soiling but
				to treatment.	with medication	4	not more than 1 soiling / week. Not
						-1 month PEG: 3.0	doing well defined as fewer than 3 bowel movements / week, less than 75%
						MOM: 0.5	
						IVIOIVI. U.5	decrease in soiling frequency, use of
						-3 months	senna, or refusal to take the assigned laxative. Recovered defined as 3 or
						PEG: 1.8	more bowel movements / week and 2 or
						MOM: 0.2	
						IVIOIVI. U.Z	fewer soiling episodes / month while not taking laxatives.
						-6 months	laking laxatives.
						PEG: 1.0	No significant baseline differences
						MOM: 0.8	between 2 groups
						IVIOIVI. U.O	between 2 groups
						-12 months	Reviewer comments:
						PEG: 0.9	No sample size calculation performed
						MOM: 0.1	140 Sample Size calculation performed
						IVIOIVI. O. I	Outcomes for consistency of stools not
						P<0.01 when	reported
						comparing values at	Topolica
						every assessment	Not reporting on the clinically significant
						point to baseline for	side effects (or lack of them) for MOM
						both treatments	order of the control
						P<0.01 when	Source of funding:
						comparing values	Dr. Loening-Baucke recipient of grant
						between 2 groups at	support from Braintree Pharmaceuticals,

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s		Measures	1 and 12 months Children with abdominal pain (%): -baseline: PEG: 61 MOM: 81 -1 month PEG: 14 MOM: 14 -3 months PEG: 13 MOM: 5 -6 months PEG: 8 MOM: 11 -12 months PEG: 4 MOM: 0 P<0.01 when comparing values at every assessment point to baseline for both treatments Medication dosage (Mean doses and range for children who were doing well or improved) (PEG, g/kg; MOM, mL/kg)	Braintree, MA, U.S.A., for continuing studies on childhood constipation
						1 month	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
IIIOIIIIatioii	Level	i atients	S	Comparison	Measures		
						PEG:	
						$0.6 \pm 0.2 (0.3 \text{ to } 1.1)$	
						MOM:	
						1.4 ± 0.6 (0.6 to 2.6)	
						3 months	
						PEG:	
						$0.6 \pm 0.3 (0.3 \text{ to } 1.4)$	
						MOM:	
						1.2 ± 0.5 (0.6 to 2.4)	
						12 months	
						PEG:	
						$0.4 \pm 0.1(0.1 \text{ to } 0.7)$	
						MOM:	
						only 2 children still	
						required MOM. Their	
						dosages were 0.4	
						and 1.6 mL/kg, both	
						less than the initial	
						treatment dosage.	
						mean doses for both	
						treatments at 12	
						months did not differ	
						significantly between	
						children with or	
						without initial	
						palpable abdominal	
						faecal masses. None	
						of the patients	
						required an increased	
						dosage of either medication over time	
						medication over time	
						5 children received a	
						stimulant laxative in	
						addition to PEG and	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						1 child received a stimulant laxative in addition to MOM (<i>P</i> > 0.2)	
						Clinically significant side effects	
						PEG: no significant clinical side effects. Some children had diarrhea. None of the children in the PEG group became dehydrated. Children receiving PEG and	
						their parents did not report increased flatus, abdominal distention, or new onset of abdominal pain	
						Compliance with medication:	
						-PEG: No children reported disliking the taste, no parents reported that child refused to take it in juice or Kool-Aid	
						Parental noncompliance with administering the laxative and supervising	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
ormanon	Level	i diionio	S	- Companicon	Measures		
						toilet use: 14%	
						children	
						NAONA 000/ 1:11	
						-MOM: 33% children refused to take it	
						refused to take it	
						Parental	
						noncompliance with	
						administering the	
						laxative and	
						supervising toilet use:	
						4% children	
Urganai at al	Ctudy Types	40 notionto	40 notionts	Intervention:	Duration of	Ctaal canaistanay	Additional information from attudu
Urganci et al. A comparative	Study Type: RCT	40 patients	40 patients 22 male	Liquid paraffin	Duration of treatment:	Stool consistency (mean ± SD)	Additional information from study: Diagnosis of constipation based on
study: the	IKC1	Inclusion	mean age 3.7 ±	Liquid parailiii	8 weeks	(IIIeaii ± 3D)	symptoms of ay least 3 months duration
efficacy of	Evidence level:	criteria:	2.7 years	Comparison:	o wooko	-first 4 weeks:	including at least 2 of the following: hard
liquid paraffin	1-	children 2 to	,	Lactulose	Assessment		stool, painful defecation, rectal bleeding,
and lactulose		12 years old			point (s):	2.17 ± 0.5	encopresis and < 3 bowel
in	Study aim:	referred for				Lactulose (n=20):	movements/week
management	to determine	evaluation of	Country:	Medication	4 and 8 weeks	1.71 ± 0.5	
of chronic	and compare	constipation	Turkey	administered	after initiation of	p<0.01	Open-label randomised study
functional	efficacy, safety and optimal	with evidence of faecal		orally as a	treatment	-last 4 weeks:	Children also met with a nutritionist,
constipation. 2005.	dose of liquid	impaction		suspension at 1 mL/kg, twice daily	Follow-up		were given instructions to increase daily
Pediatrics	paraffin and	Impaction		for each drug	period:		fibre intake to amount of gram equal to
International	lactulose in	Exclusion		lor odom drug			their age plus 10, parent asked to have
47[1], 15-19	children with	criteria:		For determination		2.21 ± 0.4	children sit on the toilet 4 times daily
	chronic	Hirschsprung'		of best dose for	treatment	N.S	after meals
	functional	s disease,		each child,	finished		
	constipation	hypothyroidis		parents asked to		Stool frequency	Stool frequency and stool consistency
		m, mental		increase or	Outcome	(mean ± SD) (per	recorded by parents in daily diary forms.
		deficiency,		decrease the	Measures:	week)	Stool consistency scoring: 1, hard; 2,
		chronic debilitating		volume of each drug by 25%	-stool	-first 4 weeks:	firm; 3, loose
		diseases,		every 3 days as	consistency		No significant baseline differences
		neurological		required, to yield	Contolotorioy		between 2 groups
		abnormalities,		2 firm-loose stools	-stool frequency		3 - 1 -

Bibliographic Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	previous surgery of colon	S	per day. Maximum dose used throughout the study: 3 mL/kg per day for each drug	-optimal dose of drugs -compliance rate	-last 4 weeks: Liquid paraffin (n=20): 16.1 ± 2.2 Lactulose (n=20): 12.3 ± 6.6 p<0.05 Optimal dose of drugs (mean ± SD) (mL/kg/day) -data reported in table, assumed that for the whole study period:	Effective treatment defined as clearance of impaction: more than 3 bowel movements/week and improvement in stool consistency Patients considered compliant if ≥ 80% of prescribed dose taken correctly. Patients instructed to take both empty and full containers to calculate amount of medication taken Reviewer comments: Randomisation method not described No sample size calculation performed No clear definition of "evidence of faecal impaction" given Apparently no children dropped out the study/were lost to follow-up Study not controlled for potential confounders Source of funding: not stated

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						95	
						Lactulose (n=20):	
						90	
						N.S	
						-end of 8 weeks:	
						Liquid paraffin (n=20):	
						90	
						Lactulose (n=20):	
						60	
						p=0.02	
						Adverse effects:	
						No patient stopped	
						treatment because of	
						adverse effects	
						(adverse effects not	
						reported). During first	
						4 weeks, taste	
						aversion in 1 child on	
						liquid paraffin and	
						abdominal distension	
						in 2 patients on	
						lactulose influenced	
						compliance. During	
						last 4 weeks, poor	
						symptom control in 5	
						patients, side-effects	
						(abdominal distension	
						and cramping) in 3	
						on lactulose, and	
						watery stools in 2 on	
						liquid paraffin	
						influenced	
						compliance	
Berg et al. A		44 children	40 children	General:	Duration of	Severity of soiling:	Additional information from study:
controlled trial	Quasi RCT			Behavioural	treatment:		Children randomly allocated to 1 of 3
of 'Senokot' in		<u>Inclusion</u>	mean age: 7.9	treatment,	3 months	-At 3 months:	treatment groups, A, B and virtually in a

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
faecal soiling	Evidence level:	criteria:	years (S.D. =	focusing on use of		Senokot (n=14)	random fashion
treated by	1-		2.3)	the toilet and	<u>Assessment</u>	Placebo (n=11)	
behavioural		had soiling as		freedom from	point (s):	No tablets (n=15)	No significant baseline differences
methods.	Study aim:	a main	gender not	soiling	3 months after		between the 3 groups
	to see whether	complaint	reported		starting	NS between the 3	
of Child	behaviour	and		Intervention:	treatment	groups (outcomes	Psychiatrist and psychologists did not
Psychology	therapy would	uncomplicate	Country: UK	Senokot		not reported by	know which tablets actually contained
and Psychiatry		d functional		_	Follow-up	group)	the laxative. Tablets made up in packs
and Allied	own in the	faecal		Comparison 1:	period:		labelled A and B.
Disciplines		incontinence			6 months to 1	Number of soiling-	
24[4], 543-549	severe and	after an initial		similar dosage to	year after first	<u>free children</u>	Methods used in behavioural treatment:
	persistent	assessment		Senokot	entering trial		identifying targets, discussing use of
	faecal soiling or				(but after 3	-Relieved (less than	rewards, star charting, reinforcement of
	would be	examination		Comparison 2:	months the	once/week or not at	using the toilet appropriately and staying
	improved by			No medication	study was a	all)	clean, mainly by Mothers advised to
	employing a	Exclusion			case series for		avoid castigating children. Initially,
	laxative as well	criteria:		Children started	Senokot only,	Senokot (n=14): 5	children taken to toilet 3 times a day,
		not clearly		on 1 tablet at	therefore not	(35%)	then prompted to go unaccompanied,
		stated		night. On the next		Placebo (n=11): 2	then expected to go on own initiative
				visit to the clinic, if		(18%)	
				no improvement	<u>Outcome</u>	NI (P I	4 children dropped out after only 1 or 2
				in 'use of the	Measures:	-Not relieved	visits
				toilet' and 'being		0	Oncomity of a diline water or Oncome 4
				clean' on the	-severity of	Senokot (n=14): 9	Severity of soiling rating: 0 = none, 1 =
				charts dosage	soiling	Placebo (n=11): 9	less than once a week, 2 = at least once
				increased to 2		NC haturaan tha 2	a week but less than daily, 3 = daily
				tablets. Number of		NS between the 3	Daviewer comments:
					soiling-free children	groups	Reviewer comments:
				to 3 on following visit if	children		No definitions of soiling/functional faecal
				improvement had			incontinence given Inadequate randomisation
				still not occurred.			Allocation concealment not described
				When soiling			Soiling frequently apparently assessed
				getting better and			by interviewing parent at time of
				child using toilet			consultation
				dosage kept the			No sample size calculation performed
				same. Once child			Not clear whether the 4 children who
				going regularly to			dropped out had already received any
				going regularly to	l	<u> </u>	uropped out riad alleady received arry

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		,	toilet and not soiling tablets stopped altogether	measures		study medication There is a mistake in the paper regarding outcomes for the "no tablets" groups, therefore not reported here Results not controlled for potential confounders
							Source of funding: Messrs Reckitt and Coleman provided the medication and gave their support in carrying out this trial
Nurko et al.	Study Type:	103 children	103 children	General:	Duration of	Proportion of children	Additional information from study:
PEG3350 in	RCT			Behavioural	treatment:	who responded to	Chronic constipation diagnosed when
the treatment	(multicentre)		69 boys	treatment:	3 weeks	treatment (%	for at least 3 months there was a history
of childhood		criteria:		instructions to sit		<u>children)</u>	of <3 spontaneous bowel
constipation: a			mean age: 8.5 ±	on toilet for 10	<u>Assessment</u>	Group 1 (n=26): 77	movements/week and ≥ 1 associated
multicenter,	1+		3 years	minutes twice	point (s):		symptoms including: straining, hard
double-		with chronic	_	after meals,	7 and 14 days	Group 2 (n=27): 74	stools sensation of incomplete
blinded,		constipation.	Country:	positive	after medication		evacuation, production of large bowel
placebo-		Patients	USA	reinforcement	started	Group 3 (n=26): 73	movements that may obstruct the toilet
controlled trial.				using age-			or painful defecation
2008. Journal		laxatives only		appropriate	Follow-up	Placebo (n=24): 42	
of Pediatrics	dose of	included if		printed calendars	period:		Faecal impaction defined as presence of
153[2], 254-		they had <3		and special	N.A	P<0.04 each group	faecal hypogastric mass palpable on
261	3.7 (/	bowel		stickers for days	_	vs. placebo	abdominal examination and presence of
Nurko et al.,	3350 in the	movements/w		without episodes	<u>Outcome</u>	P=0.026 all	hard stool on rectal examination.
2008		eek while		of faecal	Measures:	treatments groups vs.	diagnosis of faecal impaction made by 2
		taking the		incontinence and		placebo	independent observers, no
		laxative			Efficacy:	NS between	disagreement found in the assessment
	functional			movements		treatment groups	of any patient
	constipation	<u>Exclusion</u>			-primary		
		<u>criteria:</u>		Intervention	outcome:	Weekly number of	Sample size calculation performed
		Taking a		(Group 1):		bowel movements	_ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		stable dose of		Polyethylene	proportion of	(BM)	Patient randomly assigned in blinded
		PEG3350,		glycol (PEG) 3350		Group 1 (n=26):	fashion in a 1:1:1:1 ratio within each
		evidence of		Miralax):	responded to	Before 1.7±0.9	participant site. Randomisation schedule
		faecal		0.2g/kg per day-	treatment	0	at each site constructed by using
		impaction,		single dose		Group 2 (n=27):	random blocks of 20 patients, which

Bibliographic S Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Evidence Level			Comparison Maximum: 8.5 g per day Comparison 1 (Group 2): Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350 Miralax): 0.4g/kg per day- single dose Maximum: 17 g per day Comparison 2 (Group 3): Polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350 Miralax): 0.8g/kg per day- single dose Maximum: 34 g per day Comparison 3:	Outcome Measures -secondary outcomes: weekly number of bowel movements weekly number of faecal incontinence episodes changes in stool consistency	Before 1.5±1.0 Group 3 (n=26): Before 1.5±0.5 Placebo (n=24): Before 1.6±0.7 Overall difference between treatment groups and placebo p=0.017 P=0.015 dose- response trend Weekly number of faecal incontinence	provided balanced treatment assignments in order to ensure the specified treatment ratio Miralax and placebo provided as a powder containing flavouring in identically labelled bottles reconstituted with water to 4000 mL by study personnel in the pharmacy. Dosing calculated by pharmacy staff and water added. All dose calculated to be given on a 10-mL/kg basis by pharmacy staff. The blinded research team received the reconstituted identical jugs, which were distributed to patient's parents/caregivers. No difference in colour, appearance r taste amongst different doses. Patients took single dose per day. No adjustment of study medication allowed during study. No other laxatives allowed during study Families completed daily diary that included number and characteristics of bowel movements an documentation of episodes of faecal incontinence Response to treatment defined as ≥3 bowel movements during the second week of treatment. Patients considered failures and withdrawn from study if they had no bowel movements (BM) for 7 days or developed faecal impaction at any point.
							No significant differences in baseline characteristics between the 4 groups

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						Changes in stool consistency (mean ± SD) Group 1 (n=26): Before 2.8±0.8 After 2.1±0.7 Group 2 (n=27): Before 2.6±0.9 After 1.7±0.6 Group 3 (n=26): Before 2.9±0.7 After 1.5±0.7	14 patients did not complete the 2-week treatment: -8 because of treatment failure (5 with impaction (2 Group 1, 3 Group 2), and 3 with > 7 days without a BM) (2 Group 1, 1 Group 3)] - 3 because of adverse events (1 increased abdominal pain (placebo), 1 fever, malaise, headache (placebo), 1 exacerbation bipolar (placebo)) - 1 withdrawal (lack of response (placebo)) - 2 non compliance (1 Group 2, 1 Group 3)
						Placebo (n=24): Before 3.0±0.8 After 2.4±0.9 P<0.003 each group vs. placebo	- 3 serious adverse events occurred requiring hospitalisation (2 cases impaction, 1 case of exacerbation of bipolar/depression) ITT analysis performed There were no significant predictors of success by controlling for age, duration of constipation, prior laxative use, presence of stool in rectum, sex and presence of faecal incontinence at baseline
						(mean ± SD) Group 1 (n=26): Before 2.3±1.1 After 1.4±0.9 Group 2 (n=27): Before 1.9±1.2 After 1.0±1.0 Group 3 (n=26):	Source of funding: Supported in part by Braintree Laboratories Inc.

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						Before 2.0±1.0	
						After 0.9±0.6	
						Diagona (n. 24).	
						Placebo (n=24): Before 2.7±1.2	
						After 1.5±1.2	
						71101 1.021.2	
						P<0.003 each group	
						vs. placebo	
						P<0.003 test for trend	
						P<0.003 overall	
						difference between	
						treatment groups	
						Proportion of children	
						who responded to	
						treatment in the	
						second week	
						Group 1 (n=26): 58%	
						(with no faecal	
						incontinence 31%)	
						Group 2 (n=27): 48%	
						(with no faecal	
						incontinence 26%)	
						Group 3 (n=26): 62%	
						(with no faecal	
						incontinence 31%)	
						Placebo (n=24): 29%	
						(with no faecal	
						incontinence 8%)	
						P<0.27 group 3 vs.	
						placebo	
						Incidence and	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Levei		S		weasures	severity of adverse	
						effects	
						Group 1 (n=26): 9	
						(34.6%)	
						(04.070)	
						Group 2 (n=27): 16	
						(59.3%)	
						(00.070)	
						Group 3 (n=26): 17	
						(65.4%)	
						(001170)	
						Placebo (n=24): 14	
						(58.3%)	
						,	
						NS difference	
						amongst groups	
						No differences in the	
						type of non-	
						gastrointestinal	
						related events, most	
						common was	
						headache. Higher	
						incidence of GI-	
						related events in	
						patients receiving	
						PEG vs. placebo. As	
						dose of PEG	
						increased, it also	
						increased incidence	
						of flatulence,	
						abdominal pain,	
						nausea and	
						diarrhoea.	
						No electrolyte	
						abnormalities or	
						differences in	
						laboratory values	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						amongst groups Treatment Failures Group 1 (n=26): 6 (4 BM frequency criteria, 2 with stool impaction) Group 2 (n=27): 7(3 BM frequency criteria, 4 with stool impaction) Group 3 (n=26): 7 (6 BM frequency criteria, 1 with stool impaction) Placebo (n=24): 14 (all related to BM frequency criteria)	

Adverse Effects of medium- to long-term use of Laxatives in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic	Study Type &		Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
Erickson et al.	Study Type:	46 children	46 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Side effects:	Additional information from study:
Polyethylene	Retrospective	10 ormarorr	10 omiaron	Polyethylene	treatment	<u>Giao directo:</u>	Diagnosis of constipation based on
glycol 3350 for	case series	Inclusion	35 girls	glycol 3350	<u>uraumone</u>	-Diarrhoea: 9/46	history of
constipation in	0000 001100	criteria:	mean age: 7.7	without	Mean: 194.3	children, all female	Infrequent bowel movements (less than
children with	Evidence	Children	years (range	electrolytes	days (SD	ormaron, am romaro	very other day) and/or hard, large or
dysfunctional	level:	diagnosed	4.5 to 11.2	(MiraLax)	133.5)	age at start of PEG	painful bowel movements. Most children
elimination.	3	with	vears)	(**************************************		(mean ± SD, years):	also had confirmatory abdominal x-ray
2003. Journal of		dysfunctional	, ,	17 gm (1 capful)	Assessment	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	demonstrating accumulation of stool in
Urology 170[4	Study aim:	voiding and	11 boys	mixed with 8	points	patients with	the rectum and throughout the colon
Pt 2], 1518-	To review the	constipation	mean age: 7.6	ounces of fluid of		diarrhoea (n=9):	
1520	efficacy of	who received	years (range	parent's choice	Not clear	6.8 ± 1.1	25 patients also underwent biofeedback,
	PEG as a	polyethylene	4.4 to 11.1				and 8 patients began anticholinergic
	single agent	glycol 3350	years)	Starting dose: 8	Outcome	patients without	medication during the course of PEG
	for the	between		ounces of mixture	Measures:	diarrhoea (n=37):	treatment
	treatment of	January 2000		each day with		8.2 ± 1.8	
	constipation in	and July 2002	Country:	instructions to	side effects		Reviewer comments:
	children with		USA	adjust the amount		p=0.04	Not clear how side effects measured in
	dysfunctional	Exclusion		consumed by 1 to			the first place
	elimination	criteria:		2 ounces every 3		duration of follow-up	
	and asses	Known		days to achieve		(mean ± SD, days):	Not clear how the reviewing process
	bladder	neurological		the goal of 1 to 2			was conducted
	function	impairments		soft bowel		patients with	
	following			movements per		diarrhoea (n=9):	Source of funding:
	treatment			day		336 ± 153	not stated
				Final dose			
				normalised to		patients without	
				patient weight		diarrhoea (n=37):	
				Average final		108 ± 11	
				dose: 0.63 gm/kg		0.000	
				(reported in		p=0.0028	
				abstract) 0.59		4 131 4 161	
				gm/kg (reported in		1 child stopped taking	
				text)		PEG because of side	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Loening-Baucke	Study Type:	75 children	75 children	Comparison: None	Duration of	effects Adverse effects	Additional information from study:
et al. Polyethylene	Retrospective	Inclusion	36 boys	PEG 3350 without electrolytes	treatment (months, mean		Constipation defined according to NASPGHAN criteria
glycol 3350 without electrolytes for	Evidence level:	criteria: Children with constipation	mean age 17 months (range	(MiraLax) Starting average	<u>± SD)</u> -short term: 2.3 ± 1.3	5 children (7%): runny	Reviewer comments: Authors reviewed charts from their own
the treatment of functional	3	<2 years of age at start of	1 to 21 months)	dose 1g/kg body weight/day	(range: 1 to 4)	(Dose of PEG (g/kg	clinics. Not clear how the reviewing process was conducted
constipation in infants and toddlers. 2004.	to evaluate the safety and	<u>Exclusion</u>	Country: USA	Parents asked to adjust dose to	-long term: 10.6 ± 8.1 (range 6 to 37)	Mean 1.1 ± 1.2	Not completely clear how side effects were measured in the first place, it
Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterolog	efficacy of PEG 3350 without	<u>criteria</u> : Hirschsprung' s disease.		yield 1 to 2 soft painless stools/day	<u>Assessment</u>	Median (0.82) b. ≥ 6 months (n=47)	seems that parents were asked about the at the time of consultation
y and Nutrition 39[5], 536-539	electrolytes for the	chronic intestinal		,	-short term: ≤ 4 months	1 child (2%): watery	Source of funding: not stated
	constipation in	pseudo- obstruction, previous		Comparison: none	(mean 2 months)	stools (he was only brought by his mother for a 6-month follow-	
		surgery of colon/anus, disease			-long term: ≥ 6 months (mean 11	up). The diarrhoea disappeared after lowering the dose of	
		states that place			months)	PEG. (Dose of PEG (g/kg	
		limitations on the act of defecation			Outcome Measures:	body weight/day): Range 0.3 to 2.1 Mean 0.8 ± 0.4	
		such as hypotonia,			Adverse effects	Median (0.67)	
		cerebral palsy and severe mental				Parents did not report increased flatus, abdominal distension,	
		retardation				vomiting or new onset abdominal pain. None	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s		Measures		
						stopped PEG	
						because of adverse	
						effects.	
						Complete blood	
						counts	
						(in 24 children),	
						electrolytes (in 9	
						children), renal	
						functions (in 8	
						children) and liver	
						functions (in 8	
						children) occasionally	
						done in children on	
						long-term	
						PEG treatment, and	
						all were within normal limits.	
N.4 : 1 11 4 1	0	00 1 11 1	00 1 11 1		5	1.7	
Michail et al.		28 children	28 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Side effects:	Additional information from study:
Polyethylene	Retrospective	la divata a		PEG 3350	treatment	Total: 5 (17.9%) of	Diagnostic criteria for functional
glycol for	case series	Inclusion		administered	Mean 6.2 ± 5	patients	constipation in infants and preschool
constipation in	Evidence.	criteria:	of therapy:	orally, mixed in a	months (range,	4 (0 CO() infant	children adapted from Rasquin-Weber
children	<u>Evidence</u>	children	O abildram and	ratio of 17 g to	3 weeks to 21	1 (3.6%) infant	and included: 2 weeks of hard stools
younger than	level:	younger	3 children: age 0 to 5 months	240 mL of fluid, as recommended by	months)	experienced	(the majority of stools), or firm stools 2 or fewer times a week in the
eighteen		than 18			Assassment	increased passage of	
months old. 2004. Journal of		months treated for	9: age 6 to11 months	the manufacturer. Caregivers for	1	gas per rectum	absence of structural, endocrine, or metabolic disease
Pediatric		constipation	16: age 12 to	small infants	points at initial visit	4 (14.3%) infants	metabolic disease
Gastroenterolog		with PEG	17 months	mixed PEG 3350			No patient placed on a clean-out
y and Nutrition		powder	17 1110111115	in formula if it was		diarrhoea that	protocol using any other drug
39[2], 197-199	optimal	Powder	gender not	the sole diet. After		resolved after dose	protocol doing any other diag
00[2], 197-199		Exclusion	reported	initial dose,	12 WEEKS	adjustment	Duration of therapy and side effects
	polyethylene	criteria:	Toportou	families asked to	Outcome	aajaotinont	retrieved from the patient's chart.
		Organic	Country:	titrate the dose to	Measures:		Information not available in the chart
		aetiology for	USA	obtain at least one			was obtained by telephone interview.
		constipation:			Side effects		Only 1 family needed to be contacted by
		Hirschsprung'		movement daily.			telephone
		s disease,		Change in dose			'

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level younger than	anorectal	S	permitted within	Measures		Reviewer comments:
	18 months	malformation,		24 hours, if			Authors reviewed charts from their own
	10 1110111113	bowel		necessary			clinics. Not clear how the reviewing
		obstruction, or		Tiecessary			process was conducted
		systemic		Mean initial			process was conducted
		illness		Dose: 0.88			Source of funding: not stated
		(hypothyroidis		g/kg/day (range,			Godies of fariality.
		m, cystic		0.26–2.14			
		fibrosis, or		g/kg/day)			
		lead		g/ng/ady/			
		poisoning		Mean effective			
		associated		maintenance			
		with		dose: 0.78			
		constipation.		g/kg/day (range,			
		Taking		0.26–1.26			
		medication		g/kg/day)			
		that could		3 3 77			
		potentially		Comparison:			
		change the		none			
		frequency or					
		consistency of					
		bowel					
		movements					
Pashankar et al.	Study Type:	74 children	74 children	Intervention:	Duration of		Additional information from study:
Long-term	Retrospective		40 boys	PEG 3350 without		at time of evaluation:	Diagnosis of chronic constipation based
efficacy of	cohort	<u>Inclusion</u>		electrolytes	Mean 8.4		on symptoms of at least 3 months'
polyethylene			mean age:	(MiraLax)	months (range	0.73 g/kg/day (range	duration including at least 2 of the
glycol 3350 for	<u>Evidence</u>	children > 2			3 to 30)	0.3 to 1.8) following	following: hard stools, painful defection,
the treatment of	level:	years of age	-constipation	0.8 g/kg/day		adjustment of dose	encopresis or fewer than 3 bowel
chronic	2-		only: 6.6 years	administered	<u>Assessment</u>	by caretakers	movements/week
constipation in			(range 2 to	orally, as	<u>points</u>		
children with	Study aim:	treated at	16.9)		Unclear	Adverse effects:	Encopresis defined as constipation with
and without	to report	authors' clinic		the manufacturer			involuntary loss of stools into the
encopresis.	efficacy of	daily with	-constipation		<u>Outcome</u>	no major clinical	underwear beyond a developmental age
2003. Clinical			and encopresis:		Measures:	adverse effects	of 4 years
Pediatrics 42[9],	effective dose		8.4 years (4.3	240 mL of water	Adverse effects	observed	
815-819			to 12.8)	or other beverage.			Reviewer comments:
	compliance	(MiraLax) for		Families allowed			Authors reviewed charts from their own

viewing ome d by s and how data ained
ome d by s and how data
ome d by s and how data
d by s and how data
s and how data
how data
ained
ed in part by
ntree, MA
study:
ation based
onths'
of the
ul defecation,
bowel
-1
stopped
tructured
out dose of
pliance, any
PEG, and
ly loose or
ain,
isea.
ment
inent iency and
Dy.
sical
ir <u>soneul</u> s stagij Filoul eu i

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						solution on an empty stomach None of the patients stopped treatment due to adverse effects and all were to continue PEG therapy. General physical examination findings revealed no new significant abnormalities compared with the pre-treatment Laboratory evaluation results: Haemoglobin, haematocrit, serum electrolytes, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, serum albumin, and	examination, 4 mL of blood obtained for measurement of different parameters Results of blood tests considered abnormal if outside (even by 1 point) the age- and sex appropriate reference range established in authors' hospital. If results abnormal, blood tests repeated within 8 weeks while patient continued to receive therapy Source of funding: Study financially assisted by Braintree Laboratories
						urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, serum albumin, and osmolality, normal in all patients (10 patients did not have	
						serum osmolality measured) 9 patients (11%) had slightly elevated ALT level (<1.5 times the upper limit of normal; range, 31 to 45 U/L).	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						8 of these patients	
						had ALT levels	
						remeasured within 8	
						weeks, 7 of whom still	
						receiving PEG	
						therapy. 7 of these 8	
						patients had values in	
						the reference range,	
						1 had slightly	
						elevated ALT level	
						(<1.2 times normal;	
						28 U/L).	
						3 patients (4%) had	
						an elevated aspartate	
						aminotransferase	
						level (<1.5 times	
						normal; range, 42-52	
						U/L), and all had	
						normal values when	
						remeasured while still	
						receiving PEG	
						therapy	
						Dose and duration of	
						PEG therapy not	
						significantly different	
						in patients with	
						abnormal values	
						compared with those	
						with laboratory values	
						in the reference	
						range	
Clark et al.	Study Type:	25 children	25 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Serum levels	Additional information from study:
Serum beta-	Prospective			Following initial	<u>treatment</u>	(micromols/L	Vitamin supplementation not prescribed
carotene,	case series	<u>Inclusion</u>	mean age: 7.83	disimpaction (not	4 months	(micrograms/dL)	
retinol, and		criteria:	years (range	reported with		(mean ± SEM):	Normal serum values for authors'
alpha-	<u>Evidence</u>	Children with	1.75 to 14.27	what), mineral oil,			laboratory:
tocopherol	level:	encopresis,	years)	45 mL twice daily	<u>points</u>	-Month 1 (n=25):	-Serum beta-Carotene: >0.6

Information Ev	y Type & Numberidence Patien		Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
levels during mineral oil therapy for constipation. 1987. American Journal of Diseases of Children 141[11], 1210-1212 Mineral oil Study 100 mineral oil therapy for constipation.	over 1 ye old with n previous treatment mineral o tor ren Exclusion criteria: not stated ral oil ighout early e of	gender not reported with Country: USA	between meals Dose gradually decreased on monthly basis (usually 30 mL/mo) depending on patient's reported performance and results of serial rectal examinations -Mean ± SEM: Month 1: 4.0 ± 1.4 Month 2: 2.9 ± 1.2 Month 3: 2.1 ± 0.5 Month 4: 1.4 ± 0.4 Comparison: none	1, 2, 3 and 4 months Outcome Measures: Serum betacarotene level Retinol level Alfa tocopherol level	Serum beta-carotene: Baseline: 1.0 ± 0.5 (55.7 ± 26.0) Treatment: 0.7 ± 0.4 (35.9 ± 22.1) P<0.01 Retinol: NS as compared to baseline -Month 2 (n=17): Serum beta-carotene: Baseline: 1.1 ± 0.6 (59.5 ± 30.6) Treatment: 0.7 ± 0.5 (38.2 ± 28.4) P<0.05 Retinol: NS as compared to baseline -Month 3 (n=10): Serum beta-carotene: Baseline: 1.1 ± 0.6 (60.4 ± 30.0) Treatment: 0.6 ± 0.2 (34.7 ± 12.3) P<0.05 Retinol: Baseline: 1.48 ± 0.84 (42.3 ± 24.1) Treatment: 2.22 ± 0.77 (63.5 ± 22.1) P<0.01	micromols/L (>30 micrograms/dL) -Retinol: 0.70 micromols/L (20 micrograms/dL) -Alfa tocopherol: >9 micromols/L (>0.4 micrograms/dL) Since number of patients returning for subsequent visits gradually decreased, basal levels were recalculated for each month of treatment using the remaining patients as their own controls Source of funding: not stated

Level S Measures Serum beta-carotene: NS as compared to baseline Retinol: NS as compared to baseline Serum alfa tocopherol levels remained relatively unchanged throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and those obtained	
NS as compared to baseline Retinol: NS as compared to baseline Serum alfa tocopherol levels remained relatively unchanged throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
baseline Retinol: NS as compared to baseline Serum alfa tocopherol levels remained relatively unchanged throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
Retinol: NS as compared to baseline Serum alfa tocopherol levels remained relatively unchanged throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
Serum alfa tocopherol levels remained relatively unchanged throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
Serum alfa tocopherol levels remained relatively unchanged throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
levels remained relatively unchanged throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
relatively unchanged throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
throughout study. No statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
statistical significant difference between baseline levels and	
difference between baseline levels and	1
baseline levels and	
throughout the 4	
months of therapy	
Hardikar et al. Study Type: 81 children 77 children Intervention: Duration of Mean numbers of Additional information from students of Additional information from the Additional infor	
Macrogol 3350 Prospective Macrogol 3350 treatment sachets/day during Chronic constipation defined as	
plus electrolytes case series Inclusion 44% boys plus electrolytes Mean 75.5 days treatment period: than 3 complete bowel movement	nts per
for chronic criteria: mean age: (Movicol) 1.3 (6.9 g) week over previous14 days in	
constipation in Evidence Children aged 4.9 ± 2.6 years Assessment association with either straining	
children: a level: 24 months to Each sachet points passage of hard stools in at lea	t a
single-centre, 3 11 years with (6.563 g Adverse effects (n=78) quarter of bowel movements	
open-label chronic Country: Macrogol) monitored 72 children (92%)	
study. 2007. Study aim: constipation Australia dissolved 62.5 mL throughout the reported a total of life investigator considered it to b	
Journal of To evaluate for at least 6 of water study, venous 318 events clinically necessary patients con	
Paediatrics and the safety and months, given another laxative provided	
Child Health efficacy of a which was Number of laboratory taken 241 (76%) assessed failed to respond to the maximum and the second of the seco	n aose
43[7-8], 527- macrogol either sachets first 5 at baseline, 28 as unrelated to study for 3 days	
3350-based untreated or days days and 84 treatment	
electrolyte inadequately -Children aged 2 days. Vital No other therapeutic intervention	
containing treated by to 6 years: signs measured 262 (82%): mild including an increase in oral flui	is or
preparation in laxatives Days 1 & 2: 1/day at baseline and the treatment Days 3 & 4: 1 B4 days by end of study	
the treatment of chronic Exclusion Days 3 & 4: 1 84 days by end of study Any child who developed faeca	
constipation in criteria: Day 5: 1 three Outcome 6 serious adverse impaction (faecal loading) which children childr	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
imormation	Level	latients	S	Companison	Measures		
		treated for				affected	study and classified as treatment failure
		faecal		-Children aged 7	-Safety :	gastrointestinal	
		impaction with		to 11 years		system. All assessed	78 (96%) patients included in safety
		bowel		Day 1 & 2:	adverse effects	by investigator as	analysis.
		washouts		1 twice a day		unrelated or unlikely	65 (80%) patients completed study. 16
		during the		Day 3, 4 & 5:	laboratory tests	to be related to study	patients withdrew prematurely: 6 unable
		previous 2		2 twice a day		medication and	or refused to take medication, 4 protocol
		months, or				resolved at end of	deviation, 3 poor compliance, 1 failed to
		had a past		Thereafter and	signs	study. 1 serious	return for final visit, 1 parent refused to
		history of		until end of study		adverse event (faecal	give medication, 1 serious adverse
		intestinal		dosage titrated		impaction) led to	effect
		perforation/ob		according to		patient's premature	
		struction,		faecal form. This		withdrawal from study	
		Hirschsprung'		dose increased by			6 serious adverse events in 4 children: 4
		s disease,		1 sachet/day in			affected gastrointestinal system,
		paralytic		the event of		washout	remaining 2 not reported
		ileum, toxic		continued hard			
		megacolon,		stools/no bowel		Changes in vital	Not clear how clinical adverse effects
		severe		movements, and		signs:	were asked for
		inflammation		decreased by 1 to		No clinically	
		of the		2 sachets/day in		significant changes	Source of funding:
		intestinal		the event of loose		as result of study	Movicol sachets supplied by Norgine
		tract, urinary		stools or		medication	Ltd. Uxbridge, UK. Study supported by
		tract		diarrhoea			a research grant from Norgine Ltd.
		infection,,					Uxbridge, UK and Norgine PTY,
		uncontrolled		Comparison:			Sydney, Australia
		renal, hepatic		None			
		or cardiac					
		diseases,					
		endocrine					
		disorders, or					
		any other					
		severe					
		unstable					
		coexisting					
		disease					
		during the					
		previous 30					

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		days					
Urganci et al. A comparative study: the efficacy of liquid paraffin and lactulose in management of chronic functional constipation. 2005. Pediatrics International 47[1], 15-19	Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1- Study aim: to determine and compare efficacy, safety and optimal dose of liquid paraffin and lactulose in children with chronic functional constipation	Inclusion criteria: children 2 to 12 years old referred for	40 patients 22 male mean age 3.7 ± 2.7 years Country: Turkey	Intervention: Liquid paraffin Comparison: Lactulose Medication administered orally as a suspension at 1 mL/kg, twice daily for each drug. For determination of best dose for each child, parents asked to increase or decrease the volume of each drug by 25% every 3 days as required, to yield 2 firm-loose stools per day. Maximum dose	Duration of treatment: 8 weeks Assessment point (s): 4 and 8 weeks after initiation of treatment Outcome Measures:	(mean ± SD) (mL/kg/day) -data reported in table, assumed that for the whole study period: Liquid paraffin (n=20): 1.88 ± 0.27 Lactulose (n=20): 2.08 ± 0.27 N.S -data reported in text for the last 4 weeks of treatment: Liquid paraffin (n=20): 1.72 ± 0.18 Lactulose (n=20): 1.82 ± 0.57 Compliance rate (%)	Additional information from study: Diagnosis of constipation based on symptoms of at least 3 months duration including at least 2 of the following: hard stool, painful defecation, rectal bleeding, encopresis and fewer Open-label randomised study Children also met with a nutritionist, were given instructions to increase daily fibre intake to amount of grams equal to their age plus 10, parent asked to have children sit on the toilet 4 times daily after meals Stool frequency and stool consistency recorded by parents in daily diary forms. Stool consistency scoring: 1, hard; 2, firm; 3, loose No significant baseline differences between 2 groups Patients considered compliant if ≥ 80% of prescribed dose taken correctly. Patients instructed to take both empty
		colon		used throughout the study: 3 mL/kg		95	and full containers to calculate amount of medication taken
				per day for each drug		Lactulose (n=20): 90	Reviewer comments:
						N.S	Randomisation method not described No sample size calculation performed
							No clear definition of "evidence of faecal impaction" given
						90	Apparently no children dropped out the

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						Lactulose (n=20):	study/were lost to follow-up
						60	Study not controlled for potential
						p=0.02	confounders
						No patient stopped treatment because of adverse effects (adverse effects not reported). During first	Source of funding: not stated
						4 weeks, taste aversion in 1 child on	
						liquid paraffin and	
						abdominal distension	
						in 2 patients on	
						lactulose influenced	
						compliance. During	
						last 4 weeks, poor	
						symptom control in 5	
						patients, side-effects	
						(abdominal distension	
						and cramping) in 3	
						on lactulose, and	
						watery stools in 2 on	
						liquid paraffin	
						influenced	
						compliance	
Dupont et al.		96 children	96 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Clinical tolerance	Additional information from study:
Double-blind	RCT		51 male	PEG 4000	treatment:	(ITT population)	Constipation defined as <1 stool/day for
randomized		<u>Inclusion</u>			3 months	-6 adverse effects (all	>1 month in children 6 to 12 months old
evaluation of	<u>Evidence</u>	criteria:	Age (months)	-Starting dose:		non serious):	and <3 stools/week for > 3 months in
clinical and	<u>level:</u>	ambulatory	(median, (25th-	1 sachet (4g) and	Assessment	5 diarrhoea (5	children aged 13 months to 3 years
biological	1+	children with	75th	1 placebo to be	point (s):	episodes in 2 children	
tolerance of		constipation	percentiles)	taken at breakfast		in both treatment	PEG 4000 and lactulose packaged in a
polyethylene		despite their	BEO 4000		and day 84	groups)	double-blind and double-dummy design,
glycol 4000		usual dietary	-PEG 4000:	Comparison:	(D84) after	1 anorexia (on	by means of coupled sachets, according
versus lactulose		treatment for	28 (19.5–33.7)	Lactulose	starting	lactulose)	to a randomisation list. Double dummy
in constipated	polyethylene	at least 1			treatment		design required because of the

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
children. 2005.	Level glycol (PEG)	month, aged	s -Lactulose:	-Starting dose:	Measures	-median (interquartile	difference of taste between the drugs.
Journal of	4000 laxative		25.8 (12.3–33)	1 sachet (3.33g)	<u>Outcome</u>	range) duration of	Numbered boxes provided to
Pediatric	without	vears	20.0 (12.0 00)	and 1 placebo to	Measures:	either new onset or	investigators at each site in equal
		youro	Country: France		Mododroo.	worsened flatulence	numbers. Investigators randomly
y and Nutrition	salts in	Exclusion	Ocaria y.	breakfast	-Biological	(days):	allocated either PEG 4000 or lactulose
41[5], 625-633	paediatric	criteria:		Diddiladi	tolerance:	(dayo).	to the children for a 3-month period, with
[0], 020 000	patients	history of			10.0.0.	PEG 4000: 3 (1 to	the same strategy for dose adaptation
	p a monto	intractable		For both drugs,	ion	4.5)	and came changy for acce adaptanen
		faecaloma,		dose could be	electrolytes	Lactulose: 5 (3 to	3 children not included because of a
		Hirschsprung'		doubled if	total protein	19.5)	baseline laboratory value ONR (out of
		s disease,		ineffective in	albumin	P=0.005	normal range) before the amendment
		neurologic,		children aged 13	vitamin A		was applied. 2 children in PEG 4000
		endocrine or		months to 3 years	vitamin D	-median (interquartile	group dropped out before any study
		metabolic		If maximum	folates	range) duration of	drug intake, so the intention to treat
		disorders,		authorised dose		either new onset or	(ITT) population included 51 children (10
		allergic		unsuccessful, one	-Clinical	worsened vomiting	babies and 41 toddlers) in the PEG
		disease or		micro-enema of	tolerance:	episodes (days):	4000 group and 45 (12 babies and 33
		allergies		glycerol per day			toddlers) in the lactulose group. 76 of
				could be	body height	PEG 4000: 1 (1 to 2)	these children included in the per
				prescribed for a	body weight	Lactulose: 2 (1 to 6)	protocol analysis and 20 excluded by
				maximum of 3	adverse effects	P<0.05	the independent scientific committee for
				consecutive days.			at least 1 major deviation, 11 in the PEG
				If child not		-anal irritation: 5% (2	4000 group and 9 in the lactulose group.
				produced stools		out of 40 children,	Reasons for exclusion were no
				after treatment 2		both on lactulose)	laboratory test at D84, 1 or more one
				enemas could be			missing laboratory results at D84,
				administered at a		-no difference	delayed laboratory test at D84 (n = 12),
				48-h interval. This		between PEG 4000	inadequately long exposure to the study
				procedure was		and lactulose groups	drug (n = 2), personal reasons (n = 5)
				only allowed twice		with regards to other	and unauthorized concomitant treatment
				during the study,		digestive tolerance	(n = 1). There were no clinically relevant
				If child produced		outcomes	differences between the 2 treatment
				liquid stools for		Dealer heindet einel	groups at baseline for clinical or biologic
				more than 1 day		-Body height and	parameters.
				or more than 2 or		body weight	Stool frequency, abdominal pain,
				3 stools/day		unaffected during the	vomiting, and nausea recorded by
				depending on			parents on Self-Diary Evaluation Booklet
				age, dose could		both boys and girls	

	Study Type &		Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
	Level		S	be decreased by 1 pair of sachets/day to a minimum of 1 pair of sachets every other day and possibly to transitory interruption	Measures	status. No treatment- related changes found in serum iron, electrolytes, total protein, albumin and vitamins A, D and folates Dose used (sachets/day) (median (interquartile range)) -Babies: 1 (0.9 to 1) PEG 1 (1 to 1.3) lactulose P = 0.67 -Toddlers 1 (1 to 1.3) PEG 1.1 (0.9 to 1.5) lactulose P = 0.58 Treatment stopped in 1 child because of lack of efficacy	Reviewer comments: Methods of randomisation and allocation concealment not clearly described No sample calculation performed Results not controlled for potential confounders Source of funding: not stated
Perkin. Constipation in	Study Type: RCT	21 children	21 children (age and	Intervention: Senna syrup	Duration: 1 week each	(lactulose group) Adverse effects (n patients):	Additional information from study: Patients given either treatment

Bibliographic Study Type & Number of Information Evidence Patients Characteristic Comparison Level Study Type & Number of Patients Characteristic Comparison Measures Reviewer Comments
childhood: a controlled comparison between lactulose and standardized senna. 1977. Current Medical Research and Opinion 4[8], 540-543 A between a standardised senna syrup and lactulose in the treatment of childhood constipation requiring surgical or medical constipation requiring surgical or constipation requiring surgical or medical correction in addition to laxation Comparison Evidence devel: E

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Level	i atients	S	Comparison	Measures		
Thomson et al.		51 children	51 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Mean effective dose	Additional information from study:
Polyethylene	RCT (cross		29 girls	PEG + E (6.9 g	treatment:	of PEG 3350	Chronic constipation defined according
glycol 3350 plus		<u>Inclusion</u>	mean age 5.4	powder/sachet)	2 weeks each	(g/kg/day):	to Rome criteria as < 3 complete bowel
electrolytes for	multicentre)	criteria:	years (range:		treatment	0.6 (2 to 6-year-old)	movements/week, and at least 1 of the
chronic		chronic		Comparison:	period	0.7 (7 to 11-year-old)	following: pain on defecation on at least
constipation in	<u>Evidence</u>	constipation	years)	Placebo (6.9 g	separated by a		25% of days; at least 25% of bowel
children: a	<u>level:</u>	for at least 3		powder/sachet)	2-week placebo	Adverse events:	movements with straining, and at least
double blind,	1+	months	Country: UK		washout		25% of bowel movements with hard or
placebo							lumpy stools
controlled,	Study aim:	Exclusion		Washout period in		Placebo (28/49, 57%)	
crossover	to assess the	criteria:		between: 2 weeks		during periods I and	Random sequence group computer
study.[erratum	efficacy and	current or			immediately	III. None serious,	generated before start of recruitment
appears in Arch		previous		Dosing regimen	after each	most judged by	using block size of 4 patients and study
Dis Child. 2008	polyethylene	faecal		for both PEG + E	treatment	investigator to be	medication labelled accordingly.
Jan;93(1):93].	glycol 3350	impaction		and placebo	period,	moderate or mild in	Random blocks (with numbers stored in
		decided by		(number	including	severity	sealed code-break envelopes) sent to
of Disease in		either		sachets/day):	washout		investigator sites as required. As
Childhood		physical				` ,	children enrolled, sites allocated
92[11], 996-		examination		-children aged 2	<u>Outcome</u>	PEG+E: 41 events	treatment supplies sequentially, started
1000	of chronic	or abdominal		to 6 years	Measures:	22 children (45%) on	with lowest possible number. Both the
	constipation in			days 1-2: 1		placebo: 45 events,	children (and their parents/guardians)
	children	previous		days 3-4: 2 (taken	Adverse events		and those administering treatment were
		intestinal		together)			blinded to allocation schedule
		perforation/ob		days 5-6: 3 (2		related to the study	
		struction,		morning, 1		treatment. Most	A sample size of 50 children was
		paralytic ileus,		evening)		gastro-intestinal	planned to achieve 40 evaluable
		Hirschsprung'		days 7-8: 4 (2		disorders (particularly	children, giving 90% power to detect a
		s disease,		morning, 2		abdominal pain),	true treatment difference of 0.3 bowel
		severe		evening)		PEG+E (39%, 39	movements/week using a two-tailed
		inflammatory				events); placebo	significance test at the 5% level. As
		conditions of		-children aged 7		(45%, 41 events). 1	dropout rate was higher than originally
		the intestinal		to 11 years		child in	estimated, recruitment target was
		tract, severe		days 1-2: 2 (taken		placebo/PEG+E	increased to 60 children
		gastroesopha		together)		group withdrawn at	At baseline dipically significant
		geal reflux,		days 3-4: 2 (taken		week 3 because of	At baseline, clinically significant
		diabetes,		together)		abdominal pain,	abnormalities on physical examination
		receiving		days 5-6: 5 (2		assessed by	(mainly associated with faecal loading
		doses of		morning, 3		investigator as being	but not impaction) recorded for 8

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level	stimulant laxatives considered by local observers to be at higher end of their own doses spectrum	S	evening) days 7-8: 6 (3 morning, 3 evening) For both groups if diarrhoea, dose was decreased by 2 sachets or miss a day. If loose stools dose decreased by 1 sachet	Measures	withdrawal. New clinically significant abnormalities on physical examination (mainly associated with faecal loading): 13 children (8/27 in the PEG+E/placebo group, 5/24 in the placebo/ PEG+E group). When analysed for what these children were taking for the 2 weeks before the physical examination, 23 out of the 24 reports (95.8%) occurred when child taking placebo. Only 1 report of an abnormal abdominal examination while patient on PEG+E Mean weight similar before and after treatment, no significant difference found between the 2 groups for change in weight while on treatment (p=0.357)	children (5/27 in the PEG+E/placebo group, 3/24 in the placebo/PEG+E group). Before randomisation, 47 children taking other laxatives (most frequently lactulose) 13/51 children (7/27 in the PEG+E/placebo group, 6/24 in the placebo/PEG+E group) recorded at least 1 deviation from the study protocol (1 child recorded 2 protocol deviations). Main reason for deviation was noncompliance with study medication (7/51 children), followed by failure to supply sufficient bowel movement data (4/51 children), and taking concomitant nonstudy laxative medication after randomisation (3/51 children) Safety monitored by adverse events recording, physical examination findings, and weight changes Reviewer comments: Blinding procedures not clearly described Unclear whether outcomes assessors were also blinded to treatment allocation Study not controlled for potential confounders Source of funding: Norgine Ltd. One of the authors was an employee of Norgine Ltd. At the time the study was written. The others declared that they had nothing to declare
Farahmand. A randomised trial	Study Type: RCT	247 children	247 children	General: 1 or 2 enemas	Duration of treatment:	Optimal dose of drug -Final effective dose	Additional information from study: Diagnosis of chronic functional

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
of liquid paraffin	Level	Inclusion	s 127 male	daily for 2 days to	Measures 8 weeks	(mean, ml/kg/day):	constipation based on having at least 2
versus lactulose	Evidence	criteria:	121 IIIaie	clear any rectal	O WEEKS	Liquid paraffin	of the following symptoms for the last 3
	level:		aged 2 to 12	impaction (30	Assessment	(n=127)	months: <3 bowel movements/week,
of chronic			years old (mean			1.72 ± 0.13	faecal soiling >once/week, large
functional			4.1± 2.1 years)	paraffin oil)		Lactulose (n=120)	amounts of stool every 7 to 30 days and
constipation in	Study aim:	conoupation	<u>.</u> youro,	paramir on)		2.08 ± 0.21	palpable abdominal or faecal mass on
children. 2007.	to compare	Exclusion	Country: Iran	Intervention:		p<0.001	physical examination
Acta Medica	the clinical,	criteria:		Liquid paraffin		r	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Iranica 45[3],		organic		orally, 1 to 2	Outcome	Side effects (during 4	Apart from laxative treatment, parents
183-188Iran,	safety of liquid			ml/kg, twice daily	Measures:		given instructions to increase their daily
Islamic	paraffin and	defecation		for 8 weeks		whether, n or %, but	fibre intake to an amount of grams equal
Republic of.	lactulose in	disorders			-optimal dose of	probably %)	to their age plus 10. Toilet training after
		including		Comparison:	drug	(estimates taken from	each meal advised to enhance
		Hirschsprung'		Lactulose orally, 1		bar chart, outcomes	compliance
		s' disease,		to 2 ml/kg, twice	-side effects	not reported in text):	
	constipation	spina bifida		daily for 8 weeks		Lactulose (n=120)	Treatment success defined as 3 or more
		occulta,					bowel movements/week and encopresis
		hypothyroidis				Abdominal pain: 10	episodes < 2/week
		m, cystic				Bad palatability: 15	
		fibrosis,					No significant baseline differences
		neurological		For determination		Bloating: 10	between the 2 treatment groups
		abnormalities,		of best dose for		Diarrhoea: 10	regarding: age, sex, duration of
		intestinal		child, parents		Anal oil leakage: 20	constipation, defection frequency,
		pseudo		asked to increase		Flatulence: 10	number of patients with history of
		obstruction		the volume of		Nausea: 10	encopresis, large amount of stool, faecal
				each drug by 25%		Hard stool: 20	impaction in rectum, rectal bleeding, lost
				every 3 days as		Vomiting: 0	to follow-up after 8 weeks, bad
				required to yield 1 or 2, firm-loose		Liquid paraffin	palatability of study medication
				stools		(n=127)	Parents received chart to record side
				Stools		$(\Pi = 1 \ge I)$	effects
						Abdominal pain: 50	ellects
						Bad palatability: 40	Reviewer comments:
							Method of randomisation and allocation
						Bloating: 20	concealment not described
							Non blinded study
							No sample calculation performed
							No withdrawals/dropouts reported

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						Nausea: 5	Results not controlled for confounders
						Hard stool: 6	
						Vomiting: 0	Source of funding:
							not stated, but authors reported "no
	0	40 1311	N.4: 1		D " (B.A. 12 (* 1	conflicts of interests"
Loening-	Study Type:	49 children	-Miralax group:	Intervention:	Duration of	Medication dosage	Additional information from study:
Baucke.	Prospective		28 children	MiraLax	treatment:	(Mean doses and	Initial dose of Miralax 0.5 g/kg daily
Polyethylene	cohort		20 boys	17 dissolved	12 months	range for children	suggested for children whose rectums
glycol without	Fordal and a second		Mean age ±	in 240 mL of a	A	who were doing well	were loaded with stool but who had no
electrolytes for	<u>Evidence</u>		SD:	beverage such as		or improved) (PEG,	fecal abdominal masses at the initial
children with	<u>level:</u> 2 +		8.7 ± 3.6 years	juice or Kool-Aid	point (s):	g/kg; MOM, mL/kg)	physical examination and no history of
			Range 4.1 to		1, 3, 6, and 12 months after	1 month	long intervals between huge bowel movements. Those with palpable
and encopresis. 2002. Journal of			17.5 years	1 g/kg/daily	initiating	PEG:	abdominal fecal masses or history of
Pediatric	to determine	constipation and	-MOM group:	Comparison:	treatment	0.6 ± 0.2 (0.3 to 1.1)	infrequent huge bowel movements
			21 children	MOM	пеанненн	MOM:	started on 1 g/kg daily
			17 boys	Initial dose 1 to	Outcome	1.4 ± 0.6 (0.6 to 2.6)	started off 1 g/kg daily
	and treatment		Mean ± SD: 7.3		Measures:	1.4 ± 0.0 (0.0 to 2.0)	Milk of Magnesia given if family could
	dosage of		± 3.0 years	2.5 IIIL/kg	<u>ivieasures.</u>	3 months	afford only the use of a cheaper laxative
States.	MiraLax	delay/difficulty			-medication	PEG:	or if child had previously received MOM
States.	(polyethylene		13.9 years		dosage	0.6 ± 0.3 (0.3 to 1.4)	without refusal. For these children, MOM
	glycol 3350	and	10.5 years		dosage	MOM:	reintroduced or adjusted to an adequate
	• •		Country:		-clinically	1.2 ± 0.5 (0.6 to 2.4)	dosage. Parents told how to improve the
			USA		significant side	1.2 ± 0.3 (0.0 to 2.4)	taste by mixing the child's preferred
	during a 12-	more than 1	OOA		effects	12 months	flavouring with plain MOM. Initial daily
	month	vear		Large laxative	enecis	PEG:	dosage of 1 mL/kg body weight
	treatment	your		dosages divided	-compliance	$0.4 \pm 0.1(0.1 \text{ to } 0.7)$	suggested for children with rectal faecal
	period in	Exclusion			with medication	MOM:	masses only at initial evaluation and if
	children with	criteria:		Parents told to	With modication	only 2 children still	they had no history of infrequent large
	functional	Children <4		adjust the dose of		required MOM. Their	bowel movements. Dosage of 2.5 mL/kg
	constipation	years of age;		medication by 30		dosages were 0.4	prescribed for those with faecal
	and	children who		mL for MiraLax		and 1.6 mL/kg, both	abdominal masses at the initial
	encopresis	refused the		and by 7.5 mL		less than the initial	evaluation or history of huge, infrequent
	2	toilet for		(one-half		treatment dosage	bowel movements
		stooling but		tablespoon) for			
		who had no		MOM every 3		mean doses for both	Regular stool sittings for 5 minutes after
		constipation,		days to a dosage		treatments at 12	each meal required for initial months.
		Hirschsprung'		that resulted in 1		months	,

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention &	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
iniormation	Level	Patients	S	Comparison	Measures		
		s disease,		to 2 soft bowel		did not differ	Patients and parents provided with diary
		chronic		movements/day		significantly between	sheets to record each outcome
		intestinal		and prevented		children with or	measured
		pseudo-		soiling and		without initial	
		obstruction, or		abdominal pain.		palpable abdominal	Global assessment of whether child was
		previous		If child retained		faecal masses. None	"doing well," "improved," or "not doing
		surgery of the		stools despite		of the patients	well" was recorded. Doing well defined
		colon/anus		compliance with			as 3 or more bowel movements/week
				assigned laxative,		dosage of either	and 2 or fewer soiling episodes / month.
				daily senna added		medication over time	Improved defined as 3 or more bowel
				to treatment			movements / week and a more than
						5 children received a	75% decrease in soiling but not more
							than 1 soiling / week. Not doing well was
							defined as fewer than 3 bowel
						1 child received a	movements / week, a less than 75%
						stimulant laxative	decrease in soiling frequency, use of
							senna, or refusal to take the assigned
						> 0.2)	laxative. Recovered defined as 3 or
						Cliniaally aignificant	more bowel movements / week and 2 or
						Clinically significant side effects	fewer soiling episodes / month while not
						side effects	taking laxatives.
						PEG: no significant	No significant baseline differences
						clinical side effects.	between 2 groups
						Some children had	
						diarrhoea. None of	Reviewer comments:
						the children in the	No sample size calculation performed
						PEG group became	
							Outcomes for consistency of stools not
						receiving PEG and	reported
						their parents did not	
						1	Not reporting on the clinically significant
						flatus, abdominal	side effects (or lack of them) for MOM
						distension, or new	
						onset of abdominal	Source of funding:
						pain	Dr. Loening-Baucke recipient of grant
						Commission on with	support from Braintree Pharmaceuticals,
						Compliance with	Braintree, MA, U.S.A., for continuing

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	medication: -PEG: No children reported disliking the taste, no parents reported that child refused to take it in juice or Kool-Aid Parental noncompliance with administering the laxative and supervising toilet use: 14% children -MOM: 33% children refused to take it Parental noncompliance with administering the laxative and supervising toilet use: 4% children	studies on childhood constipation
Loening-Baucke et al. A randomized, prospective, comparison study of polyethylene glycol 3350 without electrolytes and milk of magnesia for	Study Type: RCT Evidence level: 1- Study aim: to compare the efficacy, safety and patient		79 children 65 boys age range: 4 to 16.2 years (median 7.4; mean 8.1 ± 3.0) Country: USA	General: disimpacted with 1 or 2 phosphate enemas in the clinic on the day of the visit , if necessary and started laxative therapy that evening Intervention:	Duration of treatment: 12 months Assessment point (s): 1, 3, 6 and 12 months after initiating treatment Outcome	Patient Acceptance Several children complained about taste of PEG and MOM. 2 children (5%) continued to refuse PEG vs. 14 children (35%) continued to refuse MOM during the 12 months of the study	Additional information from study: Functional constipation defined by duration of ≥ 8 weeks and ≥ 2 of the following: frequency of bowel movements <3 stools/week, >1 episode of faecal incontinence/week, large stools noted in rectum or felt during abdominal examination, passing of stools so large that they obstructed the toilet Randomisation performed by children drawing a sealed envelope with and
children with constipation	acceptance of polyethylene	criteria: stool toileting		polyethylene glycol (PEG) 3350	Measures:	(P < 0.001)	enclosed assignment

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
and fecal	glycol (PEG)	refusal, faecal		without added	-safety profile	Treatment doses	Investigators, children and their parents
incontinence.	3350 without	incontinence		electrolytes 0.7		(mean ± SD):	aware of the study group assignment
		but no		g/kg body weight	-patient's		
118[2], 528-535		constipation,		daily for 12	acceptance and	-PEG (g/kg body	It was estimated that 38 subjects were
	vs. milk of	previous		months	compliance	weight)	required in each group to be able to
	magnesia	refusal of one		4 . 45-5 //-			detect a difference in failure rates
	(MOM) over	of study		capful of PEG (17		1 month: 0.7 ± 0.2	between the 2 groups of 30% in 12
	12 months	medications,		g) mixed in 8 oz of		3 months: 0.6 ± 0.3	months (40% vs. 10%), at the 0.05
		children who		beverage (juice,		additional senna at	significance level with 0.80 power.
		came from far		Kool-Aid, Crystal		some point: 3 children	Authors hypothesized that PEG would
		away for a		Light or water)			be as successful as MOM in treating
		second		making a solution		-MOM (mL/kg body	chronic constipation and faecal
		opinion,		of ~2g/30 mL		weight)	incontinence. Authors' previous study
		Hirschsprung'				4 4 6 6 7	showed that 33% of children refused to
		s disease,		Comparison:		1 month: 1.2 ± 0.7	take MOM during the first 12 months of
		chronic		milk of magnesia		3 months: 1.2 ± 0.8	treatment.
		intestinal		(MOM) 2mL/kg		additional senna at	Obildes a top of a side estimation
		pseudobstruct		body weight daily		some point: 1 child	Children treated with minimal effective
		ion, previous		for 12 months			dosage of PEG or MOM, allowing for a
		surgery		Disir MOM social			daily stool and preventing abdominal
		involving		Plain MOM could		children who	pain and faecal incontinence. Parents
		colon or anus		be mixed into		improved and who	instructed to aim for 1 or 2 stools of
				apple sauce or		did not improve for both treatments	milkshake consistency each day.
				milkshakes, or		both treatments	Parents asked to increase dosage if
				chocolate and		and at a madile a	stools too hard or not frequent enough
				other flavouring could be added		safety profiles PEG: 1 child allergic	and to decrease the dosage if stools
				could be added		No other significant	watery or too numerous. Small changes,
				Lorgo docco of		clinical effects for	such as 2 oz of PEG or 0.5 tbsp of MOM
				Large doses of both medications			every 3 days, were recommended.
						either medication,	Regular stool sittings for 5 minutes after
				could be divided into 2 doses		apart from transient diarrhoea	each meal required initially. Toilet sitting frequency reduced after children
				11110 2 00565		disappearing with	recognized urge to defecate and
						disappearing with	initiated toilet use themselves.
						uose reduction	ווווומנפט נטוופנ עספ נוופוווספועפס.
						-Laboratory tests:	No significant differences at baseline
						PEG: 1 child with	between the 2 groups regarding: age,
						elevated platelets	sex, primary faecal incontinence,

Bibliographic Information Study Type & Number of Information Evidence Level Study Type & Patient Characteristic Comparison Study Type & Patient Characteristic Comparison Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
trong de le builde	reatment, 1 child with decreased sodium evels at 6 months, but normal at 12 months MOM: 1 child high platelet count, 1 low serum sodium level, elevated AST, 1 elevated ALT	previous treatment with laxatives, history of retentive posturing, frequency of bowel movements, bowel movements obstructing the toilet, frequency of faecal incontinence, presence of abdominal pain, presence of abdominal faecal mass and presence of rectal faecal mass and presence of rectal faecal mass. By 12 months a total of 27 dropouts/lost to follow-up. PEG: 2 children lost to follow-up monitoring, 2 (5%) had refused PEG, 1 child allergic to PEG, 2 children were receiving senna. These 7 children counted as not improved and not recovered. MOM: 2 Children lost to follow-up monitoring, 3 children had discontinued study participation, 14 children (35%) had refused to take MOM, and 1 child was receiving senna Efficacy analyses performed with intention to treat population, other outcomes calculated from available follow-up data Patients and parents questioned with respect to side effects during each visit Reviewer comments: Results not controlled for potential confounders High drop-out / lost to follow-up rate: 30.4% Source of funding: Braintree Laboratories (Braintree, MA) supported

Information Evi	y Type & Number of Patients Level	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Treatment of Constipation and Encopresis with Movicol (Macrogol 3350 with Electrolytes) in Children and Adolescents. 2005. Gut 54[Suppl VII], A217 Adler, 2005 Substituting Electrolytes of Mo (macrogol 3350 electrolytes) in Children and Adolescents. 2005. Gut 54[Suppl VII], A217 constituting Electrolytes of Mo (macrogol 3350 electrolytes) in Children and Adolescents. 2005. Gut 54[Suppl VII], A217 constituting Electrolytes in Children and Electrolyt	referred with constipation and/or encopresis t sess the tiveness ovicol rogol with rolytes), referred with constipation and/or encopresis to the Queen Silvia Children's Hospital, Sweden	134 patients 88 males age not clearly reported Country: Sweden	Intervention: Movicol (macrogol 3350 with electrolytes,13.8g sachets) -Mean starting dose: Age 2 to 6: 0.58 sachets Age 7 to 11: 0.51 sachets Doses adjusted in each patient to achieve symptom relief with the minimally effective dosage Comparison: None	Mean: 50 weeks (SD ±50 weeks; range 1 to 211 weeks) Assessment point (s): unclear Outcome Measures: -final treatment dose -side effects	Mean dose at end of observational period Age 2 to 6: 0.42 sachets Age 7 to 11: 0.49 sachets -overall mean change: 0.553 to 0.477 sachets/day Side-effects were reported in 10 (7.5%) patients and these were generally mild and transient	study with an unrestricted research grant. According to authors, the funding source had no involvement in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report or decision to submit the article for publication Reviewer's' comments It is difficult to assess the quality criteria and to make comments on this study because we have only been able to review the abstract. This abstract was included because it provides some evidence on long-term treatment with Movicol Source of funding: Not stated

Effectiveness of Diet and Lifestyle modifications in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
Bongers et al.	Study Type:	38 children	38 children	Intervention:	<u>Duration of</u>	Clinical efficacy	Additional information from study:
The clinical	Double-blind			Nutrilon Omneo	<u>treatment</u>	after period 1	Constipation defined as the presence of
effect of a new	RCT (cross-	<u>Inclusion</u>	19 boys	(new formula, NF)	2 periods of 3	Defecation frequency	at least 1 of the following symptoms: 1)
infant formula in	over)	criteria:	median age: 1.7		weeks each	(mean ± SD)	frequency of defecation < 3/week; 2)
term infants		Otherwise	months	-Nutrients per 100			painful defecation (crying); 3) abdominal
with	Evidence	healthy, term		:	<u>Assessment</u>	SF (n = 15): 4.9 ± 2.5	or rectal palpable mass
constipation: a	level:	infants with		ml:	point (s):	NF $(n = 20)$: 5.6 ± 2.8	
double-blind,	1+	constipation,	Country:		After period 1		Infants randomised by a computer
randomized		between 3 to	The	Energy (kcal) 70	and period 2	Difference of means	program to either NF or SF in period 1
cross-over trial.	Study aim:	20 weeks of	Netherlands			(95% CI):	and crossed-over after 3 weeks to
2007. Nutrition	To test the	age, who		Protein (g) 1.7	Follow-up	0.7 (-0.8 to 2.3)	treatment period 2
Journal 6, 8	hypothesis	received at		Casein -	period:	N.S	·
	that Nutrilon	least 2 bottles		Intact whey	No follow-up		In order to mimic the taste of Nutrilon
	Omneo (new	of milk-based		protein -	conducted after	Improvement of hard	Omneo, the whey-based control formula
	formula, NF)	formula per		Whey protein	treatment	to soft stools (n)	was partly mixed with a formula based
	will have a	day		hydrolysate 1.7	finished		on hydrolyzed whey protein (mixture of
	positive effect	,				SF (n = 15): 50%	75% Nutrilon 1 and 25% Aptamil HA I).
	on stool	Exclusion		Fat (triglycerides)	Outcome	(5/10)	Formula cans were labelled with codes
				(g) 3.3	Measures:	NF (n = 20): 90%	to mask identity of the study feedings.
		Hirschsprung'		Palmitic acid 0.6		(9/10)	Neither the parents nor the physicians
		s disease,		- at the sn-2	Primary	,	were aware of the composition of the
		spinal or anal		position (%) 41.0	efficacy	RR (95% CI):	formula until the entire study was
		anomalies,		Linoleic acid 0.4	outcomes:	1.8 (0.9 to 3.5)	completed
		previous		α-linolenic acid		N.S`	•
		colonic		0.08	1) defecation		Prior to start of the study, sample size,
		surgery,			frequency	No painful defecation	based on a cross-over design, was
		metabolic,		Carbohydrates (g)		<u>(n)</u>	calculated to allow detection of a 30%
		cerebral and		8.4		 	difference in improvement between NF
		renal		Lactose 2.9	2) normalization	SF (n = 15): 33%	and SF. Under the assumption of a
		abnormalities,		Maltodextrin 4.0	of stool	(5/15)	significance level of 0.05 with
		children who		Starch 1.5	consistency	NF (n = 20): 35%	a power of 0.80, and 2-sided hypothesis
		were treated				(7/20)	testing, a minimal sample size of 34 with
		with laxatives		Fibre (g) 0.8	3) no more	, ,	17 children in each group was
		at enrolment			painful	RR (95% CI):	determined

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s		Measures		
				(90% GOS, 10%	defecation	1.0 (0.4–2.7)	
				IcFOS) 0.8		N.S	Only 24 children (63%) completed the
					Secondary		cross-over study. In period 1, 3 SF
				Minerals and	outcome:	Clinical efficacy	patients dropped out; 2 patients stopped
				trace elements			because of severe constipation; 1
				(mg)	-safety	(period 1 and 2)	patient switched to hypoallergenic
				Calcium 53			feeding, because of suspected cow's
				Phosphorus 29		(mean)	milk protein allergy. Parents of 1 patient
				Sodium 23			decided that they did not want to cross-
				Potassium 82			over because she was free of symptoms
				Chloride 44		NF (n =12): 5.5/week	and they started openly with NF instead.
				Iron 0.5		D:#*	3 patients dropped out after switching to
				Zinc 0.5			NF; 2 patients stopped after less than 1
				Caman ania ana			week because of recurrence of
				Comparison: Standard formula		- 0.5 (-1.6 to 0.6) N.S	constipation symptoms. 1 patient was lost to follow-up. 7 patients dropped out
				(SF, mixture of		IN.S	after switching to SF; 6 patients stopped
				75% Nutrilon I		Frequency of soft	after 1 week because of recurrence of
				and 25% Aptamil		stools:	constipation symptoms. 1 patient was
				HA I)		I ———	lost to follow-up
				11/5 1)		had soft stools when	lost to follow-up
				Energy (kcal) 67			Data analysis based on the group of 35
				Lilorgy (Modil) 01		stools with SF,	patients that completed period 1 and a
				Protein (g) 1.5			subgroup analysis of 24 patients who
				Casein 0.5			completed the cross-over
				Intact whey		receiving SF and no	,
				protein 0.6			No significant differences in baseline
				Whey protein		with NF $(p = 0.046)$	characteristics between 2 groups
				hydrolysate 0.4			
						Painful defecation	During both periods parents asked to
				Fat (triglycerides)		not significantly	daily record in a diary details on formula
				(g) 3.5 3.3			intake, formula tolerance (vomiting,
				Palmitic acid 0.6		periods	flatulence, colic, rash), passage of stools
				- at the sn-2		on NF and SF	and stool
				position (%) 11.5			consistency compared to 4 validated
				Linoleic acid 0.4		Safety	photographs of runny, mushy soft,
				α-linolenic acid			formed soft and hard stools
				0.07		there were no serious	

infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, 1- Randomisation per formed applying an envelope drawing system To evaluate a commercialise d formula, Control	Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Chao et al. Chao		Level		S		Measures		
Chao et al. Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in Infants with constipation. 23[6]. 469-473 Selection of the constraints with constipation. 23[6]. 469-473 Chao et al. Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise of the formula is easily and the control of the								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation 23[6], 469-473 Chao et al. Chao e								
Maltodextrin - Starch Fibre (g) - Oligosaccharides (90% GOS, 10% lcFOS) - Minerals and trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 22 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Sodium 20 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Phosphorus 20 Potassium 60 Chloride 42 Iro								described
Starch — Fibre (g) - Oligosaccharides (90% GOS, 10% IcFOS) — Minerals and trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 99 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Signed and service of the properties							tolerated	Ctudy not controlled for notantial
Fibre (g) - O/igosaccharides (9% GOS, 10% IcFOS) — Minerals and trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT (n=47): were included in 1 centre with 23[6], 469-473 Study Type: Open label effect of Control and the constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Fibre (g) - O/igosaccharides (9% GOS, 10% IcFOS) — Minerals and trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described Therapeutic effect of Control and Secretary (and the control and the control and trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described Therapeutic effect of Control and Secretary (and the control and Secretary (and S								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chiao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 2007.					Staron –			Comounders
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chid minerals and trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described infant from study: Magnesium-enriched infant formalia, Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chid minerals and trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described infant from study: Magnesium-enriched infant formula, Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Chid medical medical medical medical medical domula, centre with medical medical domula, centre with medical medical medical domula, centre with medical med					Fibre (g) -			Source of funding:
Chao et al.								study supported by a grant of Nutricia
Minerals and trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 RCT Sudy Type: 1- Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Signed and commercialise of formula, Royalaction of evaluate a medical of morpula, according to authors to morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula, Royalaction on trace in morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula, Royalaction on trace in morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 months (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of morpula (namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7 months and 2 modical of namber and 9 a.8 ± 1.7								
trace elements (mg) Calcium 53 Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described Intervention: Open label effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Situdy aim: To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Omercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate a commercialise of ormula open label evel: 1- To evaluate					lcFOS) –			Netherlands
Chao et al. Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Chao et al. Study aim: a commercialise in domain and a control and and a control and and a control and and a control and					Minerals and			
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Evidence level: 216, 469-473 To evaluate a commercialise of formula, o					trace elements			
Phosphorus 29 Sodium 22 Potassium 69 Chloride 42 Iron 0.5 Zinc 0.5 Feeding patterns not described Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition. 2016. Ageing a commercialise of formula, Children aged 2 to 6 months To evaluate a commercialise of formula, Communication Control Con					(mg)			
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise of formula, necessary and construence of formula, and construence of formula of the formula is 13%. Study Type: Ochoride 42 Iron 0.5 Feeding patterns not described Intervention: Magnesium- and % of children of treatment and % of children and % of								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 The above the commercialise of formula, a commercialise of formula, a contre with control and a commercialise of formula, a contre with control and a commercialise of formula, a contre with control and a control and								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 To evaluate a commercialise d formula, Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2016. According to authors the formula infants with constipation according to authors this was not possible because all infants with constipation. 2016. According to authors treatment and % of children aged to authors were included in 1 centre 2017. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 According to authors treatment and % of children a								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infunts with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 The valuate a commercialise of d formula, The valuate a commercialise of formula, The valuate a commercial of the formula of the formula is 13%) The valuate a commercial of the formula is 13%)								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, Commercialise d formu								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, The evaluate a commercialise d formula, The repeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 21 (and the pack) and the pack of the p								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, The evaluate a commercialise d formula, The repeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 21 (and the pack) and the pack of the p								
Chao et al. Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Study Type: Open label RCT Inclusion criteria: 1.7 months Infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Study Type: Open label RCT Inclusion criteria: 1.7 months Infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, and so included in 1 centre Inclusion paediatric gastroenterol ogy clinic at medical centre with Inclusion criteria: 1.7 months Inclusion deficient formula, Novalaction per and % of children an								
Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Movalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Movalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007 infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Movalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2006 in infants formula, Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Therapeutic effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2006 in infants formula, Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 2007	Chan at al	Ctudy Type	02 obildron	02 shildren		Duration of	Improved (number	Additional information from study
effect of Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 23[6], 469-473 RCT Inclusion criteria: Children aged 2 to 6 months referred to paediatric gastroenterol of formula, Novalacator a commercialise d formula, on the formula, Novalacator and the formula, Novalacator and the formula, Novalacator and the formula, Novalacator and the formula formula, Novalacator and the formula, Novalacator and the formula formula, Novalacator and the formula formula, Novalacator and the formula, Novalacator and the formula formula, Novalacator and the formula formula, Novalacator (IT) Assessment point (s): At 2 weeks: Novalac-IT (n=47): At 3 weeks: Novalac-IT (n=47): At 3 weeks: Novalac-IT (n			33 Gillulett					
Novalac-IT in infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, novalacation and the formula, novalacation performed applying an envelope drawing system Novalac-IT (n=47): Strengthened formula Novalacation performed applying an envelope drawing system			Inclusion					
infants with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, To evaluate, a commercialise d formula, To evaluate, a contre with constipation. To evaluate, a contre with constipation. 2007. Nutrition 20	Novalac-IT in					2 1110111110		
constipation. 2007. Nutrition 23[6], 469-473 Level: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, Composition part 100 mL: Level: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, Composition per 100 mL: Level: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, Country: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, Country: Taiwan Country: Taiwan Composition per 100 mL: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, Composition per 100 mL: Energy (cal/100 mL): 70.7 Follow-up period: Follow-up period: Novalac-IT (n=47): Randomisation performed applying an envelope drawing system Assigned nurse educated the family to prepare the 20% strengthened formula (20% extra formula) (regular concentration of the formula is 13%)	infants with	Evidence				Assessment		
23[6], 469-473 Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, a formula, Deciditric gastroenterol ogy clinic at medical centre with 100 mL: 100 mL: 100 mL: 100 mL: Energy (cal/100 mL): To month and 2 months N.S Assigned nurse educated the family to prepare the 20% strengthened formula -At 1 month: (20% extra formula) (regular concentration of the formula is 13%)	constipation.	level:	2 to 6 months					
Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, General description of the formula is 13%) Study aim: To evaluate a commercialise d formula, General description of the formula is 13%) Months N.S Assigned nurse educated the family to prepare the 20% strengthened formula is 13%) Follow-up period: Novalac-IT (n=47): Novalac-IT (n=47): Novalac-IT (n=47):	2007. Nutrition	1-		Taiwan		,		envelope drawing system
To evaluate a commercialise deformula, centre with Communication of the formula deformula communication of the formula deformula deformu	23[6], 469-473		•		100 mL:		(n=46): 23 (50)	
commercialise medical mL): 70.7 Follow-up -At 1 month: (20% extra formula) (regular period: Novalac-IT (n=47):					F	months	N.S	
d formula, centre with <u>period:</u> Novalac-IT (n=47): concentration of the formula is 13%)						Follow up	At 1 months	
					L): /U./			
INOVAIAC-II ICONSTINATION > 1 Protein (d): 1 /() INO follow-up 139 (83) 1		Novalac-IT	constipation ≥		Protein (g): 1.70	No follow-up	39 (83)	Concentration of the formula is 13%)

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
	(Intestinal	2 weeks, fed		Whey/casein:	conducted after		No significant differences in baseline
		exclusively		60/40	treatment		characteristics (clinical or demographic)
	France)	with formula.			finished	(n=46): 23 (50)	between the 2 groups
	against a	Participation		Fat (g): 3.54		P=0.002	
		in trial			<u>Outcome</u>		Intake of formula and clinical parameters
		proposed		Carbohydrates	Measures:	-At 2 months:	regarding constipation and weight and
		before a		(g): 8.06		Novalac-IT (n=47):	all relevant information recorded by
	traditional	more		100 % Lactose			family daily in a diary during the entire
		complete			failure		intervention period
		diagnostic				Strengthened formula	
		workup for		(mg)		(n=46): 25 (54)	Severity scoring system developed and
		cow's milk		Sodium 17.46		P<0.001	evaluated in pilot study:
		protein			on stool		Hard stool: 0, no hard stool; 1, hard and
		allergy,		Chloride 43.40	consistency,	Good response	long form, 2;
		Hirschsprung'			frequency and	(number and % of	Difficulties with defecation: 0, no
		s disease and		Phosphate 31.46	volume of	children)	difficulties; 1, irritability; 2, crying
		others		Magnesium 9.12	stools and	-At 2 weeks:	Frequency of defecation: 0, >3
		E la la		0		Novalac-IT (n=47):	times/week; 1, 1 to 3 times/week; 2, <1
		Exclusion		Osmolality: 300		17 (36)	time/week
		criteria:		Camananiaan	3 mild	Ctura in orthogona al farina i la	Stool weight (g//kg/week): 1, >35; 2, 20
		unclear		Comparison:			to 35; 3, <20
				20% strengthened		(n=46): 13 (28)	Daviewer comments:
				Novalac regular infant formula	7 or 8 severe)	-At 1 month:	Reviewer comments: No sample size calculation performed
				iniani ioimula	-Remission:	Novalac-IT (n=47):	no sample size calculation performed
				Composition per			Irrelevant reason given for non-blinding
				100 mL	asymptomatic		the study
				100 IIIL	-Good	Strengthened formula	life study
				Energy (cal/100		(n=46): 11 (24)	Unclear how both formulas were
				mL): 78	decrease in	(11–40). 11 (24)	administered
				IIIL). 70		Fair response	administered
				Protein (g): 1.89	SCVEINY OF Z	(number and % of	No dropouts/lost to follow-up reported
				Whey/casein:	-Fair response:	children)	The diopodianost to follow-up reported
				50/50	decrease in	-At 2 weeks:	Study not controlled for potential
				33/00		Novalac-IT (n=47):	confounders
				Fat (g): 3.96	3	14 (30)	
				(9). 3.00		(00)	Source of funding:
				Carbohydrates	-Failure: if score	Strengthened formula	

70% Lactose, 30% Maltodextrin Maltodextrin Major minerals (mg) Sodium 21.24 Potassium 70.20 Chloride 46.80 Calcium 70.20 Phosphate 42.12 Magnesium 7.02 Osmolality: 300 Or increased -At 1 month: Novalac-IT (n=47): 17 (36) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) Not improved (number and % of children) -At 2 weeks: Novalac-IT (n=47): 16 (34) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) -At 1 month:	(g): 8.69 70% Lactose, 30% Maltodextrin Major minerals (mg) Sodium 21.24 Potassium 70.20 Chloride 46.80 Calcium 70.20 Phosphate 42.12 Magnesium 7.02 Osmolality: 300 (g): 8.69 70% Lactose, 30% Maid not change or increased (n=46): 10 (22) -At 1 month: Novalac-IT (n=47): 17 (36) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) Intestinal Transit provided free samples of Novalac-IT formula. According to authors there was no other grant from the company, which was neither involved in the design of the study Not improved (number and % of children) -At 2 weeks: Novalac-IT (n=47): 16 (34) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50)	Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
70% Lactose, 30% Maltodextrin Maltodextrin Major minerals (mg) Sodium 21.24 Potassium 70.20 Chloride 46.80 Calcium 70.20 Phosphate 42.12 Magnesium 7.02 Osmolality: 300 Or increased -At 1 month: Novalac-IT (n=47): 17 (36) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) Not improved (number and % of children) -At 2 weeks: Novalac-IT (n=47): 16 (34) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) -At 1 month:	70% Lactose, 30% Maltodextrin Major minerals (mg) Sodium 21.24 Potassium 70.20 Chloride 46.80 Calcium 70.22 Phosphate 42.12 Magnesium 7.02 Osmolality: 300 Osm		Level						
8 (17) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) Symptoms free (number and % of children)			Evidence		Characteristic	(g): 8.69 70% Lactose, 30% Maltodextrin Major minerals (mg) Sodium 21.24 Potassium 70.20 Chloride 46.80 Calcium 70.20 Phosphate 42.12 Magnesium 7.02	Outcome Measures did not change	(n=46): 10 (22) -At 1 month: Novalac-IT (n=47): 17 (36) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) Not improved (number and % of children) -At 2 weeks: Novalac-IT (n=47): 16 (34) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) -At 1 month: Novalac-IT (n=47): 8 (17) Strengthened formula (n=46): 23 (50) Symptoms free (number and % of children)	Intestinal Transit provided free samples of Novalac-IT formula. According to authors there was no other grant from the company, which was neither

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Illiorillation	Level	Fallents	S	Companison	Measures		
						Novalac-IT (n=47):	
						28 (60)	
						Strengthened formula	
						(n=46): 16 (35)	
						P=0.029	
						-At 2 months:	
						Novalac-IT (n=47):	
						35 (75)	
						Strengthened formula	
						(n=46): 18 (39)	
						P<0.001	
Savino et al.	Study Type:	123 children	95 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Stool frequency	Additional information from study:
Advances in the			50 boys	New formula (NF)	treatment	(number/day) (mean	Constipation defined as a stool
management of	RCT	<u>Inclusion</u>	_		14 days	<u>± SD)</u>	frequency of less than 1 stool a day
digestive		criteria:	age at study	Composition per		-at study entry	
problems during		Formula-fed	entry (months)	100 ml (Omneo /	<u>Assessment</u>	NF group (n=55):	Parents given a structured questionnaire
the first months	level:	healthy term	-intervention	Conformil):	point (s):	0.53 ± 0.5	in order to monitor frequency of
of life. 2005. Acta	1-	infants up to 4 months of	group:	Energy: 70 kcal	On days 1, 7 and 14	SF group (40):	symptoms, feeding volume and side effects
Paediatrica	Study aim:	age with	1.55 ± 0.88	Protein equivalent		0.60 ± 0.5	enecis
94[SUPP 449],	To evaluate	constipation	1.00 ± 0.00	(g): 1.7	Follow-up	N.S	No significant differences in baseline
120-	the efficacy	Concupation	-control group:	Casein: whey:	period:	10	characteristics between the 2 groups
124Norway.	on digestive	Exclusion	1.28 ± 0.66	100% whey	No follow-up	-on day 7	j i
	problems of a	criteria:		hydrolysate	conducted after	NF group (n=55):	When an infant eligible to study came to
	formula based		Country:		treatment	1.79 ± 0.96	the doctor, child was randomly assigned
	on palmitic	problems	Italy	Carbohydrate (g):	finished		to the study or the control group, the
	acid	and/or any		8.4		SF group (40):	next infant with the same symptoms was
		assumption of		Lactose:2.9	Outcome	1.31 ± 0.89	matched to the previous infant and
	esterified at	any kind of		Maltodextrine: 4.0	Measures:	diff a range of	assigned to the other group
	the β-position,			Starch: 1.5	-stool	difference: 0.48 (CI 95%: 0.09;	28 children excluded after randomisation
	oligosaccharid es (GOS and	before the		Prebiotic	characteristics :	0.48 (C1 95%: 0.09;	because at entry they had more than 1
	FOS) with a	beginning of		oligosaccharides	frequency and	p=0.02	evacuation
	prebiotic	the study and		(g): 0.8	consistency	p-0.02	O V G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G
	activity,	during the		(3). 0.0	22170.0.0.0	-on day 14	Reviewer comments:
	partially	study period		Fat (g): 3.3		NF group (n=55):	Sample size calculation not performed

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
	hydrolysed			Palmitic acid:0.60		2.04 ± 1.04	
	protein, low						Inadequate randomisation
	lactose			Minerals (mg)		SF group (40):	
	content and			Sodium:23		1.64 ± 0.99	Allocation concealment not described
	higher density			Potassium: 66		-1144	Otrodo a stance sate describility de d
				Chloride: 50		difference:	Study not reported as blinded
				Calcium: 53		0.40 (CI 95%: -0.03;	Ctool consists now next tweeters and next
				Phosphorus: 31 Iron: 0.5		0.83) p=0.07	Stool consistency post- treatment not
				Zinc: 0.5		p=0.07	reported
				21110. 0.5		Mean difference in	No dropouts/lost to follow-up children
				Comparison:		stool frequency	reported
				Standard formula		between the 2 groups	reported
				(SF) (composition		adjusted for gender,	Source of funding:
				not reported in			not stated
				paper)		instruction, parity,	
				r - r - 7		birth weight, number	
						of feedings/day and	
				Feeding volume		stool frequency at	
				based on a		entry	
				feeding ad libitum			
				procedure.		-Days 0 to 7:	
				Feeding		0.60 (CI 95%: 0.19;	
				frequency decided		1.01)	
				by the parents		p=0.004	
				and not influenced			
				by the study		-Days 0 to 14:	
				protocol		0.53 (CI 95%: 0.11;	
						0.90)	
Coving at al	Ctudy Type	CO4 obildron	604 children	Intonioni	Duration of	p=0.015	Additional information from atudu
Savino et al.		604 children	(232 with	Intervention: New formula (NF)	Duration of	Stool frequency 232 infants with	Additional information from study: Constipation defined as a stool
"Minor" feeding problems during	Prospective	<u>Inclusion</u>	`	inew ioiiiiula (INF)	treatment 14 days	constipation	frequency of less than 1 stool a day
the first months	Case selles	criteria:	constipation)	Composition per	14 uays	CONSUPATION	inequency of less that I stool a day
of life: Effect of	Evidence	Formula-fed	age at entry	100 ml	Assessment	-increase in number	Parents given a questionnaire in order to
a partially	level:	healthy term	(months, total	100 1111	point (s):	of stools per day	monitor frequency of symptoms, feeding
hydrolysed milk		infants up to 3	'	Energy: 70 kcal	On days 1, 7	during study period:	volume and side effects. Number of
formula		months of	1.35 ± 0.77	Protein equivalent		147 infants (63.4%)	stools were recorded daily

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
containing	Study aim:	age seen by		(g): 1.7			
fructo- and	To investigate		gender not	Casein: whey:	Follow-up	-average increase:	A total of 932 infants enrolled: 604
galacto-	whether a	because of	reported	100% whey	period:		completed the study protocol. A total of
oligosaccharide	new infant	colic and/or		hydrolysate		0.27; p<0.005)	358 infants excluded from study: 154
s. 2003. Acta	formula	constipation	Country:		conducted after		completed only the first step and did not
Paediatrica	commercially	and/or	Italy	Carbohydrate (g):	treatment	-average increase	return for the visit on day 14, 131 infants
Supplement	available in	regurgitation.		8.4	finished	between day 1 and	excluded because of incomplete data.
		Normal birth		Lactose:2.9	.	day 7: 0.41 (CI 95%:	73 infants required medication during
90Norway.	as a dietary	weight (>2500		Maltodextrine: 4.0		0.51 to 0.23; p<0.05)	the 1rst week of study and were
		g), normal		Starch: 1.5	Measures:		therefore excluded
		weight gain (≥		Duals is the	-416	-average increase	Davidance
	minor feeding	150g/week)		Prebiotic	-stool frequency	between day 7 and	Reviewer comments:
	problems	and normal		oligosaccharides	namenta'	day 14: 0.04 (NS)	No description of the scoring system
		physical		(g): 0.8	-parents'		used to evaluate parent's satisfaction
		examination		Fot (a): 2.2	evaluation of formula	-no improvement of symptoms: 85 infants	was provided
		Exclusion		Fat (g): 3.3 Palmitic acid:0.60	lomula	(26.6%)	Source of funding:
		criteria:		Pairiille acid.0.00		(20.0%)	Not stated
		Neonatal		Minerals (mg)		Mean parent	Not Stated
		problems, use		Sodium: 23		evaluation of formula	
		of any kind of		Potassium: 66		evaluation of formula	
		medication		Chloride: 50		7.9 ± 1.8	
		the week		Calcium: 53		7.0 2 7.0	
		before the		Phosphorus: 31		550 parents (91%)	
		beginning of		Iron: 0.5		gave a positive	
		the study or		Zinc: 0.5		judgement (score 6 to	
		during the				10)	
		study period		Feeding volume			
				based on a			
				feeding ad libitum			
				procedure.			
				Feeding			
				frequency decided			
				by the parents			
				and not influenced			
				by the study			
	- · · -			protocol			
Pina et al.	Study Type:	3487 children	604 children	Intervention:	<u>Duration of</u>	91.6% of cases of	Additional information from study:

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Prevalence and dietetic management of mild gastrointestinal disorders in milk-fed infants. 2008. World Journal of Gastroenterolog y 14[2], 248-254China.	Prospective case series Evidence level: 3 Study aim: To assess the prevalence of mild gastrointestin al disorders (MGDs) in milk-fed infants in paediatric practice and to evaluate the effectiveness and satisfaction with dietetic treatment: specifically	(total population) Inclusion criteria: Infants up to 4 months of age fed with artificial milk formulas, presence of MGDs, possibility of feeding infants with some product of the Novalac line of formulas, continuation of these formula on an exclusive basis for at least 30days with no incorporation of other foods to the diet Exclusion criteria: Not clearly stated	(with constipation) 52.2% boys (of the total population) age at consultation: 1 week to 17 weeks (total population) Country: Spain	Novalac Anti-Constipation: formula with adapted concentration of magnesium and lactose No other details regarding feeding volume/frequency were provided Comparison: N.A	treatment 30 days Assessment point (s): Immediately after treatment was completed Follow-up period: No follow-up made after treatment finished Outcome Measures: -type of stools -presence of pain or discomfort -external help needed for defecation -satisfaction of parents/tutors -adverse events	within 7 days Number of daily stools (mean ± SD) Baseline: 0.6 ± 0.7 At 30 days: 1.7 ± 0.8 Type of stools (% children) -Normal: Baseline: 33.40 At 30 days: 95.60 -Hard Baseline: 66.60 At 30 days: 4.40 Presence of pain or discomfort (% children) -Yes: Baseline: 90.00 At 30 days: 10.40 -No: Baseline: 10.00 At 30 days: 89.60 External help needed	Study on effectiveness included 2069 infants with MGDs. Effectiveness was evaluated among 1441 infants who completed follow-up. Premature study termination due to adverse events in 2.7% cases, parent decision in 6.9%, loss to follow-up in 1.64%, protocol violations in 2.46% and non-specified reasons in 16.62% A questionnaire addressing the different symptoms and their intensity was designed for each disorder Satisfaction of parents/tutors with the formulas assessed on final visit by means of a Likert-type scale with 5 possible answers: from very satisfied to very dissatisfied Reviewer comments: No definition of constipation given Not completely clear how outcomes were measured and who measured them No definition of "resolved case" given Source of funding: Not stated
						-No:	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	Baseline: 23.90	
						At 30 days: 91.20	
						At 30 days. 91.20	
						-satisfaction of	
						parents/tutors:	
						90.0% of parents	
						satisfied with	
						treatment	
						Adverse events (for	
						all formulas, no	
						subgroup analysis):	
						Reported in 3.9%	
						infants of total	
						population. Most	
						frequent affected	
						digestive tract (1.4%),	
						including diarrhoea	
						and constipation, and	
						respiratory apparatus	
						(0.7%) (E.g.	
						bronchiolitis and	
						bronchitis). 10 infants	
						(0.5%) required	
						hospital admission for septicaemia (n=1),	
						dehydration (n=2),	
						vomiting (n=1), hernia	
						(n=1) and bronchitis	
						or bronchiolitis (n=2)	
Kokke et al. A	Study Type:	135 children	97 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Defecation	Additional information from study:
dietary fiber	Double-blind				treatment	frequency/week(Randomisation performed by use of
mixture versus	RCT	<u>Inclusion</u>	fibre mix group	mixed dietary fibre	8-week	mean)	sequential numbers allocated to patients
lactulose in the		criteria:	(n=42):	(10g/125mL)	intervention	-At 8 weeks:	at study entry and coordinated by the
treatment of	<u>Evidence</u>	Constipated	20 boys		period	Fibre (n=42): 7	logistic manager of Numico Research
childhood	<u>level:</u>	children	median age: 5.5				using a block design
constipation: a	1+	referred to	years (1 to 12	(per 100mL):	4-week	Lactulose (n=55): 6	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
double-blind		hospital	years)	3.0 g	weaning period	N.S	Bottles with yogurt prepared and packed
randomized	Study aim:	outpatient		transgalacto-			by Numico Research and transported to
controlled trial.	To assess the	clinic for	lactulose group	oligosacharides	<u>Assessment</u>	Number of patients	hospital. Treatment products could not
2008. Journal of		constipation	(n=55):	3.0 g inulin	point (s):	with ≥ 1 faecal	be distinguished from each other with
	efficacy and	who fulfilled	23 boys	1.6 g soy fibre	At 3, 8 and 12	<u>incontinence</u>	respect to colour, taste or consistency
Gastroenterolog	safety of a	at least 2 of 4	median age 5.0	0.33g resistant	weeks	episodes/week	
	dietary fibre	criteria for	years (1 to 12	starch 3		-At 8 weeks:	Sample size based on primary outcome
47[5], 592-597	mixture and	constipation:	years)		Follow-up	Fibre (n=42): 9	variable, defecation frequency. It was
	compare it	stool		Comparison:	period:		calculated that a random allocation of
	with lactulose	frequency <3	Country:	Yogurt drink	No follow-up	Lactulose (n=55): 5	150 children would allow for the
	in the	times/week,	The	containing	conducted after	N.S	detection of a mean difference in
	treatment of	faecal	Netherlands		treatment		defecation of 1.0/week between the 2
		incontinence		/ /	finished	Stool consistency	groups
	constipation	≥ 2		Lactulose)		(mean)	
		times/week,			<u>Outcome</u>	-At 3 weeks:	No significant differences found in
		periodic		Both products	Measures:	Fibre (n=42): 3.5	baseline characteristics between the 2
		passage of		taken at breakfast			groups with a power of 80% and
		large		and in case of ≥ 2		Lactulose (n=55): 4.5	alfa=0.05
		amounts of		bottles also at	outcome:	P<0.01	
		stool at least		lunch			Defecation noted on a daily basis during
		once very 7 to			-defecation	-At 8 weeks:	treatment period. Faecal incontinence
		30 days, or a		Amount of	frequency/week	Fibre (n=42): 3.6	each day assessed "yes" or "no", stool
		palpable		fibre/fluid intake			consistency according to Bristol Stool
		abdominal or		daily depended on		Lactulose (n=55): 4.0	Form Scale. Data recorded daily in
		rectal mass		patient's body weight:	outcomes:	P=0.01	bowel diary by parents or patients.
		Exclusion		_	-faecal	Number of patients	Adverse effects defined as any adverse
		criteria:		Intervention	incontinence	using step-up	change from baseline (pre-treatment)
		Organic		period:	each day	medication	condition, which occurred during the
		causes of		<15 kg: 1 bottle	,	-At 3 weeks:	course of the study after treatment
		defecation		(125 mL, 10g	-stool	Fibre (n=42): 13	started, whether it was considered to be
		disorders		fibres)	consistency	, ,	related to treatment
		including		,	_	Lactulose (n=55): 7	
		Hirschsprung'		15 to 20kg: 2	-use of step-up	P=0.028	33 patients dropped-out during study
		s disease,		bottles (250 mL,	medication		period: 22 in fibre group after 1 to 56
		spina bifida,		20g)		-At 8 weeks:	days (median 7) and 11 in lactulose
		hypothyroidis			-adverse effects	Fibre (n=42): 20	group after 1 to 51 days (median 8)
		m or other		>20 kg: 3 bottles			(p=0.020). Those patients refused to

Information Evidence Level metabolic/ren al abnormalities, mental retardation, use of drugs influencing gastrointestin al function other than laxatives, use of lactulose,	Characteristic S (375 mL, 30g) Weaning period: <15 kg: 0.5 bottle/day (week 9 & 10); 0.5 every other day (week 11 &12) 15 to 20kg: 1	Outcome Measures Lactulose (n=55): 21 N.S -At 12 weeks: Fibre (n=42): 21 Lactulose (n=55): 26 N.S Adverse effects	drink the yogurt. 3 patients lost to follow-up: 1 fibre, 2 lactulose. 2 exclusions after randomisation in lactulose group: 1 coeliac disease, 1 spina bifida occulta Reviewer comments: Method of allocation concealment not described
al abnormalities, mental retardation, use of drugs influencing gastrointestin al function other than laxatives, use	Weaning period: <15 kg: 0.5 bottle/day (week 9 & 10); 0.5 every other day (week 11 &12) 15 to 20kg: 1	N.S -At 12 weeks: Fibre (n=42): 21 Lactulose (n=55): 26 N.S	up: 1 fibre, 2 lactulose. 2 exclusions after randomisation in lactulose group: 1 coeliac disease, 1 spina bifida occulta Reviewer comments: Method of allocation concealment not described
other laxatives, prebiotics, probiotics or antibiotics in the previous 4 weeks before the first visit	bottle/day (week 9 & 10); 1 every other day (week 11 &12) >20 kg: 2 bottles/day (week 9 & 10); 1 bottle/day (week 11 &12) If persistent diarrhoea reported, original dose reduced by 50% If clinical parameters compared to baseline did not improve 3 weeks after start of intervention period, step-up medication (Macrogol 3350)	No serious or significant side effects recorded Fibre (n=42): 1 dose-related persistent diarrhoea Lactulose (n=55): 2 dose-related persistent diarrhoea	Study not controlled for potential confounders Unclear how adverse effects were recorded. ITT analysis not performed Source of funding: The Scientific Research Foundation project SW) 2001. One author received financial support throught project no.9.001, which is a subproject of Business aimed Technological Cooperation project 00176. 2 authors were researchers and employees of Danone Research BV (formerly Numico Research BV)
	(Macrogol 3350)		

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
Loening-Baucke	Study Type:	31 children	31 children	General	Duration of	Children with <3	Additional information from study:
et al. Fiber	Double-blind			Disimpaction with	treatment	BMs/week (%)	Constipation defined as a delay or
(glucomannan)	RCT (cross-	<u>Inclusion</u>	16 boys	1 or 2 phosphate	2 treatment	Placebo (n= 31): 52%	difficulty in defecation, present for >2
is beneficial in	over)	criteria:		enemas if rectal	periods of 4	Fibre (n= 31): 19%	weeks, and sufficient to cause
the treatment of		Otherwise	age: 4.5 to 11.7	impaction felt	weeks each	P<0.05	significant distress to child
childhood	<u>Evidence</u>		years (mean:	during rectal			
constipation.	level:		7.1 ± 2.0 years)	examination	Assessment	Stool consistency	Encopresis defined as the involuntary
2004. Pediatrics	1+	than 4 years		(58% of patients	point (s):	Initial (n= 31): 0.3 ±	loss of formed, semiformed, or liquid
113[3 Pt 1],		who had	Countries:	continued with	At 4 and 8	0.9	stool into the child's underwear in the
e259-e264	Study aim:	chronic	USA & Italy	their pre-	weeks	Placebo (n= 31): 1.2	presence of functional constipation after
		functional		evaluation		± 0.9	the child has reached the age of 4 years
	whether fibre	constipation		laxative during	Follow-up	Fibre (n= 31): 1.5	
	supplementati			whole study	period:	±0.9	It had been previously calculated that at
	on with	with or		period)	No follow-up	P<0.05 as compared	α=0.05; 26 subjects would allow a power
	glucomannan	without			conducted after	to initial data	of approximately 0.95 to detect a
		encopresis		Intervention:	treatment		difference of 0.7 versus 0.2 in achieving
	the treatment				finished	Children with	normal bowel patterns in the crossover
	of children	Exclusion		capsule		<u>encopresis</u>	design
		criteria:		containing	<u>Outcome</u>	Initial (n= 31): 58%	
	constipation	Hirschsprung'		glucomannan, a	Measures:		Patients randomized by envelope into 1
		S 		polysaccharide of	-efficacy:	Fibre (n= 31): 42%	of 2 treatment arms. Blinding done by
		disease,		d-glucose and d-			having the medication labelled
		hypothyroidis		mannose, equal	changes in	Frequency of soiling	glucomannan A and glucomannan B
		m, mental		to 450 mg of	frequency of	episodes/wk (n=18)	with the code kept by the company until
		deficiency,		alimentary fibre.	bowel	Initial (n=18):_9.9 ±	study was completed and analyzed.
		chronic			movements	12.3	Glucomannan A was a capsule
		debilitating		Comparison:	(BMs)	Placebo (n= 18): 4.2	containing maltodextrins as placebo.
		diseases,		Glucomannan A:	.,.	± 4.8	Glucomannan B was a capsule
		neurological		capsule	soiling	Fibre (n= 18): 4.0 ±	containing glucomannan, a
		abnormalities,		containing	frequency	6.3	polysaccharide of d-glucose and d-
		previous		maltodextrins as		P<0.05 as compared	mannose, =450 mg of alimentary fibre
		surgery of the		placebo.	-successful	to initial data	Definite and their accounts book "
		colon or anus		Croup 1, -lassis	treatment	Cupped of ul transfer set	Patients and their parents kept diary
				Group 1: placebo	noronto' alabal	Successful treatment	sheets during the 8 weeks of study.
				first and then	-parents' global	Placebo (n= 31): 13%	They recorded daily each BM, soiling
				glucomannan	assessment	Fibre (n= 31): 45% P<0.05 as compared	episode, abdominal pain episode, and medication used and reported at the end
				Croup 2:	overell		
				Group 2:	-overall	to placebo treatment	of each treatment period the associated

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome		
	Level		S		Measures		
				glucomannan first	tolerance and		subjective symptoms such as stool
				and then placebo	palatability	Improved (parent	consistency, new occurrence of
						rating)	abdominal pain, bloating, abdominal
				-Placebo and	-safety: side		distension, excessive gas, or diarrhoea.
				glucomannan	effects	Fibre (n= 31): 68%	Stool consistency was assessed rating
				doses:			the stool consistency as hard like rocks,
				100 mg/kg body		to placebo treatment	pellets= 0, firm = 1, soft like banana = 2,
				weight daily			loose like milkshake = 3, and watery = 4
				(maximal 5		Outcomes controlled	
				g/day), rounded to		for confounders	Successful treatment rated by physician
				the nearest 500			and defined as ≥ 3 bowel movements
				mg, because each			per week and ≤ 1 soiling episode in the
				capsule contained			last 3 weeks with no abdominal pain.
				500 mg. Each			Parents' global assessments: whether
				capsule either		low or acceptable	they believed that the child was better
				opened and		fibre intake (P>0.6)	during the first or second treatment
				sprinkled on food given with 50 mL		- more children with	period
				of fluid per			No significant differences in baseline
				capsule; given as		laxative group (78%	characteristics between the 2 groups
				a solution,		vs. 31%; P<0 .02),	characteristics between the 2 groups
				whereby the			46 children originally recruited. 13
				content of each		children in the	children did not show up for the 4-week
				500-mg capsule		laxative group were	follow-up: 7 children randomized to
				was mixed with 50		treated successfully	placebo first and 6 children randomized
				mL of fluid of the			to fibre first. 2 constipated girls
				child's choice; or		placebo	completed the first 4 weeks of the study
				swallowed as a		(P <0 .01)	only: 1 received placebo and 1 received
				capsule with 50		,	fibre; both recovered from chronic
				mL of fluid for		- Children with	constipation and abdominal pain during
				each capsule.		constipation only	the first 4 weeks of treatment and did
						were significantly	not return for the 8-week visit. Data from
				In addition,			the 13 children who entered the study
				parents instructed		treated successfully	and were randomized but did not come
				to have the child		with fibre	for follow-up and the 2 children who did
				sit on the toilet 4			not complete the study were excluded
				times daily after			from the analysis. Initial data of these 15
				meals and to keep		encopresis (28%;	children not significantly different from

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	a stool diary. No enemas given	Measures	P<0.04)	the data of the 31 children who completed the study, except soiling
				during each treatment period, unless rectal disimpaction felt during rectal		Safety No significant side effects such as new onset of abdominal pain, bloating,	frequency per week was significantly less $(4.0 \pm 1.4; P<0.001)$. Data analysis includes 31 children with functional constipation with or without encopresis
				examination at assessment visits		abdominal distension, excessive gas, diarrhoea, or anaphylactic symptoms	Reviewer comments: No definition of soiling given. Unclear how different this would be from the authors' definition of encopresis
						reported	High dropout rate: 28%. ITT analysis not performed
							Source of funding: DicoFarm (Rome, Italy) provided research support and the medications for the study
Castillejo et al.		56 children	56 children	Intervention:	Duration of	No. of bowel	Additional information from study:
A controlled,	Double-blind			cocoa husk	treatment	movements per week	Chronic functional constipation defined
randomized,		<u>Inclusion</u>		supplement rich	4 weeks	(mean ± SD)	in accordance with Rome II diagnostic
double-blind	study)		Mean age 6.3 ±	in dietary fibre +	A	Difference (95% CI):	criteria, by the presence, for at least 12
trial to evaluate	E. delenes	Children aged	2.2 years	standardized toilet		0.07 (0.70 to 0.40)	(not necessarily consecutive) weeks in
the effect of a	<u>Evidence</u>	3 to 10 years referred to	Country	training procedures	point (s): Immediately	0.67 (-0.76 to 2.10) p=0.780	the preceding 12 months, of at least 2 of the following symptoms: straining in
supplement of cocoa husk that	level: 1+	paediatric	Country: Spain	procedures	after treatment	p=0.760	>25% of defecations; lumpy or hard
is rich in dietary		gastroenterol	Spairi	1 sachet (5.2 g):	finished	-Cocoa husk group	stools in >25% of defecations: a
fiber on colonic		ogy		4 g cocoa husk +	IIIIISIIEG	Basal (<i>n</i> =24): 3.86	sensation of incomplete evacuation in
transit in		outpatients'		1 g	Follow-up	±2.05	>25% of defecations; a sensation of
constipated		clinic between		betafructosans	period:	Final (<i>n</i> =24): 6.16	anorectal obstruction/blockage in >25%
pediatric	palatable	January 2004			No follow-up	±3.35	of defecations; a need for manual
patients. 2006.		and April		(53.2 g of fibre	made after	Difference (95% CI):	manoeuvres to facilitate >25% of
Pediatrics		2005 with		(39.6 g of total	treatment	2.40±3.16	defecations (e.g., digital evacuation,
118[3], e641-		chronic		fibre and 13.6 g of	finished		support of the pelvic floor); and <3
e648	fibre on	constipation		betafructosans)		-Placebo group	defecations per week
	intestinal			per 100 g of	<u>Outcome</u>	Basal (n=24): 3.18±	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
information	Level	Tatients	S	Companison	Measures		
	transit time	Exclusion		product. Insoluble	Measures:	1.93	Treatment was blinded to both patients
	and other	<u>criteria</u> :		fibre 37.2% and	-number of	Final (<i>n</i> =24): 5.08	and investigator until the study was
	indices of	Presence of		soluble fibre 2.4%		±2.10	completed and analyzed. Patients
	constipation in			of total fibre		Difference (95% CI):	randomly assigned to treatment 1 or 2 in
		impaction that		Cellulose and	week	1.73 ±1.73	a ratio of 1:1. A randomization list was
	idiopathic	required		uronic acids the			designed by the manufacturers of the
		enema in the		main type of	-stool	Hard stool	supplement and the placebo (Madaus
		7 days before		insoluble fibre and	consistency	consistency (%	SA) using a computer random-number
		the start of		soluble fibre,		<u>children)</u>	generator in 20 blocks of 4 patients
		the study,		respectively)	-pain with	-Cocoa husk group	each. The details of the randomization
		treatment with			defecation	Basal (n=24): 95.8	codes were kept in sealed envelopes
		dietary fibre,		Comparison:		Final (<i>n</i> =24): 41.7	away from the investigators. Only in
		bulk-forming		placebo +	-safety		cases of the utmost necessity (e.g.
		agents, or		standardized toilet		-Placebo group	serious adverse events) did the
		laxatives in		training		Basal (<i>n</i> =24): 95.8	coordinator of the study allow the
		the 2 weeks		procedures		Final (<i>n</i> =24): 75.0	investigator to know the treatment
		before the				P=0.017	assigned to the patient
		start of the		1 sachet (5.2 g):			
		study,		glucose, cocoa		<u>Subjective</u>	Because of lack of previous studies and
		constipation		flavouring, and			likelihood of methodological difficulties
		attributable to		excipients		consistency (n	(in the evaluation of the main
		organic or				children)	parameters) in carrying out a study on
		anatomic		-doses for both		P=0.039	this kind of population, authors designed
		causes		products:			a pilot study with a minimum sample
		(Hirschsprung		01:11			from the statistical point of view
		's disease,		Children aged 3 to		(n=24)	
		hypothyroidis		6 years: 1 sachet		Improvement : 14	Fibre supplement and placebo
		m, mental		before lunch and		No Improvement: 10	administered as a soluble powder in
		deficiency,		1 sachet before		Diagoba arrayın (n. 24)	sachets of identical weight (5.2 g) and
		psychiatric		dinner		Placebo group (<i>n</i> =24)	presentation
		illnesses,		Children and 7 to		Improvement : 6	At becaling and often 4 weeks of
		chronic		Children aged 7 to		No Improvement: 18	At baseline and after 4 weeks of
		debilitating		10 years: 2 sachets		Subjective	treatment, investigators evaluated bowel
		diseases,				improvement in pain	movement habits and stool consistency
		neurologic		before lunch and		P=0.109	using a diary completed by patients'
		abnormalities,		dinner		Cocoa husk group	parents; and received a subjective
		or previous		Doronto instructed		(n=24)	evaluation from the parents regarding
		surgery of the		Parents instructed		Improvement : 16	the efficacy of the treatment. Adherence

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
		colon or anus), renal insufficiency, hypocalcemia, hyperkalemia, or any other metabolic diseases at the start of the study; long-term use of drugs that affect gastrointestin al motility (e.g. imipramine, iron or calcium supplements, anticonvulsan ts), inability to adhere to the study's medications or procedures		to dissolve content of the sachets in 200 mL of whole milk before ingestion		No Improvement: 8 Placebo group (n=24) Improvement : 11 No Improvement: 13 Safety No significant adverse effects, such as a new onset of abdominal pain, bloating, abdominal distension, excessive gas, diarrhoea, or anaphylactic symptoms, reported during the 4-week period with either treatment No significant changes between groups in relation to hemoglobin concentrations; hematocrit; serum ferritin; or plasma levels of zinc, iron, or calcium	to the intervention evaluated by the same investigator using a visual analogical scale (in the case of standardized toilet training procedures) and counting the empty sachets that were returned No significant differences in baseline characteristics between the 2 groups 8 children withdrew from study before its completion (5 children discontinued study because of the difficulty of the protocol, and 3 were excluded because of the presence of positive antigliadin and antiendomysium antibodies). Data refer only to 48 participants who completed the study Reviewer comments: Study not controlled for potential confounders ITT analysis not performed Source of funding: Study supported by Madaus, SA, and by grants from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Red de Centros RCMN (C03/08), and Red de Grupos (G03/140), Madrid, Spain. One author had received consulting or lecture fees from Madaus Laboratories and another one belonged to Madaus Laboratory
MAFFIA. Treatment of	Study Type: Open label	200 children	200 children	Intervention: Prune-Malt ®	Duration of treatment	Returned to normality (number of children)	Additional information from study: Diagnosis of constipation made on the

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
functional constipation with prune-malt. 1955. Archives of Pediatrics 72[10], 341-346	To evaluate the effectiveness in the treatment of functional constipation in infants and	years with functional constipation Exclusion criteria: Organic constipation	age range: 3 months to 8 years gender not reported Country: USA	added to diet -Infants 3 weeks to 1 year old: 2 tablespoonfuls daily added to milk or juice -children 1 to 4 years: 3 tablespoonfuls daily added to milk or food -children 4 to 8 years: 4 tablespoonfuls daily added to milk or food (no changes made in usual diet, no drugs given) Comparison: No intervention	Assessment point (s): Immediately after treatment completed Follow-up period: No follow-up made after treatment finished Outcome Measures:	Prune-Malt ®: 28 Controls: 16 Improved (number of children) Prune-Malt ®: 51 Controls: 25 Not improved (number of children) Prune-Malt ®: 21 Controls: 59 Acceptability (number of parents) Good: 132 Fair: 47 Poor: 21	following: 1) decreases in frequency of stools as compared to the child's usual bowel habits, 2) passage of hard, dry stools Wherever possible, cases of equal severity and ages were equally divided between the 2 groups All mothers given a card to record daily number and description of stools, all associated findings if any and acceptability of Prune Malt by the child Reviewer comments: No sample size calculation performed No comparison made between baseline characteristics No definitions/scoring system given for: "improvement", "no improvement", "return to normality", "good", "fair" and "poor" No dropouts/lost to follow-up children reported Study not controlled for potential confounders Source of funding: Prune-Malt provided by the Benson-Nuen Laboratories Inc., New York No other details provided
Tse et al. Dietary fibre intake and	Prospective	20 children Inclusion	20 children age range 3 to	Intervention: Fibre supplementation:	Duration of treatment	Number of laxatives per week	Additional information from study: Definition of constipation: in the centre where the study was conducted if a child

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
constipation in children with severe developmental disabilities. 2000. Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health 36[3], 236-239Australia.	(pilot study) Evidence level: 3 Study aim: To evaluate fibre intake of severe developmenta lly disabled	criteria: severe developmenta lly disabled children able to take oral feeding and medically stable Exclusion criteria: Not stated	17 years gender not reported Country: Hong Kong	wheat bran (All Bran ® , Kellogg) added in breakfast -Stage 1: 15 g added to each serving of breakfast (total fibre intake, 17g) -Stage 2: 19 g added to each serving of breakfast (total fibre intake, 21g) Comparison: N.A	supplementation stage 1: 20 days -normal diet, no supplementation:10 days - supplementation stage 2: 6 weeks	-at baseline: 1.22 (SD 0.36) -at end of stage 1: 0.9 (SD 0.75) p<0.05 as compared to baseline -at end of stage 2: 0.7 (SD 0.40) p<0.01 as compared to baseline N.S comparing stage 1 and 2	does not have a spontaneous bowel movement for 2 consecutive days a laxative is administered. Those who need more than 1 laxative per week are defined as having constipation Baseline fibre intake around 2g/day Reviewer comments: Unclear who measured study outcomes and how Outcomes for bowel movements not reported in paper Source of funding: Study sponsored by the Society for Relief of Disabled Children, Pokfulam, Hong Kong. 'All Bran' ® sponsored by Kellogg's Asia Ltd Wanchai, Hong Kong

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Bu et al.	Level Study Type:	45 children	s 45 children	Intervention:	Measures Duration of	Defecation frequency	Additional information from study:
Lactobacillus	double-blind	40 Gilliaich	23 male	MgO 50 mg/kg	treatment:	(times/day)	Chronic constipation defined as a stool
casei	RCT	Inclusion	20 maio	per day, twice a	4 weeks	-MgO (n=18)	frequency of <3 times/week for >2
rhamnosus	I.O.I	criteria:		day	+ WCCKS	0.55 ± 0.13	months and at least 1 of the following
Lcr35 in	Evidence	children	Age (months,	aay	Assessment	0.00 = 0.10	minor criteria: anal fissures with
children with	level:	under 10	mean, SD)	Comparison 1:	point (s):	-probiotic (n=18)	bleeding due to constipation, faecal
chronic	1+	years old with	, , , , , ,	Lcr35 8 X 10^8	Immediately	0.57 ± 0.17	soiling or passage of large and hard
constipation.		chronic	-MgO group	c.f.u/day	after treatment		stool
	Study aim:	constipation	32.4 ± 13.9	(Antiobiophilus	completed	-placebo (n=9)	
International	to investigate	•		250 mg, 2	'	0.37 ± 0.10	Children randomly assigned into the 3
49[4], 485-490	the effect of	Exclusion	-Probiotic group	capsules, twice a	Follow-up		groups according to a computer -
1 2	Probiotics	criteria:	36.7 ± 14.5	day)	period:	MgO vs. probiotic NS	generated randomisation list
	(Lactobacillus	organic		*,	No follow up	Placebo vs. probiotic	
	case	causes of	-Placebo group	Comparison 2:	made after	P=0.006	Blinding achieved by the use of 3
	rhamnosus,	constipation	35 ± 14.7	Placebo (starch in	treatment	MgO vs. placebo	interventions with similar appearances
	Lcr35) alone	like		content)	finished	p=0.01	and placed into identical capsules,
	in the	Hirschsprung'	Country:				which were either swallowed o as a
	treatment of	s disease,	Taiwan		<u>Outcome</u>	Hard stool (%)	whole or opened and the contents of the
	chronic	spina bifida			Measures:	-MgO (n=18)	capsule administered in milk or fluid
	constipation in			Lactulose use		23.5 ± 7.9	
		hypothyroidis		(1mL/kg/day)	-frequency of		Throughout the duration of study all
	to compare	m, or other			defecation	-probiotic (n=18)	investigators, participants and data
	the effect with			stool passage		22.4 ± 14.7	analysts were blinded to the assigned
	magnesium	al		noted for 3 days.	-consistency of		treatment
	oxide (MgO)	abnormalities,		Glycerin enema	stools	-placebo (n=9)	
	and placebo,	drugs		used only when		75.5 ± 6.1	Sample size determined by doing
	respectively	influencing		no defecation for	-episodes of		primary trial with 9 patients using non-
		gastrointestin		>5days or	soiling		inferiority to test. Equivalent margin
		al function		abdominal pain		Placebo vs. probiotic	chosen with reference to effect of active
		other than		suffered due to	-episodes of	p=0.02	control in the data of preliminary trial.
		laxatives		stool impaction	abdominal pain	MgO vs. placebo	Unbalance design of allocation number
		(calcium				p=0.03	used for more interest in the new drug
		channel			-use of	A la ala maina a la maina	(Lcr35): allocation rate set at 2:2:1. One
		blockers,			lactulose or	Abdominal pain	sided significance level set at 0.05 and
		antidysrythmi			enema	(times) -MgO (n=18)	power was 80%. Under these assumptions the smallest sample size
		c agents, anticonvulsiva				4.8 ± 3.7	was 45 and the sample size of MgO,
		nts,				4.0 ± 3.1	Lcr35 and placebo was 18, 18 and 9
		IIIO,					Luiss and placebo was to, to and 9

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		antidepressan				-probiotic (n=18)	respectively
		ts,				1.9 ± 1.6	
		anticholinergi				placebo (p. 0)	No significant differences at baseline
		c agents)				-placebo (n=9) 6.7 ± 3.3	amongst the 3 group regarding: sex, age of enrolment, age of onset of
						MgO vs. probiotic	constipation, duration of constipation,
						p=0.04	previous treatment, defecation period,
						Placebo vs. probiotic	stool consistency, abdominal pain,
						p=0.01	faecal soiling, bleeding during
						MgO vs. placebo NS	defecation, use of enema, taking fruit or vegetable daily
						Use of glycerine	
						enema (times)	Patients asked to discontinue any
						-MgO (n=18)	laxatives previously prescribed 3 days
						1.3 ± 1.9	before entering protocol, and also asked
						11.4.4.40	to avoid any other probiotics, yogurt or
						-probiotic (n=18) 1.6 ± 1.9	beverage containing probiotics for at least 2 weeks before treatment and
							during therapy
						-placebo (n=9)	
						4.0 ± 2.1	All outcomes measures recorded by parents in a stool diary
						MgO vs. probiotic NS	
						Placebo vs. probiotic	4 patients discontinued medication
						p=0.04	during study period: 2 in MgO, 1 in
						MgO vs. placebo	probiotic, 1 in placebo group (2 patients suffered from acute gastroenteritis and
						p=0.03	2 patients lost to follow-up)
						No significant	2 patients lost to follow-up;
						differences regarding	Reviewer comments:
						use of lactulose and	Allocation concealment not described
						faecal soiling	
						amongst 3 groups	Not clear whether the 2 patients who
							suffered from acute gastroenteritis had it
						Patients with	as consequence of the study medication
						treatment success	Otrodo material and familiar transfer t
						(%) MaO (n=19): 72.2	Study not controlled for potential
						-MgO (n=18): 72.2	confounders

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level				measures	-probiotic (n=18): 77.8	Source of funding: not stated
						-placebo (n=9): 11.1	
						MgO vs. probiotic NS Placebo vs. probiotic p=0.01 MgO vs. placebo p=0.01	
						no adverse effects noted in probiotic and placebo groups, only 1 patient in the MgO group suffered from mild diarrhoea	
Banaszkiewicz	Study Type:	84 children	84 children	General:	Duration of	Treatment success	Additional information from study:
et al.	Triple-blind			Rectal	treatment	<u>(%)</u>	Allocation sequence and randomisation
Ineffectiveness	RCT	<u>Inclusion</u>	mean age	disimpaction	12 weeks	-At 12 weeks:	list computer generated by investigators
of Lactobacillus		criteria:	(months)	with phosphate		LGG (n=43): 72	
GG as an	<u>Evidence</u>		-lactulose +	and saline enema	(from weeks 13	Placebo (n=41): 68	Blinding achieved by the use of study
adjunct to	<u>level:</u>		LGG group	in all patients		N.S	products with similar appearances and
lactulose for the	1+	with	79 ± 47	before study	24, patients	A. O.4	tastes, packed identically and
treatment of	Ctudy oim	constipation defined as < 3	lo etulo o o	treatment	instructed to continue the	-At 24 weeks: LGG (n=43): 64	indistinguishable from each other. Throughout duration of study all
constipation in children: a	Study aim: To assess the	bowel	placebo group	Intervention:		Placebo (n=41): 65	investigators, participants, outcomes
double-blind,	effectiveness	movements	65 ± 36	Lactulose 70%, 1	or other	N.S	assessors and data analysts were
placebo-	of	per week for	00 ± 00	mL/kg/day (in 2	laxatives as	IN.O	blinded to the assigned treatment
controlled	~ .	at least 12	gender not	divided doses) +	needed	Spontaneous bowel	Difficulties to the assigned treatment
randomized	rhamnosus	weeks	reported	10^9 colony			No significant differences in baseline
trial. 2005.	GG (LGG) as			forming units	Assessment	(mean± SD)	characteristics between the 2 groups
Journal of	and adjunct to	Exclusion	Country:	(CFU) of	point (s):	-At 4 weeks	
Pediatrics	lactulose in	criteria:	Poland	lactobacillus	At 4, 8, 12	LGG (n=43):	All patients received stool diaries to
146[3], 364-369	the treatment	Constipation		rhamnosus GG		5.9 ± 2.3	record frequency of daily bowel
	of	caused by		(LGG)	Follow-up	Placebo (n=41):	movements, faecal soling, straining,

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Level constipation in children			Comparison: Lactulose 70%, 1 mL/kg/day (in 2 divided doses) + placebo		7.7 ± 5.4 N.S -At 8 weeks LGG (n=43): 6.1 ± 2.3 Placebo (n=41): 7.2 ± 3.8 N.S -At 12 weeks LGG (n=43): 6.1 ± 1.8 Placebo (n=41): 6.8 ± 3.1 N.S Episodes of faecal soiling per week (mean± SD) -At 4 weeks LGG (n=43): 0.9 ± 2.1 Placebo (n=41): 0.7 ± 1.5 N.S -At 8 weeks LGG (n=43): 0.8 ± 2.2 Placebo (n=41): 0.3 ± 0.8 N.S	stool consistency as well as any symptoms they consider important (e.g. abdominal pain, bloating, diarrhoea) Treatment success defined as ≥3 spontaneous bowel movements per week with no episodes of faecal soiling 5 children in LGG group discontinued intervention (4 clinical improvement, 1 abdominal pain) vs. 3 patients in placebo group (2 refused to participate, 1 provided other reason) Reviewer comments: Sample size calculation not performed Study not controlled for potential confounders ITT analysis performed Outcomes for stool consistency not reported Source of funding: Not stated
					percentage of patients using laxatives	-At 12 weeks LGG (n=43): 0.8 ± 1.8 Placebo (n=41):	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
					adverse events	0.3 ± 0.9 N.S	
						Straining frequency per week (mean± SD)	
						-At 4 weeks LGG (n=43):	
						1.6 ± 1.9 Placebo (n=41): 1.4 ± 1.9	
						N.S -At 8 weeks	
						LGG (n=43): 1.4 ± 1.7	
						Placebo (n=41): 1.4 ± 1.8 N.S	
						-At 12 weeks	
						LGG (n=43): 1.3 ± 1.5 Placebo (n=41):	
						1.6 ± 1.8 N.S	
						Patients using laxatives (%)	
						-At 24 weeks: LGG (n=43): 44 Placebo (n=41): 43	
						N.S	
						Adverse effects (% patients) LGG (n=43): 9	
						Placebo (n=41): 14.6 N.S	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Bekkali et al. The role of a probiotics mixture in the treatment of childhood	Study Type: Prospective case series (pilot study) Evidence	20 children Inclusion criteria: Children between 4 to	20 children 10 boys Median age: 8 years (4 to 16)	General: Disimpaction: rectal enema (Klyx: sodium- dioctylsulfosuccin ate and sorbitol)	Duration of treatment 4 weeks Assessment point (s):	LGG well tolerated. Side effects profile of LGG similar to that of placebo: 3 patients in LGG group vs. 5 patients in placebo group developed abdominal pain. 1 patients in LGG group developed vomiting and 1 in the placebo group experienced headache Frequency of bowel movements (BMs) per week, total sample -Baseline: 2.0 (1.0 to 5.0)	Additional information from study: Constipation defined by Rome III criteria as having at least 2 out of 6 of the following symptoms: bowel movements <3 times/week; faecal incontinence >2 times/week; faecal incontinence >2
constipation: a pilot study. 2007. Nutrition Journal 6, 17	level: 3 Study aim: to determine the	16 years of age referred to outpatient clinic with constipation	<u>Country:</u> The Netherlands	once daily for 3 days Intervention: Daily probiotics mixture of	At 2 and 4 weeks Follow-up period: No follow-up	-Week 2: 4.2 (0.0 to 16.0) p = 0.10 -Week 4:	times/week; large amounts of stools obstructing the toilet once in 10 days; painful defecation; withholding behaviour; palpable abdominal or rectal mass on physical examination
	therapeutic effect of a combination of probiotics strains, containing the bifidobacteria B. bifidus, B. infantis and B. longum and the lactobacilli	mental retardation, metabolic disease,		4 x 109 colony forming units (CFU), containing Bifidobacteria (B.) bifidum, B. infantis, B. longum, Lactobacilli (L.) casei, L. plantarum and L. rhamnosus	conducted after treatment finished Outcome Measures: Primary outcomes: -frequency of	and 3.8 (2.1 to 7.0) p = 0.13 Frequency of bowel movements (BMs) per week in 12 children presenting with <3 BMs per week at baseline: -Baseline: 1.0 (0.0 to 2.0)	7 days prior to baseline assessment and during treatment period all children recorded frequency of bowel movements, number of faecal incontinence episodes, stool consistency, abdominal pain, flatulence and pain during defecation as well as adverse effects such as vomiting and diarrhoea in a standardized bowel diary Stool consistency rated by patients as

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
	L. casei, L.	non-retentive			bowel		hard, normal or watery
	plantarum and	,		Comparison:	movements per		
	L. rhamnosus,			N.A	week	3.0 (0.0 to 7.0)	During treatment period children
		of gastro-				p = 0.01	instructed to start toilet training. Toilet
		intestinal			-stool		training consisted of sitting on the toilet
		surgery			consistency	-Week 4:	3 times per day for 5 minutes after each
						3.0 (0.0 to 10.0)	meal with the intention of trying to
					-	p = 0.009	defecate. Use of laxatives not allowed
					outcomes:		during treatment period
						Stool consistency	
					-number of	Hard stools (n	Reviewer comments:
					faecal	children):	No dropouts/lost to follow-up children
					incontinence	-Baseline: 7	were reported
					episodes per	144 1 0 4	
					week	-Week 2 : 4	Source of funding:
						p = 0.23	Not stated
					-incidence of	M1-4-0	
					adverse effects	-Week 4: 6	
						p = 1.00	
					vomiting and	At week 4 heard	
					diarrhoea	At week 4, hard	
						stools appeared in 5	
						children who also had hard stools at	
						baseline. 1 child with	
						normal stools at	
						baseline, reported	
						hard stools only at	
						the end of the study.	
						2 of the 7 children	
						who	
						presented with hard	
						stools, reported	
						normal stools at the	
						end of the study	
						Cha of the study	
						Number of faecal	
						incontinence	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						episodes per week	
						Baseline:	
						4.0 (0.0 to 35.0)	
						Week 2:	
						1.5 (0.0 to 14.0)	
						p = 0.007	
						p = 0.007	
						Week 4:	
						0.3 (0.0 to 7.0)	
						p = 0.001	
						Side effects	
						There were no side	
						effects such as	
						vomiting, bloating and	
						increased flatulence	
						during the study	
Vouna et el	Ctudy Type	108 children	90 children	Intomioni	Duration of	period Stool frequency	Additional information from attudy
Young et al. Increasing oral	Study Type: Open label	106 Children	90 children	Intervention: Increased water	Duration of treatment	(mean)	Additional information from study: Constipation Assessment Score based
		<u>Inclusion</u>	31 boys	intake: group	2 weeks	H2O (water)	on 8 variables assessed during the past
constipation in		criteria:	(47.46%)	instructed to	Z WEEKS	HiOsm (high	3 days: abdominal distension or
children. 1998.		Prepubertal	(17.1070)	increase water	Assessment	osmolality)	bloating, change in amount of gas
Gastroenterolog			mean age 7.5	intake by 50% on	point (s):	oomolality)	passed rectally, less frequent bowel
y Nursing 21[4],		moderate to	years (range	the basis of total	At week 2 and 3	-baseline:	movements, oozing liquid stools, rectal
156-161		severe simple		measured oral		Control: 3.45	fullness or pressure, rectal pain with
		constipation	years)	liquid intake	Follow-up	H2O: 3.52	bowel movement, smaller stool size,
		as assessed		during1st baseline		HiOsm: 3.75	urge but inability to pass stool. Each
	whether or not	by the	Country:	week	No follow-up		variable scored as 0, no problem; 1,
	_	Constipation	USA		made after	-week 2:	some problem and 2, severe problem.
		Assessment		Comparison 1:	treatment	Control: 4.05	
	.,	Score		Hyperosmolar	finished	H2O: 3.57	A gift certificate to a toy store was used
	excess water			liquids: group		HiOsm: 4.31	as incentive to return data collection
		Exclusion 		administered	<u>Outcome</u>		forms
	excess	criteria:		supplemental	Measures:	-week 3:	The second sections of 6000 sec0 section (
	hyperosmolar	Post pubertal		liquid in the form		Control: 3.40	The concentration of 600 mOsm/L

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	liquid intake	children,	5	of Kool-Aid, juice,		H2O: 3.70	chosen because it was considered to be
	would	hypercalcemi		soda pop or other		HiOsm: 3.44	a level above which a significant osmotic
	significantly	a,		liquids know to	-stool		load in the small bowel would result in
	alter the	Hirschsprung'		contain more than	consistency	Stool consistency	significant plasma to lumen flux. The
	course of	s disease,		600 mOsm/L		(mean)	50% increase arbitrarily chosen as being
		hypothyroidis			-difficulty of	-baseline:	feasible, >50% considered potentially
	constipation in	m, cardiac or		Comparison 2:	stool passage	Control: 6.30	burdensome for children/caregiver and
	children	renal		Control group: no		H2O: 6.13	probably not therapeutically obtainable
		disorders,		intervention			under normal situations
		children				-week 2:	
		receiving				Control: 6.33	Stool frequency, consistency and
		specialised				H2O: 5.99	difficulty with passage assessed daily by
		diets,					parents using a simple form. The Stool
		malnourished				-week 3:	Consistency Continuum previously
		children				Control: 6.30	developed by Bergstrom chosen to
		already				H2O: 5.79	evaluate stool form. Difficulty of passage
		receiving					scored as: 0, no problem; 1, some
		stool				Difficulty of stool	problem; 2 severe problem
		softeners or				passage (mean)	
		laxative				-baseline:	A second round of analysis excluded all
		preparations,				Control: 0.96	subjects who failed to comply with at
		children who				H2O: 0.78	least 75% of assigned intervention, and
		were				HiOsm: 0.77	this did not change the study outcomes
		physically or					
		intellectually				-week 2:	Reviewer comments:
		challenging				Control: 0.95	Sample size calculated on the basis of
		(?) or who				H2O: 0.84	preliminary power analysis but no details
		had an				HiOsm: 0.74	provided. Non probability convenience
		underlying					sample was used
		central				-week 3:	
		nervous				Control: 1.06	No comparison made of baseline
		system				H2O: 0.87	characteristics
		disease				HiOsm: 0.62	
						l	Methods of randomisation and allocation
						Neither increasing	concealment not described
						water intake nor	
						increasing	108 children originally included, but only
						hyperosmolar liquid	90 completed the entire study as

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	intake significantly increased stool frequency or decreased stool consistency or difficulty with stool passage within groups when comparisons were made with previous	assigned. 18 children failed to comply with 75% of the intervention, but there are no clear explanations as to why that happened Outcomes for stool consistency in the HiOsm group not reported Study not controlled for potential confounders
						weeks, or between the 3 groups during the same week	Source of funding: Not clearly stated
Staiano et al. Effect of the dietary fiber	Study Type: Case series	20 children Inclusion	20 children 14 boys	General: Disimpaction with enemas for 2 or 3	Duration of treatment 12 weeks	Number of stools per week (mean ± SD) -at 4 weeks	Additional information from study: Children fed by mouth with semi-liquid diet including formula and pureed food
glucomannan on chronic constipation in neurologically	Evidence level: 3	criteria: Severe neurologic damage,	mean age 5.7 ± 4.2 years Country:	days (not clear what medication used)	Assessment point (s): At 4, 8 and 12	Glucomannan (n=9): 4.0 ± 1.3 Placebo (n=10): 1.1 ± 0.2	No significant differences in baseline characteristics between 2 groups
impaired children. 2000. Journal of	the efficacy of	constipation of at least 12 months. In	Italy	Intervention: Glucomannan 100mg/kg 2 times	weeks Follow-up	-at 8 weeks Glucomannan (n=9):	1 patient receiving glucomannan withdrawn from study after 3 weeks of treatment because of concomitant
Pediatrics 136[1], 41-45	as a treatment	not possible		a day <u>Comparison</u> : Placebo		3.3 ± 1.0 Placebo (n=10): 2.5 ± 1.2	increase in seizure frequency associated with blood level of Phenobarbital below the therapeutic range
	children with	enema. All patients had severe			finished Outcome	-at 12 weeks Glucomannan (n=9): 3.8 ± 0.9	During study period a daily diary card was completed for recording symptoms,
	damage	/profound mental retardation (IQ level < 35)		Both glucomannan and placebo consisted of a 500-mg	Measures: Stool frequency	Placebo (n=10): 2.0 ± 0.6 p<0.01 for	dietary fibre intake, number of bowel movements per week, stool consistency, presence of painful defecation and use of laxative (lactulose 1g/kg/dose) or
		and exhibited severe clinical manifestation		capsule. Oral dose given by mixing the	Stool consistency	glucomannan group at all periods as	glycerol suppository. Arbitrary scoring system used for assessment of symptoms:

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		s of brain		contents of one	Presence of		-stool consistency: 1, pellets; 2, hard; 3,
		damage		capsule with 100	painful	Stool consistency	soft; 4, loose; 5, liquid
		etiologically		mL of water	defecation	score (mean ± SD)	-presence of painful defecation: 1, often;
		related to				-at 4 weeks	2, occasionally; 3, none
		prenatal or			Laxative use	Glucomannan (n=9):	
		perinatal				2.4 ± 0.5	Reviewer comments
		hypoxia: 12				Placebo (n=10):	No definition of constipation given
		patients had				1.3 ± 0.6	Van caralla anni a sina. Canania sina
		classical				at 0 wa alsa	Very small sample size. Sample size
		tetraplegia, 6 severe				-at 8 weeks Glucomannan (n=9):	calculation not performed
		spastic				2.8 ± 0.7	Randomisation and allocation
		diplegia, 2				Placebo (n=10):	concealment
		persistent				1.3 ± 0.5	methods not described
		hypotonia				1.5 ± 0.5	inethods not described
		Пурогопа				-at 12 weeks	Blinding procedures poorly described
		Exclusion				Glucomannan (n=9):	Diriding procedures poorly described
		criteria:				2.7 ± 0.7	Unclear who measured study outcomes
		unclear				Placebo (n=10):	Choice mic modelines study cutosmics
						1.4 ± 0.7	Study not controlled for potential confounders
						p<0.01 for	
						glucomannan group	Source of funding:
						at all periods as	One of the authors supported by a grant
							from Dicofarm, Italy. No other details provided
						Painful defecation	
						score(mean ± SD)	
						-at 4 weeks	
						Glucomannan (n=9):	
						1.4 ± 1.1 (N.S as	
						compared to	
						baseline)	
						Placebo (n=10):	
						0.9 ± 0.8	
						-at 8 weeks	
						Glucomannan (n=9):	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						1.7 ± 1.4 (N.S as compared to	
						baseline) Placebo (n=10): 1.2 ± 0.8	
						-at 12 weeks Glucomannan (n=9):	
						1.9 ± 1.2 Placebo (n=10):	
						1.2 ± 0.9 p<0.01 for glucomannan group	
						as compared to baseline	
						Laxative use (number per week, (mean ±	
						SD) -at 4 weeks Glucomannan (n=9):	
						0.3 ± 0.8 Placebo (n=10): 2.0 ± 0.6	
						p<0.01 for glucomannan group as compared to baseline	
						-at 8 weeks Glucomannan (n=9): 0.5 ± 0.8 (N.S as	
						compared to baseline) Placebo (n=10): 1.8 ± 1.6	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	20101					-at 12 weeks Glucomannan (n=9): 0.3 ± 0.5 Placebo (n=10): 2.1 ± 0.4 p<0.01 for glucomannan group as compared to baseline	
Eigenberg et el	Study Type:	22 children	22 children	Intervention	Duration of	All outcomes for placebo group at all points were N.S as compared to baseline Prevalence of	Additional information from attudy:
Eisenberg et al. Contribution of	Non-RCT	22 Children	22 Children	Intervention: Trial of David Hart	Duration of treatment	constipation (number,	Additional information from study: Intervention and control children
stepping while	Non No	Inclusion	Intervention		6 months	% of children)	matched for age and sex
standing to	<u>Evidence</u>	criteria:	group (n=11):	device (to			J J
function and	<u>level:</u>	Aged	6 males		<u>Assessment</u>	-At entry:	HW device – The David Hart Walker
secondary	1-	between 3.5	mean age (yr)	stepping while	point (s):		(HW) Orthosis, a hands free walker
conditions			6.1±2.1	standing) in	at 6 months	HW: 6 (54.5)	provides weight-bearing support and leg
among children		at first visit,	0 () ()	addition to	after treatment	SF: 6 (54.5)	alignment while allowing upper extremity
with cerebral	To explore the		Controls (n=11)	physical therapy	initiated	NO	freedom, aiming to allow the action of
palsy. 2009. Pediatric	feasibility and		: 6 males	sessions.	Follow up	NS	stepping while standing
Physical	efficacy of stepping while	. 3		Beginning with 30 minute sessions 4		-at 6 months:	Constipation defined as 2 bowel
Therapy 21[1],		function	mean age (yr) 6.7±1.6	times a week,	None after	-at 6 months.	movements per week, or 2 of the
79-85		classification	0.7 ±1.0	parents and	intervention	HW: 1 (9.1)	following on more than 1 of 4 occasions:
Einsberg et al.		system	Country:	children	period finished	SF: 6 (54.5)	straining, hard stools and a feeling of
2009		(GMFCS)	Israel	encouraged to	portou initoriou	01 : 0 (0 1:0)	incomplete evacuation
2000		level 4 or 5,	ioraor	use device at	Outcome	p = 0.02	intermptete evacuation
	•	inability to		home	Measures:		Diary of bowel function kept by parent
	conditions	stand and		-	Prevalence of		and/or the physical therapist and
		walk with		Comparison:	constipation		maintained throughout follow-up period
		traditional		Program in	·		used to assess for constipation
	severe	walker/rollator		standing frame			
	cerebral palsy	due to		(SF) (passive			At baseline children in the HW group

	udy Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
(CP	u e c c a s a s p fil c c o a l e <u>E</u> <u>c</u>	nsufficient upper extremity control, attempts steps when in a supported standing cosition, lexion contractures of the hips and knees of ess than 30° Exclusion criteria: Not stated		standing) as part of physical therapy session. 30-minute sessions 4 times a week, parents and children encouraged to use SF at home			had higher significant mean scores in the self-care and social function domain of the Paediatric Evaluation of Disability Inventory (PEDI) score than children in the SF group Reviewer comments Very small study population No dropouts/loss to follow-up reported PEDI scores may have confounded the effects of the intervention and this was not accounted for Source of funding: Not stated

Effectiveness of excluding Cow's Milk from the Diet in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
imormation	Level	Tatients	S	Companison	Measures		
lacono et al.	Study Type:	65 patients	Age (mo)	Intervention:	Follow-up	Observation period	The order of treatment was randomly
Intolerance of	Cross over		34.6+-17.1	Excluding cow's	period: Mean:	<u>(n=65)</u>	assigned by a computer-generated
cow's milk and	randomised	33 patients		milk and its	10 months		method with the individual patient as the
chronic	controlled trial	received	Sex M/F 29/36	derivatives from	(range 3 to 20)	Number of bowel	unit of randomisation. The researchers
constipation in		cow's milk		the diet of children		movements: 4	were unaware of the order of the
children. 1998.	<u>Evidence</u>	and 32 soy		with constipation	<u>Outcome</u>	Median: 3-5	treatment.
New England	level: 1+	milk during			Measures:	25th to 75th	
Journal of		the first study		Comparison:	Number of	percentile	At baseline and end of two study periods
Medicine		period		Cow's milk vs. soy	bowels		children were examined by a researcher
339[16], 1100-				milk	movements	Qualitative faecal	who was unaware of laboratory test results
1104United		32 patients			Children with	score	and histological findings
States.		received		Weeks 1-2:	eight or more	1: 0	
		cow's milk		observation	bowel	2: 0	To ensure that children did not receive any
		and 33 soy		period	movements	3: 65	other kind of milk-containing food during
		milk during		all medication	during a		the study periods parents were given a list
		the second		stopped	treatment	Weeks 3-4 and 6-7	of most common milk-containing food to
		study period			period were	-Cow's milk group:	be avoided
				Weeks 3-4: one	considered to		
		<u>Inclusion</u>		group received	have a	Number of bowel	6 patients were withdrawn from the study
		criteria:		cow's milk and	response	movements:	during the cow's-milk study period (on
		consecutive		unrestricted diet		Median: 4	days 9-12) because of the reappearance
		children		and the other had	Qualitative	25th to 75th	of constipation and other related disorders.
		referred by		cow's milk and its	faecal score	percentile: 3-5	For these children the number of bowel
		family		derivatives	1: mushy or		movements per period was prorated.
		paediatricians		excluded from diet		Qualitative faecal	Intention to treat analysis was used
		to a paediatric		and received soy	2: soft faeces	score	
		gastroenterol		milk instead	and no pain in	1: 0	The mean (±SD) daily consumption was
		ogy clinic			passing stools	2: 0	450±120 ml of soy milk and 470±135 ml of
		diagnosed		Week 5: washout	3: hard faeces	3: 65	cow's milk. Analysis of the main
		with chronic		period for both	and difficulty		constituents of the diet (proteins,
		constipation.		groups,	and pain on	-Soy milk group:	carbohydrates and fibres) did not show
		Chronic		unrestricted diet	passing stools		any qualitative or quantitative variation
		constipation		and intake of soy		Number of bowel	during the study period (data not shown).

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		defined as		or cow's milk and		movements	
		chronic faecal		its derivatives		Median: 10	Patients were highly selected and this
		retention (one			movements and		might have led to overestimate the
		bowel		Week 6-7:		percentile: 4-12	frequency of cow's milk intolerance as a
		movement		patients switched	faecal score		cause of constipation. Paediatricians who
		every 3 to 15		to the other type		Qualitative faecal	referred the patients may have preselected
		days) often		of milk	by parents	score	them having being the centre where the
		associated				1: 2	study was conducted experience in the
		with		Total amount of		2: 42	treatment of food allergies. The inclusion
		abdominal		milk given to the		3: 21	of patients with no response to laxatives
		symptoms		patient during the			may have also contributed to this issue.
		(abdominal		two weeks: 5-10		p values were <	
		pain, painful		litres		0.001 for all variables	
		defecation					
		and so forth)		Bottles coded A or		Challenge with cow's	
				B by hospital		milk (n=44)	
		<u>Exclusion</u>		dispensary			
		criteria:		Infants < 15		-Placebo group (soy	
		Anatomical		months age:		milk): 0 clinical	
		causes		formula based on		reactions	
		(Hirschsprung		cow's milk			
		's disease,		(Transilat,		-Cow's milk group: 0	
		spinal		Plasmon, Milan,		acute reaction, but in	
		disease)		Italy) or formula		all patients	
		another		based on soy		constipation	
		disorder		(Plasmonsoy,		associated with hard	
		(hypothyroidis		Plasmon).		stools and discomfort	
		m,		Children > 15		on defecation	
		psychomotor		months age:		reappeared after 5-10	
		retardation),		commercially		days on the diet.	
		prior anal		available whole		Cow's-milk-free diet	
		surgery,		cow's milk or soy		was recommenced,	
		medication		milk		with a consequent	
		that can				normalisation of	
		cause		After the two		bowel movements in	
		constipation		study periods		all patients	
		(chlorpromazi		children with a			
		ne) and		response to		Follow-up:	

Bibliographic	Study Type &		Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
		referral for		cow's-milk free			
		other reasons		diet were given		0 children with	
				the soy-milk diet		response had	
				for another month		constipation	
				and then		·	
				underwent a 2-		Cow's milk	
				week double-blind		reintroduced into the	
				challenge with		diets of 15 children	
				cow's milk at		after 8-12 of cow's	
				hospital. Children		milk-free diet and in	
				were randomly		all cases constipation	
				assigned to		returned within 5-10	
				receive cow's milk		days	
				or a placebo			
				containing soy		Children with no	
				milk. If no clinical		response to soy-milk	
				reactions were		diet were treated with	
				observed within		high doses of	
				12 hours, patients		laxatives, with	
				were discharged		subsequent	
				and the challenge		improvement in stool	
				continued at		frequency. In all	
				home with bottles		cases symptoms	
				coded A or B by		returned once	
				the hospital		treatment with	
				dispensary.		laxatives was stopped	
				Challenge was			
				stopped when a			
				clinical reaction			
				occurred, in			
				particular when			
				there were not			
				bowel movements for 72 hours and			
				the patient had			
				abdominal pain, perianal lessons			
				or both.			
į.				טו טטנוו.		1	

Carroccio et al. Carroccio et al. Chronic Case series constipation and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33-42Norway. Level Sadinavian Chronic Case series constipation and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2015. Case series controlled controlled controlled constipation. 2015. Case series constipation and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2015. Case series controlled controlled controlled controlled controlled constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the outpatients of the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and sll its derivatives ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and sll its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and sll its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food to the study periods parents were diet vs. soy milk vs. ass's milk and all its derivatives and the containing food to the study periods parents were	/
Chronic constipation and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33-42Norway. Chronic constipation and food intolerance infants and children with chronic constipation. 2005. Gase series and embedded infants and children with chronic constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33-42Norway. Chronic constipation and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33-42Norway. Chronic constipation and food infants and children with children with chronic constipation of cow's milk and all its derivatives of milk and all its derivatives of milk and all its derivatives of movements/we are good intolerance (n=30) and controlled constipation of cow's milk and all its derivatives of movements/we examined at the containing food intolerance (n=30) and controlled constipation of cow's milk and all its derivatives of movements/we examined at the containing food intolerance (n=30) and constipation of cow's milk and all its derivatives of movements/we examined at the containing food intolerance (n=30) and controlled on the exclusion of cow's milk and all its derivatives of movements/we examined at the containing food intolerance (n=30) and controlled on the exclusion of cow's milk and all its derivatives of movements/we examined at the containing food intolerance (n=30) and controlled on the exclusion of cow's milk and all its derivatives of movements/we examined at the containing food intolerance (n=30) and controlled on the exclusion of cow's milk challenge not describe on the study periods parents were good of movements/we examined at the study periods parents were good of movements/we exclusion of cow's milk challenge on the exclusion of cow's milk challenge or the study periods parents were good of movements/we exclusion of cow's milk challenge or the study periods parents were good of movements/we exclusion of cow's milk challenge or the study periods par	1
constipation and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology 40[1], 33-42Norway. Constipation and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterology 40[1], 33-42Norway. Constipation and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation and food intolerance (n=30) Comparison of cow's milk and all its derivatives Comparisons: milk and all its derivatives Comparisons: milk and all its derivatives Number of bowel movements/week: Number of bowel movements/week: Number of bowel movements/week: Number of bowel movements/week: Southleft of movements/week: South	
and food intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33-42Norway. Amodel for proctitis causing constipation unresponsive to previous Evidence (and the standard of the s	
intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33-42Norway. Fig. 42Norway. Constipation intolerance: A model of proctitis causing constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the containing food of proctitis causing constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the containing food of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33-42Norway. Comparisons: Treatments examined at the containing food of proctitis causing constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the containing food of proctitis causing constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the containing food of proctitis causing constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the containing food of proctitis causing constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the containing food of proctitis causing constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the coutpatients clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the coutpatients clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the coutpatients of the study periods parents were good of most common milk-containing food the kind of milk-containing food of the study periods parents were good find the study periods parents were good of most common milk-containing food of the study periods parents were good find the study periods parents were good for movements/we ek Qualitative faecal score 1: mushy or 1: 0 1: mushy or 1: 0 2: of table common milk-containing food of the study periods parents were good from the study periods parents were good for movements/we ek 2: of movements/week: Median: 1.5 4: Device measures: Number of bowels movements/week: Median: 1.5 4: Device measures: Number of bowels movements/week: Median: 1.5 5: Of most common milk-containing food of the study periods parents were good for movements/w	
model of proctitis causing constipation. 2005. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33-42Norway. Scandinavian Lours and the constipation constipation constipation constipation constipation constipation unresponsive to previous treatments examined at the constipation constitution const	
proctitis causing constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33- 42Norway. Challenge Unresponsive to previous treatments examined at hospital. Chronic constipation	d
constipation. 2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33- 42Norway. To previous treatments examined at the outpatients Clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation To previous treatments examined at the outpatients clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation To previous treatments examined at the outpatients clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation To previous treatments diet vs. soy milk 2. Cow's milk vs. ass's milk Qualitative faecal score 1: mushy or liquid stool 2: soft faeces To previous treatments diet vs. soy milk 2. Cow's milk vs. ass's milk Qualitative faecal score 1: mushy or liquid stool 2: soft faeces To previous the kind of milk-containing food the kind of milk-containing food the study periods parents were go of most common milk-containing be avoided. Furthermore, they w to record the amount and type of children had eaten every day. From telephone contacts helped to ensure diet vs. soy milk 2: soft faeces 3: 30	
2005. Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33- 42Norway. Evidence Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y formal constipation of Gastroenterolog y 40[1] and the study periods parents were governed by the study periods parents were governed by movements/we ek sudity periods parents were governed by avoided. Furthermore, they were governed by avoided. Furthermore and the study periods parents were governed by avoided. Furthermore and the study periods parents were governed by avoided. Furthermore and the study periods parents were governed by avoided. Furthermore and the study periods parents were governed by avoided. Furthermore and the study periods parents were governed by avoided. Furthermore and the study pe	
Scandinavian Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33- 42Norway. Ievel: 3	
Journal of Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33- 42Norway. The outpatients clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation The outpatients outpatients clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation The outpatients outpatients ass's milk or record the amount and type of children had eaten every day. From the properties of the amount and type of a children had eaten every day. From the properties outpatients as a constant outpatient outpatients as a constant outpatient outpatients as a constant outpatient outpatient outpatients as a constant outpatient outpatient outpatient outpatients as a constant outpatient outpatie	
Gastroenterolog y 40[1], 33- 42Norway. Outpatients clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation Outpatients clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation Outpatients ass's milk Qualitative faecal score faecal score 1: mushy or 1: 0 telephone contacts helped to ensemble diet vs. soy milk free diet vs. soy milk 2: soft faeces 3: 30	
y 40[1], 33- 42Norway. Clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation Clinic of a hospital. Chronic constipation Chronic constipati	
42Norway. hospital. Chronic 1. Cow's milk-free diet vs. soy milk 2: soft faeces 2: soft faeces 3: 30 telephone contacts helped to ensage adherence to the diet	
Chronic constipation 1. Cow's milk-free liquid stool 2: 0 adherence to the diet 2: soft faeces 3: 30	
constipation diet vs. soy milk 2: soft faeces 3: 30	ıre
defined as and no pain in Patients with chronic constipation	
chronic faecal -2 weeks passing stools - Patients with by food intolerance showed at ba	
retention (one observation observation a: hard faeces constipation higher frequency of a personal higher	,
bowel period: all and difficulty unrelated to food previous food intolerance (p=0.0)	
movement medications and pain on intolerance (n=22): concomitant signs of food intolerance	
every 3 days stopped passing stools (bronchospasm five cases, rhiniti	
or more) with and at the end a Number of bowel cases, dermatitis two cases) (p=	
painful clean-out with both number of movements/week: patients with constipation unrelated by the state of th	a to 100a
elimination of single dose of bowels Median: 1.5 intolerance.	
hard stools polyethylene movements/we 25th to 75th No difference was observed between the stools and the stools are stools as a stool of the stool o	
associated glycol 4000 ek percentile: 1-2 24 patients with CM intolerance a	
with abdominal (0.75g/kg). and qualitative patients with multiple food intoler qualitative abdominal Normal diet, no faecal score Qualitative faecal outcome measures considered (in the faecal score).	
pain restrictions were recorded score bowel movements and qualitative	
by parents 1: 0 score), either at baseline or on election in the companison with the companison will be companison with the com	
criteria: milk free diet Children with 3: 22 intolerant to CM alone, patients s	
-a history of (without cow's leight or more)	
chronic milk derivatives bowel Elimination diet (p=0/04) and had a higher frequence (p=0/04) and h	
constipation too) movements period: family history of atopic disease (p	
lasting at during a	-0.03)
least 6 Infants < 15 treatment -Patients with food Analysis of the main constituents	=0.03)

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		months		months age: soy-	period were	intolerance (n=30)	diet (proteins, carbohydrates and fibres)
		-lack of		based (Nutrilon-	considered to		did not show any qualitative or quantitative
		response to a		soya, Nutricia,	have a	Number of bowel	variation during the study period (data not
		previous		Milan, Italy)	response	movements/week:	shown)
		increase in				Median: 5	
		dietary fibre		Children > 15	Normalised	25th to 75th	Patients with food intolerance (to CM only
		intake and/or		months age:	stools habits:	percentile: 4-7	or multiple) were treated as a group for the
		to laxative		commercially	bowel		purpose of analysing the data, therefore it
		treatment		available soy milk	frequency of at	Qualitative faecal	is not possible to offer specific data for the
		(milk of			least five	score	CM group only
		magnesia 1-2		Patients	evacuations/we	1: 2	
		ml per kg		unresponsive to	ek with the	2: 28	The high frequency of chronic constipation
		bodyweight,		CM-free diet	elimination of	3: 0	owing to food intolerance likely due to a
		polyethylene		placed on	soft stools,		selection bias, as mainly food-intolerant
		glycol 4000		oligoantigenic diet	without painful	- Patients with	patients are treated at the centre where
		mean dose		4 weeks (also	defecation	constipation	study was conducted.
		0.75 g per kg		excluding cow's		unrelated to food	
		daily)		milk): exclusively		intolerance (n=22):	Funding source: partly supported by a
		attempted for		rice, lamb,			grant from MURST and from the MiPAF
		at least one		carrots, ass's		Number of bowel	(progetto "ALICE", D.D. n 86 dated
		month		milk, olive oil and		movements/week:	30.01.2002)
		-regular		sugar		Median: 1.5	
		dietary intake		O Cavula maille va		25th to 75th	
		of cow's milk		2. Cow's milk vs.		percentile: 1-2	
		and derivatives		ass's milk		Qualitative faecal	
		Exclusion		Double-blind		score	
		criteria:		placebo-controlled		1: 0	
		-prior anal		challenge with		2: 0	
		surgery		cow's milk, after		3: 22	
		-use of		12 weeks, to all		5. 22	
		medication		patients cured on		Cow's milk challenge:	
		that can		CM-free or		No specific data are	
		cause		oligoantigenic		reported apart from	
		constipation		diet.		saying that in all	
		-referral for		Placebo: ass's		cases cow's milk	
		reasons other		milk		readministration	
		than chronic		If no clinical		caused the	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s		Measures		
	Level	constipation -anatomical /neurological causes of constipation (Hirschsprung 's disease, spinal disease, psychomotor retardation) -another disease causing constipation (hypothyroidis m, coeliac	S	reactions after 12 hours, patients were discharged and challenge continued at home with bottles coded A or B. Challenge was stopped when a clinical reaction occurred	Measures	reappearance of constipation within 5 days after commencing the challenge (median 2 days, range 1-5 days)	
		disease)					
lacono et al. Chronic	Study Type: Case series	27 infants	15 boys	Intervention: Excluding cow's	Follow-up period: monthly	Mean number (+-SD) of stools per day	Analysis of the patient's dietary diaries did not show any significant variations in daily
constipation as		<u>Inclusion</u>	Mean age: 20.6	milk and its	for a mean	during unrestricted	fibre and liquid intake during the various
	<u>Evidence</u>	criteria:	+- 13.4 months	derivatives from	period of 18	diet (UD) and during	study periods
cow milk	level: 3	referred to a	(range 5 to 36	the diet of children		CMP-free diet	
allergy. 1995.		paediatric	months)	with chronic	10 to 30		It is not reported whether any medication
Journal of		gastroenterol		constipation	months)	Stools from patients	was stopped at the beginning of the study
Pediatrics 126[1], 34-39		ogy clinic during the 12		Comparisons:	Outcome	on CMP-free diet -Cured (n=21)	Funding: not reported
120[1], 34-39		months		1. Cow's milk-free	Measures:	-Cureu (n=21)	<u>Funding</u> . Not reported
		preceding the		diet vs. soy milk/	<u>Measures.</u>	a. UD: 0.24+-0.10	
		study and		ass's milk	-Number of	b. 1rst CMP-free diet:	
		considered to		2. Cow's milk vs.	stools/day	1.04+-0.12	
		have		ass's milk	otoolo, aay	c. 1rst CMP	
		idiopathic			-Description of	challenge: 031+-0.14	
		constipation.		1. Cow's milk-free	stools +	d. 2nd CMP-free diet:	
		Diagnosis of		diet vs. soy milk/	Difficulty in	1.05+-0.11	
		constipation		ass's milk	passing them =		
		made on the			Qualitative	Significance: b and d	

Bibliographic	Study Type &		Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
		basis of a		-First 7 days: All	score	vs. a and c, p<0.0005	
		history of		patients were			
		reduced		being fed the	Qualitative	-Unimproved (n=6)	
		frequency of		same diet as at	score:		
		stools (one		the time of	3: hard faeces,	UD: 0.18+-0.12	
		evacuation		diagnosis: various	difficulty and	1rst CMP-free diet:	
		every 3 to 7		form of	ı ı <u> </u>	0.20+-0.13	
		days- and on		commercial	stools	CMP challenge: -	
		pain in the		formula derived	2: soft faeces,	CMP-free diet: -	
		passage of		from cow milk or	no pain		
		hard stools. In		whole cow milk	1: mushy or	Qualitative score:	
		all patients		and its derivatives	liquid stool		
		the frequency			During the	-Cured (n=21)	
		of stools per		-For the next	various study		
		day was lower		month: all patients	periods (as	a. UD: 2.85+-0.05	
		than the 3rd		started a cow's	recorded by	b. 1rst CMP-free diet:	
		percentile of		milk protein-free	parents):	1.90+-0.08	
		the values		diet. Three		c. 1rst CMP	
		observed in a		patients aged <		challenge: 2.75+-0.11	
		large		12 months were		d. 2nd CMP-free diet:	
		population of		fed a formula		1.85+-0.10	
		healthy		containing soy		(0.004)	
		subject		protein and the		(p<0.001)	
		participating		others received		1/ 0	
		in an Italian		soy milk or ass's		-Unimproved (n=6)	
		multicentre		milk (eight cases)		LID: 0	
		study		and all milk		UD: 3	
		Exclusion		derivatives were		1rst CMP-free diet: 3	
		criteria:		excluded		CMP challenge: - CMP-free diet: -	
		Hirschsprung'		After a menth.		CIVIP-Tree diet	
		s disease, mental		After a month: -Patients whose		Difficulty in passing	
		retardation		symptoms abated:		stools:	
		retaruation		cow milk		310015.	
				challenge. Cow		-Cured (n=21)	
				milk given for a		-Ouleu (II-21)	
				maximum of 10		a. UD:	
						B. 1rst CMP-free diet:	
				days, again an		D. TISI CIVIP-TIEE CIET.	

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome		
	Level		S		Measures		
				exclusion diet for		none had difficulty	
				1 month and then		c. 1rst CMP	
				a second cow milk		challenge: Painful	
				challenge. All		d. 2nd CMP-free diet:	
				challenges were		none had difficulty	
				performed in			
				hospital. Before		During the second	
				the challenge a		challenge symptoms	
				prick test was		reappeared within 24	
				performed with		to 48 h: all 21	
				CMP. In patients		patients had painful	
				with a negative		passage of stools and	
				result, the		for this reason	
				challenge was		challenge was	
				performed by		suspended on the	
				giving whole cow		third day	
				milk in a singles			
				feeding; if there		-Unimproved (n=6)	
				were no clinical			
				reactions, the		Control: ?	
				same food was		1rst CMP-free diet: no	
				given the		changes	
				following days. In		CMP challenge: -	
				patients with a		CMP-free diet: -	
				positive test			
				result, the		Follow-up period:	
				challenge was		Reintroduction of cow	
				performed by		milk was cautiously	
				giving a formula		attempted in 16	
				containing CMP,		children 6-9 months	
				beginning with an		after the diagnosis of	
				initial quantity of		CMPA-dependant	
				10 ml and		constipation. In eight	
				gradually		children CMP did not	
				increasing the		cause the onset of	
				amount to reach		any problems and it	
				the dose		was reintroduced on	
				equivalent to a full		a permanent basis; in	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	feeding after 48 hours. No other change in diet was made. Reintroduction of cow milk cautiously attempted in 16 children 6-9 months after the diagnosis of CMPA-dependant constipation -Patients with no abatement in symptoms: permanently given an unrestricted diet, except for one infant who had episodes of recurrent bronchospasm related to ingestion of cow milk	Measures	eight patients CMP led to the reappearance of constipation within 2 to 3 days after introduction, and these infants were still following CMP- free diet at the time the paper was written.	
lacono et al. Food intolerance and chronic constipation: manometry and histology study. 2006. European Journal of Gastroenterolog	Study Type: Case series and embedded randomised controlled challenge	36 consecutive infants and children with chronic constipation unresponsive to previous treatments, examined at	20 females Aged 9 months to 10 years (median 3.6 years)	Intervention: Cow's milk-free diet, with the exclusion of cow's milk and all its derivatives Comparisons: 1. Cow's milk-free diet vs. soy milk	Follow-up period: Not reported Outcome Measures: Number of bowel movements/we ek	Observation period: -Patients with food intolerance (n=17) Number of bowel movements/week: Median: 1.5 25th to 75th percentile: 1-2	To ensure that all children observed a correct elimination diet, parents were asked to record the amount and type of food their children had eaten each day. These diaries were analysed at the end of the study to evaluate adherence to the diet and the quantity of milk consumed Neither the parents nor the children were able to distinguish whether the bottles

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level	the end of the end	S	O O conte verille con	Measures		
y and	level:	the outpatient		2. Cow's milk vs.	A	0	contained asses' or cows' milk.
Hepatology	3	clinic of a		ass's milk	1 1	Qualitative faecal	A
18[2], 143-150		hospital		4 Cavula maille fina a	stools + child's	score	According to the authors the qualitative
		Paediatric		1. Cow's milk-free		1: 0	faecal score had been previously validated
		Gastroenterol		diet vs. soy milk:		2: 0	Devidencie din medical consideration de
		ogy Division.		0 1	passing stools =	3: 17	Randomisation method used during the
		Chronic		2-week	Qualitative	5	cow's milk challenge not described
		constipation		observation	faecal score:	- Patients with	0 15 1 1 1 1 1
		defined as		period: all		constipation	Specific data related to number of bowel
		less than 3		medications	1. Mushy or	unrelated to food	movements and qualitative faecal score
		bowel		stopped	liquid stools	intolerance (n=19):	were not reported for the challenge period.
		movements/r			Soft faeces		
		week with		4 weeks: all		Number of bowel	Analysis of the main constituents of the
		painful		patients on cow's		movements/week:	diet (proteins, carbohydrates and fibres)
		elimination of		milk free diet.		Median: 1.5	did not show any qualitative or quantitative
		hard stools		Infants < 15	and difficulty	25th to 75th	variation during the study period (data not
				months old	and pain on	percentile: 1-2	shown)
		Inclusion		received a	passing stools		
		criteria:		formula based on		Qualitative faecal	Patients with food intolerance (to CM only
		- a history of		soy (Nutrilon-	(All outcomes	score	or multiple) were treated as a group for the
		chronic		soya, Nutricia,	measures were	1: 0	purpose of analysing the data, therefore it
		constipation		Milan, Italy),	recorded by	2: 0	is not possible to offer specific data for the
		lasting at		children>15	parents)	3: 19	CM group only
		least 3		months old a			
		months		commercially	Normalised	Elimination diet	Funding: partly supported by a grant from
		-lack of		available soy milk.	stool habits: a	period:	MIUR and MiPAF: project "Alimetazione e
		response to a			bowel		celiachia (ALICE)", D.D. n 86 dated
		previous		Patients	frequency of at	-Patients with food	30.01.2002)
		increase in		unresponsive to	least three	intolerance (n=17)	,
		dietary fibre		CM-free diet	evacuations per	, ,	
		intake or to		placed on		Number of bowel	
		laxative		oligoantigenic diet		movements/week:	
		treatment		4 weeks (also		Median: 5	
		(milk of		excluding cow's		25th to 75th	
		magnesia 1-2		milk): exclusively	defecation	percentile: 3-7	
		ml/ kg of body		rice, lamb,			
		weight)		carrots, ass's		Qualitative faecal	
		-a regular		milk, olive oil and		score	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
		dietary intake		sugar		1: 1	
		of cow's milk				2: 16	
		and		2. Cow's milk vs.		3: 0	
		derivatives		ass's milk:			
						- Patients with	
		Exclusion		After 12 weeks:		constipation	
		criteria:		patients cured on		unrelated to food	
		-previous		cow's milk-free		intolerance (n=19):	
		evaluation for		diet and			
		chronic		oligoantigenic		Number of bowel	
		constipation		underwent a 2-		movements/week:	
		-anatomical		week double-blind		Median: 1.5	
		/neurological		placebo-controlled		25th to 75th	
		causes		challenge with		percentile: 1-2	
		(Hirschsprung		cow's milk. Asses'		ľ	
		's disease,		milk was used as		Qualitative faecal	
		psychomotor		placebo. If no		score	
		retardation)		clinical reactions		1: 0	
		-another		after 12 hours,		2: 0	
		disease		patients were		3: 19	
		(coeliac		discharged and			
		disease,		challenge		Cow's milk challenge	
		hypothyroidis		continued at		period	
		m)		home with bottles			
		-previous anal		coded A or B.		Reappearance of	
		surgery		Challenge was		constipation in all	
		-use of		stopped when a		cases (n=17), very	
		medication		clinical reaction		often associated with	
		that causes		occurred		painful defecation,	
		constipation				within 5 days after the	
		-referral for				commencement of	
		reasons other				the challenge	
		than				(median 2 days,	
		constipation				range 1-5 days).	

Psychological/Behavioural Interventions for Ongoing Treatment/Maintenance in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
van Diik et el	Level	404 abildras	s 134 children	Comorali	Measures	IRR: incidence rate	Additional information from study
van Dijk et al.	Study Type:	134 children	134 children	General:	Intervention		Additional information from study:
	Parallel-RCT		70.1	-Disimpaction:	period:	ratio	At entry, patients had to meet at least
therapy for	Fordal and a second	<u>Inclusion</u>	76 boys	daily Klyx enemas		RR: relative risk	2 of 4 criteria: defecation frequency< 3
childhood	<u>Evidence</u>	criteria:		(sodium-	and BT 12 visits	CT (n=67)	times per week, faecal incontinence ≥ 2
constipation: a	level:	Children with	age range: 4 to		during 22	BT (n=67)	times per week, passage of large
randomized,	1+	functional	18 years	ate and sorbitol;	weeks with		amounts of stool at least once every 7 to
controlled trial.		constipation		60 mL/day for	similar intervals	Defecation frequency	30 days (large
2008. Pediatrics		aged 4 to 18	-mean age:		between		enough to clog the toilet), or a palpable
121[5], e1334-		years referred		of age; 120	treatment	<u>CI)</u>	abdominal or rectal faecal mass
e1341		to the	CT group: 6.5	mL/day for	sessions		
	effectiveness		(2.1)	children > 6 years		-Post-treatment	After baseline measurement and if
		al outpatient		.	<u>Assessment</u>		written informed consent was given, a
		clinic at the	BT group: 6.9		point (s) &	CT: 7.2 (6.1 to 8.5)	research assistant performed a
	therapy with	Emma	(2.5)	was prescribed by	follow-up	BT: 5.4 (4.3 to 6.7)	telephone call to a randomization centre
	laxatives	Children's		paediatric	period:		and revealed the allocation to parents
	compared	Hospital	Country:	gastroenterologist		-Follow-up	immediately. A computer-based system
	with	between 11/	The	s before starting	At the last visit		used to generate a sequence of random
	conventional	2002 and	Netherlands	treatment	(post-treatment	CT: 6.6 (5.0 to 8.8)	group assignment for consecutive
	treatment in	August 2004			time point) and	BT: 5.3 (4.4–6.3)	patients. Random assignment stratified
	treating			-Maintenance:	6 months after		by age (4 to 8 years or ≥8 years) and
	functional	Exclusion		polyethylene	the 22-week	Group (main effect of	gender. Within 2 weeks after random
	constipation in	criteria:		glycol 3350, 1	treatment	BT):	assignment, patients received their 1rst
	childhood	Having		sachet (10 g) per	ended (follow-		treatment session
		received a		day, and if	up).	IRR=0.75 (0.59 to	
		comprehensiv		treatment	Time between	0.96) p=0.021	Sample size calculated to allow
		e BT in the		considered to	baseline	, .	detection of a 25% difference in the
		previous 12		have insufficient	assessment	Group x time	proportion of success between BT and
		months, use		effect dose	and follow-up:	(interaction effect of	CT. It was estimated that CT reached
		of drugs		increased by 1	~1 year	BT with measurement	success in 35% of the children at follow-
		influencing		sachet. If		at follow up):	up. Under the additional assumption of a
		gastrointestin		spontaneous	Outcome	.,	significance level of .05, a power of .80,
		al function		defecation	Measures:	IRR= 1.06 (0.75 to	and 2-sided hypothesis testing, a

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Illiorillation	Level	Fatients	S	Companison	Measures		
		other than		delayed for >3		1.50) p=0.758	minimal sample size of 124 with 62
		laxatives,		days, parents	-Primary		children in each group was determined
		organic		advised to give an	outcomes	Faecal incontinence	
		causes for		enema or			During treatment 2 (3.1%) of 64 in the
		defecation		bisacodyl	a. defecation	<u>CI)</u>	CT group and 9 (13.8%) of 65 in the BT
		disorders,		suppository of 5	frequency	_	group discontinued intervention
		e.g.		mg. In BT	per week	-Post-treatment	(P=0.054). At follow-up, 4 patients
		Hirschsprung'		preferred to give		07 04 (00 (50)	dropped out in CT. There was 1 loss of
		s disease,		oral bisacodyl	b. faecal	CT: 2.1 (0.8 to 5.8)	contact, and 3 children were referred for
		spina bifida		tablets of 5 mg	incontinence	BT: 5.0 (2.1 to 12.0)	BT directly after CT, making them
		occulta,		instead of rectal	frequency per	Falla	unsuitable for follow-up measurements.
		hypothyroidis m, or other		laxatives. During BT, paediatric	week	-Follow-up	Questionnaires were not returned by 3 patients in both intervention arms at
		metabolic or		psychologists	c. successful	CT: 6.4 (3.5 to 11.7)	posttreatment and by 9 patients (CT: 6;
		renal		adjusted laxative	treatment		BT: 3) at follow-up
		abnormalities		dose and	liealinent	B1. 0.0 (4.0 to 10.3)	B1. 3) at lollow-up
		abriorrianties		consulted	-Secondary	Group (main effect of	Except for painful defecation (65.0% CT
				paediatric	outcomes:	BT):	vs. 43.1% BT, P=0 .014), no significant
				gastroenterologist		2.7.	differences between the 2 groups in
				when necessary.	a. stool	IRR=2.36 (0.77 to	baseline sociodemographic factors or for
				In both treatment	withholding	7.31) p=0.135	clinical characteristics
				groups, patients	behaviour	, ,	
				kept a bowel diary		Group x time	Intent-to-treat analyses conducted.
							Because of withdrawal before treatment
				Intervention:		BT with measurement	start, dropouts during the study, failure
				Protocolised		at follow up):	to fill out questionnaires, or research
				behavioural			procedure violations, missing data
				therapy (BT)		IRR= 0.57 (0.12 to	occurred. Imputation of missing values
						2.61) p=0.467	used to make intent-to-treat analyses
				-developed by			feasible
				paediatric		Success, % (95% CI)	
				psychologists of			Treatment considered successful if
				the psychosocial			patients achieved a defecation
				department of our			frequency of ≥3
				hospital. Basic			times per week and a faecal
				assumption that phobic reactions		to 66.9)	incontinence frequency of ≤1 times per 2 weeks, irrespective of laxative use
				1 2		PP- 0.83 (0.60 to	weeks, irrespective or laxative use
				related to		RR= 0.83 (0.60 to	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s		Measures		
				defecation		1.14) p=0.249	Reviewer comments:
				can be reduced			Insufficient details on how outcomes
				and that adequate		-Follow-up	were measured
				toileting behaviour		CT: 57.3 (46.6 to	
				and appropriate		70.4) BT: 42.3 (31.8	Results controlled for confounders
				defecation		to 56.4)	
				straining can be			Source of funding:
				(re)acquired by		RR= 0.74 (0.52 to	funded in part by the Dutch Digestive
				teaching parents		1.05) p=0.095	Disease Foundation (SWO 02-16)
				behavioural			
				procedures and		Stool withholding	
				by behavioural		behaviour at follow-	
				play therapy with		up (% children with	
				the child in		<u>behaviour)</u>	
				presence of his or			
				her parents. The		CT: 13.8	
				protocol consists		BT: 10.6	
				of 2 age-related		NS	
				modules:			
				a module for			
				children aged 4 to			
				8 years and a			
				module for			
				children aged ≥8			
				years. Learning			
				process for child			
				and parents: 5			
				sequential steps			
				(know, dare can,			
				will, and do). This			
				approach is			
				derived from a			
				multidisciplinary			
				BT to treat			
				children with			
				defecation			
				disorders.			
				For all involved			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	2010.			psychologists, a detailed manual for both age-related modules available to ensure a standard delivery of therapy. Visits			
				lasted ~45 minutes			
				Comparison: Conventional treatment (CT)			
				-conducted by paediatric gastroenterologist			
				s, visits lasted ~20 to 30 minutes, laxative treatment and bowel diary			
				discussed. Patients and their parents received education to			
				explain that symptoms are not harmful and are			
				common in children with functional constipation and			
				that a positive, non-accusatory approach is			
				essential. Children			

	Study Type &		Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
	Level		3	instructed not to	Wiedsures		
				withhold stool			
				when they feel			
				urge to defecate.			
				Motivation			
				enhanced by			
				praise and small			
				gifts from the			
				paediatric			
				gastroenterologist			
				S			
Ritterband et al.	Study Type:	24 children	24 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Percentage change	Additional information from study:
An Internet	Parallel-RCT			Laxatives + Web	intervention:	from pre- to post-	Computer and internet access provided
intervention as	(multicentre)	<u>Inclusion</u>	19 boys	intervention	3 weeks	assessment	to all families who contacted the
adjunctive		/exclusion					research centre and met the inclusion
therapy for	<u>Evidence</u>	criteria:	mean age: 8.46	Comparison:	<u>Assessment</u>	Number of faecal	criteria
pediatric	level:		years (SD1.81)	Laxatives only	point (s):	accidents per week	
encopresis.	1+	between 6			3 weeks after	(mean, SD)	Participants received a \$25 gift
2003. Journal of			-Web group: 12		initial home visit	-Web group: 0.50	certificate to a local toy store for
Consulting and	Study aim:	-	children (10	children instructed		(.85)	completing the pre-treatment
Clinical			boys)	to start with a	Follow-up		assessment and another \$25 gift
Psychology		and have no	N. 107 I	basic regime of	period:	-No-Web group: 8.27	certificate for completing the post-
71[5], 910-917		medical	-No-Web group:	one square of Ex-	None	(13.83)	treatment assessment
	of an Internet-		12 children (9	Lax (senna), twice		Nicosale an afficación	Information or soulis a DM account has
	based version		boys)	a day	Outcome Magazirasi	Number of bowel movements (BM)	Information regarding BM assessed by
		constipation		-The Web site:	Measures: -number of	passed in the toilet	parent report on the Child Information
	toilet training	that could explain their	Country	Web-based	faecal accidents	passed in the tollet per week	Form. Question regarding child's bowel habits included such as number of BMs
		faecal	Country: USA	program for the	per week	-Web group: +152%	in toilet and use of toilet with / without
		incontinence	USA	treatment of	per week		parental prompts. Questions regarding
		in continience		paediatric	-number of		use of internet programme also included
				encopresis (U-	bowel		in post-treatment form for the
				CAN-POOP-TOO	movements	P-0.001	intervention group. The Virginia
						Bathroom use without	Encopresis/Constipation Apperception
				Child-focused	the toilet per	prompts	Test (VECAT) also administered. It
				programme,	week	-Web group: +109%	assesses bowel specific problems
				targets primarily 5		5 ,	related to the process of encopresis,
				to 10 years old	- bathroom use	-No-Web group: -	such as avoidance of the toilet, non

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
miormation	Level	i utionto	S	Companicon	Measures		
				children but was	without prompts		responsiveness to rectal distension cues
				designed to be		p=0.021	and fear of defecation pain. A generic
				used by child and			subscale included as a comparison
				parent (s)	with prompts	Bathroom use with	measure, addresses problem
				together			behaviours not related to bowel issues.
					-internet use	-Web group: +47%	The VECAT consists of 18 pairs of
				3 core modules	(most/least		drawings (9 pairs bowel-specific and 9
				take 60 to 90			parallel generic events) and child selects
				minutes to	the programme;	NS	the picture in each pair that best
				complete, all	preference		describes him/herself
				users instructed to		Internet use (Web	N
				review them	regarding	group only)	No significant differences in baseline
				during the first	individual cores	4. Maak waaful aanaak	characteristics between the 2 groups
				week:	an modules)		(age, gender, race, stage of bowel
				1. The body		of the programme:	movement training, length of current
				(anatomy,		-the step by step	laxative regime or any of the outcomes
				physiology and pathophysiology		program to get the child regulated	measured)
				of digestion)		-understanding why	CM1: anatomy and pathophisiology
				2. How to poop			CM2: medication (enemas/laxatives)
				(behavioural		needs to do every	CM3: behavioural intervention
				techniques for		day-and what	Olvio. Beriavioural intervention
				treatment of		happens when he	Reviewer comments:
				encopresis)		doesn't have a BM	No definition of constipation / soling
				3. Medication			given
				(clean-out and			Small sample size, no sample size
				laxative		mation was	calculation
				treatment)		tremendously useful	Randomisation and allocation
				,			concealment method not described
				New modules		that he can control	No dropouts/lost to follow up reported
				assigned each		his own body	
				week based on a		-realising that he's not	Results not controlled for potential
				follow-up		the only child with this	confounders
				assessment the		problemthat was	
				user completes		reassuring	Source of funding:
				about their child's			National Institutes of Health Grant RO1
				status. Not all		2. Least useful aspect	HD28160
				modules		of the programme	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
				necessarily used			
				by all users, only		-difficulty with	
				those modules		connections	
				identified as		-modules regarding	
				relevant are		fear of toilet and	
				assigned and		"monsters"	
				reviewed.		-art work of the body	
				However all		did not print out	
				modules can be		-Miralax should have	
				viewed by all		been included (as a	
				users. Follow-up		choice of laxative)	
				comprised of 17		-nutrition portion was	
				to 20 questions,		too limited	
				depending on the			
				week. System		Internet experience:	
				contains a total o		parents' views /	
				22 modules, each		satisfaction	
				takes 5 to 10			
				minutes to review		-found material	
						understandable	
						(mean 5.00, SD 0.00,	
						N = 20)	
						-found it easy to use	
						(mean 4.62, SD 0.74,	
						N = 21)	
						,	
						-believed their child	
						liked the program	
						(mean 4.05, SD 1.28,	
						N = 21	
						114 – 21)	
						- believed their child	
						found it	
						understandable	
						(mean 4.32, SD 0.89,	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						N = 19)	
						- believed their child	
						found it easy to use	
						(mean 4.47, SD 0.77,	
						N = 19)	
						3. Preference	
						regarding cores	
						modules (CM) (mean,	
						SD)	
						(score 0 to 4)	
						(Score 0 to 4)	
						a. How useful:	
						CM1: 3.84 (0.38)	
						CM2: 3.94 (0.24)	
						CM3: 4.00 (0.00)	
						b. How well did you	
						understand the	
						material	
						CM1: 3.89 (0.32)	
						CM2: 3.89 (0.32)	
						CM3: 3.92 (0.28)	
						c. how well did your	
						child understand the	
						material	
						CM1: 3.53 (0.61)	
						CM2: 3.28 (1.07)	
						CM3: 3.54 (1.13)	
						d. How much did you	
						enjoy using the	
						module	
						CM1: 3.68 (0.48)	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s	•	Measures		
						CM2: 3.67 (0.49)	
						CM3: 3.69 (0.48)	
						, ,	
						e. How much did your	
						child enjoy using the	
						module	
						CM1: 3.63 (0.76)	
						CM2: 3.61 (0.98)	
						CM3: 3.46 (1.13)	
Borowitz et al.		87 children	87 children	Intervention:	Duration of	<u>Soling</u>	Additional information from study:
Treatment of	Parallel-RCT			Intensive medical	treatment	frequency(mean, SD)	Using a random number generator,
childhood		<u>Inclusion</u>	72 boys	therapy (IMT)	Unclear	-at 3 months:	blocks of six consecutive children were
encopresis: A	Evidence	criteria:				IMT: 0.54 (0.68)	randomly assigned to one of 3 treatment
randomized trial		Children	Mean age at	1 of 2 paediatric	Assessment		groups
comparing three	1+	aged between		gastroenterologist		ETT: 0.22 (0.21)	
treatment	0	5 and 15	enrolment: 8.6 ±		follow-up period	DE 0.04 (0.54)	All data were collected using the
protocols. 2002.	Study aim:		2.0 years	treatment: colonic	1.4	BF: 0.34 (0.51)	Automated Patient Symptom Monitor
Journal of	To compare	who had	(range, 5 to 13	disimpaction with	When subjects	-4 O 4b	system, a computerized voice-mail
Pediatric	short- and	experienced	years)	a series of	had been	-at 6 months:	system that telephones the families
Gastroenterolog y and Nutrition	effectiveness	encopresis for a minimum of	Country:	enemas followed by sufficient	enrolled in the study, data	IMT:0.44 (0.52)	each day. With each telephone call, the computer asked parents the same 8 pre-
34[4], 378-	of three	6 months,	USA	laxative therapy to		ETT: 0.38 (0.45)	recorded questions relating to bowel
384United	additive	defined as at	USA	produce at least 1		E11. 0.36 (0.43)	habits during the previous 24 hours.
States.	treatment	least weekly		soft stool each		BF:0.20 (0.26)	After parents had answered all
Glates.	protocols in	episodes of		day without	for 14	DI .0.20 (0.20)	questions, the computer checked
	children	faecal soiling		associated pain.	consecutive	-at 12 months:	responses to ensure all items were
	experiencing	for at least 6		Laxatives	days before and		answered and that responses were
	chronic	months		prescribed: Milk of			within acceptable ranges. If the
	encopresis			Magnesia and/or	outpatient visit,	ETT: 0.36 (0.53; 95%	computer detected an error, the
		Exclusion		senna (Senokot,	and again at 3	confidence interval,	questionnaire was repeated
		criteria:		Ex-Lax, or	months, 6	0.05 to 0.47)	
		any chronic		Fletcher	months, and 12	,	No significant differences in baseline
		underlying		Castoria).		BF:0.27 (0.37)	clinical or demographics characteristics
		medical		Laxative dosages	initiation of	, ,	between the 3 groups
		conditions or		adjusted regularly	therapy	NS among the 3	
		developmenta		to produce 1 to 3		groups at any time	Treatment considered successful if the
		I disabilities		soft bowel	<u>Outcome</u>		child experienced no episodes of faecal
				movements daily.	Measures:	Improvement rate (%	soiling during the 2-week assessment

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	20101			An enema or	-soling	children)	12 months after initiation of therapy
				suppository	frequency	-at 2 weeks:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
				administered if	1 1 1 1 1	IMT: 41	Reviewer comments:
				child had not	-improvement		No definition of constipation given
				produced a bowel		ETT: 48	
				movement during			No sample size calculation performed
				a 48-hour period.	-cure rate	BF: 62	
				No specific dietary			Method of allocation concealment not
				recommendations	-number of	NS between 3 groups	reported
				or manipulations	bowel		
				undertaken.	movements	-at 3 months:	No drop outs/lost to follow up children
				Families received	passed in the	IMT: 45	reported
				specific	toilet each day		
				instructions and		ETT: 85	Source of funding:
				written brochure	-self-initiated		supported by National Institutes of
				detailing	toileting each	BF: 61	Health grant RO1 HD 28160
				treatment protocol	day		
				and need for		-at 6 months:	
				children to attend	-laxative use	IMT: 41	
				the toilet at least			
				twice dally,		ETT: 74	
				preferably after			
				breakfast and		BF: 58	
				supper			
						-at 12 months:	
				Comparison 1:		IMT: 41	
				Intensive medical			
				therapy +		ETT: 78	
				enhanced toilet			
				training (ETT)		BF: 61	
				Similar enema		At 3 months, 6	
				and laxative		months, and 12	
				therapy, with 1		months, the number	
				clinical		of children who	
				psychologist		responded in the ETT	
				adjusting laxative		group was	
				dose. Only		significantly greater	

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
			-	difference from		than in either the IMT	
				previous therapy		or the BF group (P <	
				was that laxative		0.05), and these	
				therapy was		results were very	
				decreased		stable over time (P <	
				gradually when		0.001). With all 3	
				children		regimens, response	
				demonstrated		to treatment during	
				stable bowel		the first 2 weeks of	
				frequency with no		therapy strongly	
				soiling episodes.		correlated with	
				As long as child		response to treatment	
				had daily bowel		at 3, 6, and 12	
				movements of		months ($r > 0.90, P <$	
				normal size for a		0.0001 in all cases).	
				week, laxative		Of those children who	
				dose was		had significant	
				decrease by one		improvement	
				quarter. This		after 2 weeks of	
				process was		therapy, 86 continued	
				continued until		to improve at 3	
				laxative therapy		months, 83 at 6	
				was discontinued.		months, and 81 at 12	
				If child did not		months	
				pass daily bowel			
				movements of		Cure rate (number of	
				normal size,		children cured)	
				laxative dose was		-at 12 months:	
				increased.			
				Parents and child		IMT: 10/29 (34.5%)	
				instructed on the			
				psychophysiology		ETT: 12/27 (44.4%)	
				of constipation			
				and encopresis,		BF: 11/31 (35.5%)	
				and how			
				responding to		chisquare=0.9488	
				early rectal			
				distention cues		p=0.7005	

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
				along with regular			
				toileting was		Number of bowel	
				critical to avoid		movements passed in	
				reimpaction and		the toilet each day	
				to establish		(mean, SD)	
				regular bowel		-at 3 months:	
				habits. Various		IMT:1.44 (0.57)	
				incentive		, ,	
				programs		ETT: 1.21 (0.49)	
				established,			
				depending on the		BF: 1.25 (0.64)	
				developmental			
				age and the		-at 6 months:	
				motivation of the		IMT:1.36 (0.61)	
				child. Target			
				behaviours:		ETT:1.31 (0.63)	
				spontaneous trips			
				to the toilet and		BF:1.12 (0.60)	
				clean pants.			
				Toilet training was		-at 12 months:	
				"enhanced"		IMT:1.30 (0.61)	
				because			
				instructions were		ETT:1.01 (0.51)	
				given on the role			
				of paradoxic		BF:1.16 (0.67)	
				constriction of the			
				external anal		NS among the 3	
				sphincter, and		groups at any time	
				because			
				appropriate		Self-initiated toileting	
				defecation		each day (times/day,	
				straining was		mean, SD)	
				modeled. The		-at 3 months:	
				therapist sat on a		IMT: 1.53 (0.77)	
				portable toilet and		FTT 4 00 (0.00)	
				demonstrated		ETT: 1.62 (0.82)	
				how to relax the		DE 4 40 (0.74)	
				legs and feet, how		BF:1.40 (0.71)	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Illioillation	Level	i atients	S	Companison	Measures		
				to take in a deep			
				breath and hold it		-at 6 months:	
				while sitting up		IMT:1.49 (0.60)	
				straight, and how			
				to push down with		ETT:1.67 (0.95)	
				the held breath			
				and pull in from		BF:1.34 (0.72)	
				the lower			
				abdomen (rectus		-at 12 months:	
				abdominous		IMT:1.40 (0.76)	
				muscle) to propel			
				out a stool. The		ETT:1.31 (0.83)	
				child then			
				replicated this		BF:1.31 (0.69)	
				while sitting on a		110	
				portable toilet.		NS among the 3	
				The child received		groups at any time	
				"hand feedback"		Lavativa va (novembar	
				by placing one		Laxative use (number	
				hand on the		of children using) -at 12 months:	
				abdomen just below the navel to		IMT: 17/29 (58.6%)	
				feel the abdomen		11011. 17/29 (30.0%)	
				move out when		ETT: 9/27 (33.3%)	
				the breath was		L11. 3/21 (33.376)	
				pushed down, and		BF: 17/31 (54.8%)	
				placing the		(chi-square= 4.1414,	
				second hand just		P= 0.1261)	
				below the first to		1 - 0.1201)	
				feel inward			
				movement with			
				contraction of the			
				rectus			
				abdominous.			
				Parents instructed			
				to prompt these			
				behaviours at			
				home.			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
				Additionally, 8 to			
				12 minutes of			
				"toilet time" was			
				scheduled daily,			
				beginning 15 to			
				30 minutes after			
				the same two			
				meals.			
				During these			
				times, children			
				were instructed to			
				practice tensing			
				and relaxing the			
				external anal			
				sphincter for the			
				first 4 minutes,			
				with the objective			
				of localizing			
				control of and			
				fatiguing the			
				external anal			
				sphincter, and to			
				mechanically stimulate the			
				rectum. To			
				desensitize			
				children to toilet			
				sitting, the second			
				4 minutes were			
				spent "having fun"			
				while being read			
				to or playing			
				games. During the			
				final 4 minutes,			
				the child was to			
				strain and attempt			
				to have a bowel			
				movement while			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	relaxing his or her	Measures		
				legs and feet. This			
				routine toilet			
				sitting was			
				discontinued 2			
				weeks after the			
				last scheduled			
				treatment session			
				Comparison 2:			
				Intensive medical			
				therapy +			
				enhanced toilet			
				training + anal sphincter			
				biofeedback (BF)			
				Same instructions			
				that previous 2			
				groups and			
				simultaneously received surface			
				electromyographic			
				biofeedback			
				training. Same 2			
				psychologists who			
				worked with the			
				ETT group also			
				worked with the			
				BF group			
Loening-	Study Type:	43 children	43 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Recovery rate	Additional information from study:
Baucke. Modulation of	Parallel-RCT	Inducion	22 hove	Conventional	treatment 6-month	(number	Constipation and encopresis defined as having ≥ 2 soiling episodes/week and
abnormal	<u>Evidence</u>	Inclusion criteria:	33 boys	treatment alone (CT)	protocol.	recovered, %)	evidence of a huge amount of faecal
defecation	level:	Children 5 to	Mean age: 8.9	(01)	protocoi.	-at 7 months:	material in the rectal ampulla at rectal
dynamics by	1+	16 years with	years (range 5	CT: use of	Assessment	at i montilo.	examination. In many patients stool
biofeedback		chronic	to 16)	laxatives,	point (s) and	CT (n=19): 1(5)	evacuation was incomplete as
treatment in	Study aim:	constipation		increase of dietary			evidenced by periodic passage of very

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
chronically	To determine	and	Country:	fibre and	period:	BF (n=22): 12 (55)	large amounts of stools (every 7 to 30
constipated	whether	encopresis	USA	scheduled			days), often clogging the toilet
children with	outcome in	and abnormal		toileting	7 & 12 months	P<0.001	
encopresis.	chronically	defecation					Abnormal defecation dynamics defined
1990. Journal of	•	dynamics		Disimpaction with		Recovery rates did	as abnormal contraction of the external
Pediatrics	and			enemas (type and		not differ between	anal sphincter and pelvic floor during
116[2], 214-222		Exclusion 		dose not reported)		boys and girls in	defecation attempts, as determined by
	children with	criteria:		Maintananaa, mille	Recovery rate	general and within	anorectal manometry
	abnormal	Hirschsprung'		Maintenance: milk		the biofeedback	Commissions and coloulations 2 nairs of
	defecation dynamics	s disease, hypothyroidis		of magnesia ~		group in particular. Prior unsuccessful	Sample size and calculation: 2 pairs of subjects would be needed per group to
	could be	m, mental		2ml/kg body weight daily to		treatment no related	allow a power of approximately 0.9 to
		deficiency,		induce at least 1		to treatment outcome	detect a difference of 0.7 vs. 0.2 in
	biofeedback	chronic		bowel movement		in either group	achieving normal bowel habits (recovery
	training	debilitating		daily and prevent		in enner group	from constipation and encopresis)
	lianing	diseases,		faecal retention.		Patients with an initial	mom consupation and encopresis)
		neurologic		Doses decrease		abdominal faecal	Sealed envelopes with cards indicating
		abnormalities,		gradually to		mass (severe	either conventional therapy alone or
		previous		maintain daily		constipation)	conventional therapy with biofeedback
		surgery of the		bowel movement		significantly more	training used for randomisation
		colon		and prevent		likely to recover with	
				faecal retention		BF training than with	1 boy in the conventional treatment
				and soiling		CT alone (46% vs.	group was lost to follow-up 1 month after
				_		0%, p<0.02)	treatment began. At that visit he was
				Patients			taking milk of magnesia and his soiling
				instructed to		-at 12 months :	had resolved. 1 boy was lost to follow-up
				discontinue			in the biofeedback group
				laxative therapy at		CT (n=19): 3 (16)	after the first biofeedback session
				6 ± 0.5 months			
				after initiation of		BF (n=22): 11 (50)	Baseline characteristics not significantly
				therapy			different between both groups apart
						P<0.05	from gender: more girl in the BF group
				Comparison:			than in the CT group (41% vs. 5%,
				Conventional		A 14-yeor old boy in	p<0.02). During initial evaluation the
				treatment (CT) +		the BF group had a	following significantly more frequent in
				biofeedback (BF)		relapse. He had	girls than in boys: severe constipation
				lin to Cossisis		severe faecal	(an abdominal faecal mass present)
				Up to 6 sessions		impaction with	(90% vs. 48%, p<0.03), daytime urinary

therapy 7 +/- 2 days apart. 1 session included approximately 30 to 35 defecation therapy 7 +/- 2 distension initially. Faecal impaction recurred 4 months after successful discontinuation of they had ≥3 bowel movements/week	Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
approximately 45 minutes minutes Patients instructed to discontinue laxative therapy at 6 ± 0.5 months after initiation of therapy Reviewer comments: Not completely clear who measured outcomes and how, and whether questionnaires were piloted ITT analysis not performed Source of funding: Supported by grant No. M01-RR-000 from the General Clinical Research Centre Program,, Division of Research Resources, National Institute of Heal the Children's Miracle Network Telett and the Spelman-Rockefeller Child a Parenting Seed Grant					therapy 7 +/- 2 days apart. 1 session included approximately 30 to 35 defecation trials and lasted approximately 45 minutes Patients instructed to discontinue laxative therapy at 6 ± 0.5 months after initiation of therapy		distension initially. Faecal impaction recurred 4 months after successful discontinuation of milk of magnesia. at time study was written he had no soiling but required intermittent treatment for constipation	infection (60% vs. 6%, p<0.001) Patients considered to have recovered if they had ≥3 bowel movements/week and soiling ≤ 2 episodes/month while not receiving laxatives for 4 weeks. Patients considered not to have recovered if they had <3 bowel movements/week or were soiling >2 times/month or had been started on a regime of laxatives again Re-evaluation of patients included review of last month's stool, soiling and medication dairy. Follow-up interview by questionnaire at 12 months Reviewer comments: Not completely clear who measured outcomes and how, and whether questionnaires were piloted ITT analysis not performed Source of funding: Supported by grant No. M01-RR-00059 from the General Clinical Research Centre Program,, Division of Research Resources, National Institute of Health; the Children's Miracle Network Telethon and the Spelman-Rockefeller Child and Parenting Seed Grant
Sunic-Omejc et Study Type: 49 children 49 children Intervention: Duration of treatment Therapeutic success (number of children Treatment Considered successful if a			49 children	49 children				Additional information from study: Treatment considered successful if a
			Inclusion	27 male			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	frequency of ≥ 3 stools /week and < 2
therapy for Evidence criteria: treatment (CON) 12 weeks contained treatment (CON) 12 w				Zi illale	ineamient (CON)	IZ WEEKS	<u>cureuj</u>	
		Lovel		Moon ogo	Dor oral	Accomment	CON: 15/24 (62 50/)	month were achieved without laxatives

Bibliographic	Study Type &		Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome		
acception at an in	Level		(CON):		Measures		
constipation in	1+	>5 years who		administration of	point (s):	DED: 04/05 (040()	The remarking access as allowed all his con-
children. 2002.	Ct. d. cias	met at least 2	94 ± 33 months	Portalak	At 12 weeks	-BFB: 21/25 (84%)	Therapeutic success evaluated by use
Collegium	Study aim:	of the	Managara	(lactulosis, 240	Callani in	D .0 0F	of questionnaires distributed on weekly
Antropologicum	To assess the		Mean age	mg/day or 10 mL	Follow-up	P<0.05	visits
26 Suppl, 93- 101	success of	criteria from	(BFB):	syrup) with dose	period:		No significant differences in baseling
101		chronic	92 ± 35 months	titration for the	None		No significant differences in baseline
		constipation:	C =	patient to have at	0		characteristics between 2 groups
		defecation	Country: Croatia	least 3 stools/week.	Outcome Magazirasi		All abildran asmalated treatment
		frequency < 3	Croalia	When	Measures:		All children completed treatment
	treatment of chronic	times/week, ≥ 2 episodes of			Therapeutic		Paviowar comments:
				spontaneous defecation failed	success		Reviewer comments:
	constipation in childhood	encopresis		to occur for > 3	success		Small sample size, no sample size calculation
		/week,		days in spite of			Calculation
		periodic		appropriate			Randomisation and allocation
		evacuation of		therapy an enema			concealment methods not described
		large volume		was used. In			concealment methods not described
	of	stools at least		addition a fibre-			Insufficient details on who measured
		once every 7		rich diet and			outcomes and how
		to 30 days		attempting			outcomes and now
		and palpable		defecation after			Results not controlled for potential
	dynamics and			meal were			confounders
	other	faecal mass		advised			Comoditation
	anorectal	laccai iliass		auviseu			Source of funding:
		Exclusion		Comparison:			Not stated
	parameters	criteria:		Conventional			Not Stated
	paramotoro	Hirschsprung'		treatment (CON,			
		s disease,		as previous) +			
		spina bifida,		Biofeedback			
		hypothyroidis		(BFB)			
		m, metabolic		()			
		or renal		Pressure			
		disorders,		technique.			
		mental		Child and parents			
		retardation.		instructed on how			
		taking drugs		to perform Kegel			
		for		exercises at			
				home. Exercises			

	udy Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
				include alternating			
				10-second			
				contraction and			
				relaxation of			
				sphincter and			
				pubo-rectal			
				muscle,			
				performed 5 times			
				a day in 20 cycles			
		192 children	192 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Treatment success	Additional information from study:
	rallel-RCT			Conventional	intervention	(number of children	A faecal mass defined as a large hard or
training in		Inclusion 	126 boys		6 weeks	cured, %)	soft stool in the rectum which completely
		criteria:		(CT)			filled the rectal vault. Soiling defined as
childhood <u>leve</u>		Patients with	-age range		Assessment	-at 6 weeks	loss of loose stools in underwear.
constipation: a 1+		paediatric	(total	5 outpatient		CT (n=94):	Encopresis defined as voluntary or
randomised			population): 5 to		follow-up	31/94 (33%)	involuntary passage of a quantitatively
		who fulfilled	16 years	approximately 30	period:	OT DE / OO)	normal bowel movement in underwear in
		at least 2 of		min during which		CT+BF (n=98):	children over the age of 4, occurring on
		these 4	-median age for			31/98 (32%)	a regular basis without any organic
			both groups: 8	and information	intervention	NO	cause. A large amount of stool was
		, ,	years	from a diary	•	NS	estimated to be twice the standard
		per week, ≥2	0	containing	weeks, then at	- t O th	shown in a clay model
			Country:	defaecation	6 months, 1	-at 6 months	Link normantone of non-compliance
			The	frequency and	•	CT (n=94):	High percentage of non compliance
			Netherlands	encopresis and/or	years	48/93 (52%)	reported by parents if the child was
		week,		soiling episodes were discussed	Outcome	CT+BF (n=98):	asked to attempt toilet training 15–30
		periodic		were discussed	Outcome Magazirasi	` ,	min after the meal to profit from the
		passage of		Lliab fibro dist	Measures:	44/94 (47%)	gastro—colic reflex
Chile		very large		High-fibre diet	Tractment	NC	Treatment was sensidered suggested if
		amounts of		advised but additional fibre	Treatment success	NS	Treatment was considered successful if
		stool at least			Success	ot 1 year	the patients achieved ≥3 bowel
		once every 7–		supplements not		-at 1 year CT (n=94):	movements per week and < 2 soiling or
		30 days, or a palpable		prescribed		54/92 (59%)	encopresis episodes per month while
		paipable abdominal or		Patients		04/32 (03%)	not receiving laxatives for 4 weeks
		rectal mass.		instructed to try to		CT+BF (n=98):	It was estimated that a sample of 180
		Children		defecate on the		46/92 (50%)	patients would be adequate to show a
		needed to be		toilet for 5 min		40/32 (30 /0)	difference of at least 70% success at 6

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level	at least 5	S	immediately after	ivieasures	NS	months for CT+BF compared to 45%
		years old to		each meal			success using CT with a two-tailed alfa
		understand				-at 1 ½ year	2 of 0.05 with a power of 90%
		the		During the first 3		CT (n=94):	
		manometric		days patients		52/92 (57%)	At baseline patients were comparable
		procedures		were to use daily			for gender, age, and frequency of
		and		enemas (120 mL		CT+BF (n=98):	gastrointestinal complaints, and urinary
		instructions		sodiumdioctylsulfo		44/92 (48%)	problems
		and had to		succinate, 1 mg			
		have had		sorbitol, 250 mg			At 6 months, 5 patients were lost (4
		treatment with		per mL, Klyx) at			patients in the CT+BF and 1 patient in
		laxatives for a		home. If, on day			the CT group), and at 1 year 8 patients
		minimum of 1		3, enemas still			were lost to follow up (another 2 in the
		month before		resulted in large			CT+BF and 1 in the CT group). Patients
		randomisation		amounts of stool,			lost to follow up were withdrawn from
				enemas were			further analysis
		Exclusion		continued for a			
		<u>criteria</u> :		maximum of 7			During the intervention period, 3 patients
		Hirschsprung'		days. After the			in the CT group refused manometry at
		s disease,		initial 3-day			the end of the treatment period: 1
		spina bifida		enema treatment,			patient was successfully treated and the
		occulta,		patients started			parents refused permission for
		hypothyroidis m or other		oral laxatives with			manometry; 1 patient was unsuccessfully treated and refused
		metabolic or		Importal (lactitol			
		renal		betagalactoside sorbitol, 1 sachet			manometry; and 1 patient was lost to follow-up after two visits. 2 patients of
		abnormalities,		of 5 g/10 kg body			the CT+BF group discontinued
		mental		weight per day			treatment: one 5-year-old patient did not
		retardation,		divided in 2			cooperate and another patient
		and children		doses). Enemas			discontinued treatment because his
		using drugs		given whenever			parents could not afford the cost of
		influencing		spontaneous			transport.
		gastrointestin		defaecation was			
		al function		delayed for more			At the beginning and end of the 6-week
		other than		than three days.			treatment period, each patient had a
		laxatives		Motivation			detailed medical history, abdominal and
				enhanced by			rectal examination, and anorectal
				praise and small			manometry. The child and parents were

Bibliographic S Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
				gifts Comparison: 5 outpatient visits, including the same conventional treatment as described above, in combination with 5 biofeedback training sessions. As far as possible, both groups received equal attention.			asked about bowel function, frequency of defaecation soiling and/or encopresis, consistency and size of stool, pain during defaecation, and associated symptoms such as abdominal pain, appetite, and enuresis. Follow up done either during a clinical visit using a standard questionnaire or by telephone Because other studies have selected patients for evaluation according to the presence of abnormal defaecation dynamics at the start of the study, authors compared defaecation dynamics at randomisation and after treatment, and found no correlation between achievement of normal defaecation dynamics and success. Analysis of all patients showed no relationship between post-treatment defaecation dynamics and success. Log-linear modelling showed significant relationships between pre-treatment and post-treatment defaecation dynamics (x2= 13-91, p<0.001) and between treatment and post-treatment defaecation dynamics (x2=28-38, p<0.001). There was no association between post-treatment defaecation dynamics and treatment success after 6 weeks (x2=2.41, p=0.12). The results at 6 months and 1 year were similar Reviewer comments: Randomisation and allocation concealment methods not reported Not completely clear who measured

Information Evi	ly Type & ridence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	y Type: 2	29 children	29 children	Intervention: EMG biofeedback	Duration of	Treatment outcome	outcomes and how ITT analysis not performed Source of funding: Not stated Additional information from study: Originally, it was planned to recruit 25
controlled trial of biofeedback training in persistent encopresis with anismus. 1998. Archives of Disease in Childhood 79[2], 131-135United Kingdom. Surfactorium medicum medi	ence y aim: etermine her cce romyogra (EMG) tedback ing uces rained al renence in teda teat renent tendent ren with mus r	criteria: Children aged ≥4 years, judged to be of adequate maturity to cooperate with biofeedback treatment and had received 3 months or more of	24 boys age range: 4.8 to 14.9 years -mean age (years) (SD): BFT+CT: 9.2 (2.7) CT: 8.4 (2.3) Country: Australia	training and conventional medical treatment (BFT+CT) Up to 4 sessions at weekly intervals conducted for each patient, each session consisting of ~ 30–35 defecation attempts. Aim was to achieve 10 relaxations of the external anal	CT: Unclear BFT: up to 4 weeks Assessment point (s): 6 months Follow-up period: None Outcome Measures: Treatment	-Full remission: BFT+CT (n=14): 2 (14%) CT (n=15): 2 (13%) 95% CI on difference, -24% to 26% -Improved: BFT+CT (n=14): 2 (14%) CT (n=15): 4 (27%) p = 0.7; 95%CI on difference, -46% to 23% (for remission and improvement combined) -No improvement: BFT+CT (n=14): 10 (71%) CT (n=15):	subjects into each group, which would mean that, at the alfa = 0.05 level (one tailed), there would be 80% power to detect at least a 38% point advantage of biofeedback (32% against 70% or better) in the comparison group. An interim analysis conducted when it became clear that successful and sustained biofeedback outcomes were not occurring. A revised sample size calculation was based on argument that if no successful outcomes were to be achieved in 15 subjects randomised to biofeedback, there would be a 95% confidence that the true rate of successful outcome could not be greater than 18%. The precision of the final result was expressed in the confidence interval (CI) around the difference in remission rates Procedure to determine whether anismus was present involved the use of a balloon filled with 50 ml warm water. After a tuition period to explain what was required to achieve correct straining and squeezing, patient asked to make 5 alternating attempts each to squeeze

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
		sustain		by			defined as a persistent decrease in
		continence		a single		3/14 patients in the	external anal sphincter activity
		without		paediatrician, who		BFT group completed	(measured by a decrease in amplitude
		continued		gave verbal		the training in 3	of the electromyographic recording and
		laxative		reinforcement of		sessions, and the	an increase in rectal pressure of at least
		treatment;		the skills learned			50 mm Hg) in at least 3 of 5 attempts. A
		and had		during training		4 sessions. Only 1	persistent increase in external anal
		anismus on				patient was unable to	sphincter activity with a corresponding
		EMG during		Comparison:		demonstrate	increase in rectal pressure in at least
		anorectal		Conventional		relaxation of the	four of five attempts were deemed as
		manometry		medical treatment		external anal	indicating anismus
				alone (CT)		sphincter with	
		<u>Exclusion</u>					Randomisation carried out using a
		criteria:		-Laxative therapy		Only 1 patient (same	stratified, blocked schedule, with
		known		in 2 phases:		one) was unable to	subjects stratified on the basis of
		structural		1. Initial		defecate the	whether they were soiling or were in
		congenital or		disimpaction		biofeedback balloon	laxative dependent remission. Each
		postoperative		phase: 3-day		by the time of their	treatment allocation was recorded on a
		anatomical		cycles of 5 mL		final session. All	card in an opaque numbered and sealed
		defect (such		'Microlax' enemas		complied well with	envelope and stored sequentially. An
		as spina		(sodium citrate)		instructions and	individual not connected with the clinic
		bifida or		on day 1, one 5		procedures involved	or the study carried out the
		anorectal		mg bisacodyl		in the training. 2	randomisation plan
		malformation)		tablet after school		complained of	
		, or		and 1 in evening		transient discomfort	Full remission defined as no medication
		Hirschsprung'		of day 2. Up to 4			and no soiling for at least 4 weeks; full
		s disease		cycles (12 days)		apparatus was	remission on medication was defined as
		(excluded by		undertaken.		inserted. No other	on medication and no soiling for at least
		rectal biopsy		Further cycles		adverse effects seen	4 weeks; partial remission defined as
		only if		prescribed if later		or reported	soiling no more than once a week,
		clinically		evidence of stool			regardless of medication used. The use
		indicated)		reaccumulation			of medication was attempted by all
							those not in full remission, not only
				2. Maintenance			those who were worse or not improved.
				phase: liquid			The remainder were those who were
				paraffin 5 to 30 ml			soiling more than once a week,
				once or twice a			regardless of medication use.
				day, senna			Improvement defined as progression by

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
				granules and or			at least one level from baseline status,
				bisacodyl tablets.			but without achieving full remission
				Medication use			Presence or absence of continued
				decreased to a			soiling ascertained on the basis of
				level consistent			parental report, assisted by daily diary
				with maintenance			record. Patient data recorded
				of continence as			prospectively in a relational database
				monitored by			was also used for appointment
				bowel diary			scheduling and data quality control
				-Standard			At baseline there were slightly more
				paediatric			subjects with primary encopresis in the
				behaviour			biofeedback group than in the control
				modification:			group
				clarification during			Daviewer commenter
				joint parent-child interview of the			Reviewer comments: No definition of constipation given
				postulates			Two definition of constipation given
				underlying			Small sample size
				physiological			
				basis for			Unclear how the use of medication was
				encopresis. Bowel			measured
				training			
				programme used			No dropouts/lost to follow up reported
				positive			
				reinforcement for successful			Results not controlled for potential confounders
				defection in toilet			conlounders
				and additional			Source of funding:
				reinforcement for			grants from the National Health and
				each 24h without			Medical Research Council (grant
				soiling.			910621) and the Royal Children's
				Reinforcement			Hospital Research Foundation
				consisted of			
				parental praise			
				and use of start-			
				chart diary (fitness			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	tunining a new d\ to	Measures		
				training card) to indicate soiling-			
				free days. Regular			
				sitting programme			
				of 5 to 10 minutes			
				toilet-time within			
				30 minutes of			
				each meal was			
				basis of the			
				programme.			
				-Dietary advice,			
				general			
				counselling and			
				support provided			
				by paediatrician.			
				Psychiatric assessment or			
				treatment initiated			
				when indicated			
				clinically			
Loening-	Study Type:	129 children	129 children	Intervention:	Duration of		Additional information from study:
Baucke.	Retrospective		07.1	Conventional	treatment	(mean ± SD)	Parents and children instructed to keep
Biofeedback	cohort	Inclusion	97 boys	treatment (CT) +	BF: between 2	DE (= C2), E , 2	diary of bowel movements, faecal soiling and medication used
treatment for chronic	Evidence	criteria: Children 5 to	Mean age	biofeedback (BF)	and 6 weeks	BF (n=63): 5 ± 3 CT (n=66): 6 ± 3	and medication used
constipation	level:	18 years with	(years):	At least 2 and up	CT: unclear	N.S	Of 64 patients who originally received
and encopresis	2+	chronic	(years).	to 6 weekly	O1. diloleal	14.0	biofeedback 1 patient did not return after
in childhood:		constipation	-CT group	training sessions	Follow-up	% of children soiling	the first unsuccessful biofeedback
long-term	Study aim:	and	Initial:	given. 1 session	period:	<u></u>	session and was lost to follow-up. The
outcome. 1995.		encopresis	9.1 ± 3.3	included	-CT group:	BF (n=63): 35	63 patients included in the biofeedback
Pediatrics 96[1	patients who	(≥1 soiling		approximately 30	4.2 ± 2.5 years	CT (n=66): 24	group were combined from 2 studies
Pt 1], 105-110	received	episode per	Follow-up:	to 35 defecation		N.S	(clinical characteristics of both groups
	biofeedback	week)	13.4 ± 3.3	trials and lasted	-BF group:		were similar): 21 patients from an RCT
	treatment			approximately 45	4.1 ± 2.4 years	Soiling	(included already in this review, see
	(BF)	Exclusion	-BF group	to 60 minutes.		frequency/week	Loening-Baucke, 1990) and 42 patients
	continued with		Initial:	Number of	<u>Outcome</u>	(mean ± SD)	who had not recovered after at least 6
	improved	Hirschsprung'	10.4 ± 3.2	training sessions	Measures:		months of conventional treatment.

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
		s disease, hypothyroidis m, mental deficiency, chronic debilitating diseases, neurologic abnormalities, previous surgery of the colon	Follow-up: 14.5 ± 3.3 Country: USA	given depended on how soon child learned to relax external sphincter. Sessions stopped after 10 relaxations of the external sphincter without visual feedback could be accomplished in each of 2 successive training sessions Comparison: Conventional treatment alone (CT) CT: use of laxatives, increase of dietary fibre and scheduled toileting (child instructed to defecate for 5 minutes after each meal and after returning from school for the initial months, and try to defecate at least daily once they could recognise the urge to	-presence of soiling -soiling frequency	BF (n=63):1 ± 2 CT (n=66):1 ± 2 N.S Recovery rate (number of children, %) BF (n=63): 28 (44) CT (n=66): 41 (62) N.S Laxative use (% children using laxatives) BF (n=63): 25 CT (n=66): 18 N.S	Patients were charged for this service. Because of cost, inability to return for weekly biofeedback training or parent's and children's satisfaction with the marked improvement of constipation and encopresis with conventional treatment these patients chose to continue with conventional treatment. 23 patients have been originally included in the RCT but 1 boy was lost to follow-up after the first biofeedback session and a second patient received a central nervous system shunt during the follow-up period and was exclude from analysis In May 1993 parents requested by email to fill out with the help of their children a structured questionnaire eliciting information on the presence of soiling and frequency and amount of soiling per week, the frequency and size of bowel movements per week and the use of laxatives. In December 1993 questionnaires again were mailed to non responders and to those families evaluated between January and May 1993. non responders were contacted by telephone Patients considered to have recovered if they had ≥3 bowel movements/week and soiling ≤ 2 episodes/month while off laxatives for at least 1 month. Patients considered not to have recovered if they had <3 bowel movements/week or were soiling >2 times/month or had been started on a regime of laxatives again

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		3	defecate	Weasures		
				Disimpaction with			Baseline characteristics were comparable between both groups
				enemas (type and dose not reported)			except for the presence of an abdominal faecal mass (number of children, BF: 60 vs. CT: 41; p<0.05)
				Maintenance: milk			,
				of magnesia ~ 2ml/kg body			Age and follow-up age were not related to outcome in either group. The length of
				weight daily to induce at least 1			follow-up was significantly related to recovery for the biofeedback group
				bowel movement			(p<0.02) and for all patients (p<0.01) but
				daily and prevent faecal retention.			showed no relationship for the conventionally treated group
				Doses decreased			
				gradually to maintain daily			Reviewer comments: No clear definition of constipation given
				bowel movement			
				and prevent faecal retention			Source of funding: Supported by grant No. M01-RR-00059
				and soiling.			from the General Clinical Research
				Occasionally mineral oil or			Centre Program,, Division of Research Resources, National Institute of Health;
				senna used			the Children's Miracle Network Telethon
				instead of milk of magnesia			and the Spelman-Rockefeller Child and Parenting Seed Grant
Silver et al.	Study Type:	108 children and their	108 children	Intervention: Externalizing	Duration of treatment	EXT (n=54)	Additional information from study: 162 sets of notes of all referrals for
Family therapy and soiling: An		families	3 to 5 years: 45	Treatment (EXT)	(mean, months)	OTH (n=54)	soiling over a four-year period were
audit of			>6 years: 63	- "	-EXT: 7.8	Not all children	audited
externalizing and other	Evidence level:	Inclusion criteria:	mean age	Families were only included if	-OTH: 6.6	assessed for all outcomes	Some children clearly diagnosed in the
approaches.	3	Children	(years):	the approach	Assessment	outcomes	referral letter as 'constipated' or 'not
1998. Journal of	_	treated for	-EXT: 6.98	included:	point (s) &	Parent assessment of	constipated', but in some referral letters
Family Therapy		soiling	-OTH: 6.68				it was not stated whether the referring
20[4], 413-422	To assess the			1 Externalizing	At a minimum	parents)	doctor had checked for constipation
		Referrals	Country:	the poo from the	of 6 months	-EXT:	
	of	included	UK	first interview with	(mean 28	Helpful: 24	The treatment given depended only on

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
	Externalizing	'faecal		the child and	months) after	Unhelpful: 5	the current approach of the therapist
	Treatment	soiling',		, , ,	treatment		who received the referral. All the families
	EXT) as	'encopresis',		,	ended	-OTH:	had received either 'externalizing' or
	compared to	'psychological		White and Epston,		Helpful: 10	'other treatments'
	traditional	soiling', 'failed		1990)	<u>Outcome</u>	Unhelpful: 20	
	treatments in	toileting',			Measures:		No significant differences between the
	children with	'constipation		2 Developing a		p = 0.0001	groups on baseline variables
	soiling	with overflow'			assessment of		
	problems	and		child and family		End of treatment	At a minimum of 6 months' follow-up
		'deliberate			treatment	outcome (from notes)	(mean 23 months), all parents (including
		soiling'.		see themselves		-EXT:	those who dropped out) sent a
				as capable, skilful		No soiling/improved:	questionnaire with a letter from the
		<u>Exclusion</u>		and determined to		42	secretary, explaining that we could learn
		criteria:				Soiling: 5	a great deal from their responses,
		Families who			(parents'		whether negative or positive, with no
		failed to		•	assessment	-OTH:	names being recorded. Parents asked
		attend or		poo		No soiling/improved:	whether there had been any further
		cancelled				30	soiling incidents since they were last
		their first		3 Not using	•	Soiling: 13	seen and frequency of these incidents in
		appointment,		rewards,	notes		the past month. Parents asked whether
		the problem		interpretation,		p = 0.02	they had found their treatment helpful or
		had been		confrontation or	-Number of		unhelpful and what was helpful or
		resolved, the		paradoxical	appointments	GP follow-up	unhelpful and to offer other comments.
		children were		interventions as		-EXT:	Where children had returned for
		put into care		therapeutic		No soiling: 29	paediatric consultation, frequency of
		or sent to		manoeuvres.		Soiling: 8	soiling stated in paediatric notes was
		boarding		4 Attempting to			recorded even if parents did not reply to
		school very		see the whole		-OTH:	the audit. GPs asked whether they were
		early in		family at least		No soiling: 24	aware of any further soiling after
		treatment or		once.		Soiling: 18	treatment had ended
		the soiling					
		had a medical		Comparison:		p = 0.045	Reviewer comments:
		cause		Other Treatments		Parent follow-up	No definition of constipation given
		(Hirschsprung		(OTH)		-EXT:	
		's disease).				No soiling/stains: 24	Unclear exactly how many children
		Children who		Mixed group of		Soiling: 14	dropped out/ were lost to follow up
		had full		traditional		OT: 1	
		control, but		treatments with		-OTH:	Source of funding:

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
		would insist		predominantly		No soiling/stains: 13	Not stated
		on a nappy		(but not only) a		Soiling: 22	
		for a bowel		behavioural		_	
		movement.		approach in a		p = 0.026	
		3 more		family systems			
		families		context. There		Number of	
		where a		were no elements		appointments (mean)	
		therapist who		of externalizing in			
		usually used		any OTH sessions		-EXT: 8.2	
		externalizing				-OTH: 10	
		switched to a				NS	
		behavioural					
		approach in a				Externalizing proved	
		systems				to	
		context in the				be superior for boys,	
		belief that				for children aged ≥ 6	
		externalizing would not				years, for those with	
		would not work. Within				frequent soiling at the	
		the remaining				outset, for those with over 2 years'	
		families in the				continuous soiling	
		audit there				and those diagnosed	
		was no				as constipated on	
		known				referral	
		selection for a				Tolollai	
		particular					
		therapy					
Taitz et al.		47 children	47 children	General	Duration of	Treatment success	Additional information from study:
Factors	Quasi-RCT			In cases where	treatment	did not differed	One year after the beginning of
associated with		Inclusion	26 boys	constipation was	-BhM: 6 weekly	between both groups.	treatment parents sent a postal
outcome in	Evidence	criteria:		severe with large	intervals for		questionnaire, which sought to elicit the
management of	level:	children who	age not	faecal masses	between 3	It is not possible to	response to treatment. This survey
defecation		presented	reported	children initially	months and 1	report the figures	included all patients who 'dropped out' of
disorders. 1986.		with faecal		admitted to the	year	here, as they were	this study at any stage. They were
Archives of	Study aim:	soiling, with	Country:	ward for		only analysed by the	asked whether they considered the child
Disease in		or without	UK	defecation was	<u>Assessment</u>	authors according to	cured, improved, or unchanged and
Childhood		constipation		made impossible	point (s):	compliance with	asked how often the child defecated;
61[5], 472-477	with children			by severe	1 year after	treatment and with	whether and how often soiling occurred;

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
	who	<u>Exclusion</u>		impaction. They	initiating	children social class,	and whether and how often laxatives
	presented	criteria:		were then	treatment	but not according to	were needed. These answers were
	with faecal	identified		continued on		treatment groups	made as objective as possible by
	soiling, with or				Follow-up		requesting parents to place ticks in
	without	disease or			period:		appropriate boxes. This response was
		neurological		before referral.	None		then graded into three categories-cured,
	who were	handicaps		Where no laxative			improved, and no response, on the
	treated by			had previously	<u>Outcome</u>		basis of the parents' answers to the
	incentive			been used the	Measures:		questionnaire, compared with the clinical
	based			child was offered			assessment before allocation to
	behavioural			a twice daily dose			treatment groups. Assessment of results
	modification,			of lactulose. If no	success		were thus made by the parents at home
	plus or minus			accumulation of			and not by the professionals involved
	psychotherap			faeces no			
	y, and			laxatives			Criteria for the classification of the
	consider			prescribed. No			results of treatment:
	factors that			other laxatives			(1) Cured. At least 5 normal stools each
	might predict			used in this study,			week without soiling. Only occasional
	the outcome			and in general			use of laxatives (less than once a week)
	for a non-			their use was			(2) Improved. At least three stools each
	intensive			minimised, with			week and soiling less than once a week
	approach and			the parents			(3) Non-responders. Less than three
	in particular,			encouraged to			stools each week or soiling more than
	to draw			stop the treatment			once a week. These children were
	attention to			with laxatives as			considered as failing to improve, despite
	social			soon as a regular			the fact that in most cases there was
	background			bowel habit			less soiling than at the beginning of
	as a			established. In			treatment
	prognostic			none of the			
	indicator			children were			4 children dropped out from the study
				suppositories			and 13 failed to keep adequate 'star
				used at any time.			charts'. The 'drop outs' occurred at 1, 2,
				All the children			3, and 4 months. 2 children were
				were encouraged			subsequently found to be cured
				to take a high			Daviewer comments:
				residue diet and in			Reviewer comments:
				particular were			No definition of constipation given
	[asked to			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	take bran with	Measures		Small sample size, no sample size
				their breakfast			calculation
				cereal			
							Baseline characteristics not compared
				Intervention: Behaviour			Randomisation and allocation
				modification			concealment methods not reported
				(BhM)			concediment methods not reported
				(=,			ITT analysis not performed
				Carried out by			
				paediatrician. All			Source of funding:
				children placed on a star chart			Grants from the Hawley Trust, National Health Service Locally Organised
				regimen. Children			Research Grant (Trent RHA) and
				offered varying			CHRIS Fund, Children's Hospital
				coloured stars for			
				'sitting on the			
				toilet' and			
				remaining unsoiled for a full			
				day'. In some			
				cases stars			
				awarded to			
				encourage			
				children who were			
				reluctant to take bran in their diet.			
				Contract			
				negotiated			
				between child and			
				parent (usually			
				father) for an			
				award to be made at the discretion of			
				the paediatrician.			
				Child was to			
				understand that			
				the giving of the			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
				award would			
				depend on			
				response to			
				treatment.			
				'Demystification',			
				alleviation of guilt,			
				and use of			
				explanatory			
				diagrams			
				generally followed			
				the lines			
				recommended by			
				Levine and			
				Bakow.			
				Children seen at 6			
				weekly intervals			
				by paediatrician			
				for between 3			
				months and 1			
				year and			
				subjected to shows of affection			
				and interest,			
				which included			
				careful and			
				serious inspection			
				of the charts.			
				Failure to keep a			
				star chart on 2			
				successive visits			
				resulted in firm			
				statement of			
				displeasure. 2			
				further failures at			
				6 week intervals			
				led to the stopping			
				of treatment and			
				discharge with the			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	option of	Measures		
				psychiatric			
				referral.			
				Discharge of			
				cured patients			
				was at discretion			
				of the parents			
				Comparison:			
				Behaviour			
				modification (as			
				previous) +			
				psychotherapy			
				(BhM +Psy)			
				-Psychotherapy:			
				children seen by			
				the child			
				psychiatrist at			
				roughly monthly			
				intervals for			
				periods between two and 12			
				months.			
				Treatment was			
				organised along			
				the following lines:			
				(1) At each			
				appointment			
				mother (and also			
				father in 4 cases)			
				seen for 15-30			
				minutes to explore			
				her feelings in respect of the			
				child's bowel			
				problem and its			
				effect on the			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
				family and her			
				own relationship			
				with the child.			
				Whenever			
				possible mother's			
				own history			
				explored and			
				other emotional			
				problems			
				discussed where			
				relevant e.g.			
				expressions of			
				grief, anger,			
				depression, etc.			
				(2) Child seen for			
				between 15-30			
				minutes for play,			
				including picture			
				drawing, games,			
				and sharing of their own toys and			
				belongings. Their feelings			
				concerning their			
				problem also			
				explored.			
				Behavioural star			
				chart also often			
				brought, and			
				reviewed and			
				child praised and			
				encouraged			
				according to			
				progress			
				(3) Mother and			
				child seen			
				together			
				sometimes early			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
				in treatment,			
				sometimes later,			
				depending on			
				their relationship			
				and success with			
				management of			
				the problems to			
				assess to overall			
				progress.			

Complementary Therapies for Ongoing Treatment/Maintenance in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
Bishop et al.		50 children	50 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Soiling frequency	Additional information from study:
Reflexology in	Prospective			Reflexology:	treatment	<u>(n=48)</u>	With the help of their parents, children
the	case series	<u>Inclusion</u>	age range 3 to	6 sessions, 30	6 weeks	% children	completed questionnaires on bowel
management of		criteria:	14 years	minutes each at		-Before:	motions and soling patterns before,
encopresis and	<u>Evidence</u>	Children		weekly intervals	<u>Assessment</u>	at least daily: 78	during and after treatment
chronic	level:	diagnosed	64% boys	(no other details	point (s):		
constipation.	3	with		provided)	Immediately	1 to 3 times/week: 16	Parents completed questionnaires on
2003. Paediatric		encopresis /	Country:		after treatment		their attitude towards reflexology
Nursing 15[3],	Study aim:	chronic	UK	Comparison:	was completed	no soiling/week: 6	
20-21	To investigate	constipation		N.A			Existing medications were unaltered
	the efficacy of				Follow-up	-After:	
		<u>Exclusion</u>			period:	at least daily: 20	2 children only attended the first session
		criteria:			No follow-up		,
	encopresis	Not stated			made after	1 to 3 times/week: 30	Reviewer comments:
	and chronic				treatment		No definition of constipation/encopresis
	constipation				finished	no soiling/week: 48	given
	with					g,	9
	reflexology				Outcome	p<0.05 (unclear for	Questionnaire not reported as piloted
	, on one ogy				Measures:	which comparisons)	Queenemane netrepented de photos
					ivioadardo.	Willer companionic)	Results not controlled for potential
					-soiling	Frequency of bowel	confounders
					frequency	movements	Comounders
					litequericy	(BM)(n=48)	Baseline outcomes for the 2 children
					-frequency of	% children	who only attended the first session were
					bowel	-Before:	reported but it is unclear whether they
					movements	No BM/week: 36	were included in the analysis
						INO BIVI/Week. 30	were included in the analysis
					(BM)	4 4- 4 DN4-4	O
					navanta'	1 to 4 BMs/week: 46	Source of funding:
					-parents'	della DMar 40	Not stated
					attitude towards	daliy BMS: 18	
					reflexology	A 61	
						-After:	
						No BM/week: 2	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						1 to 4 BMs/week: 72	
						daily BMs: 24	
						p<0.05 (unclear for which comparisons)	
						Parents' attitude towards reflexology 70% parents keen to try treatment, 72% satisfied with outcome	

Surgical Interventions for Maintenance: Effectiveness of the ACE procedure in Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
King et al. The		56 children	42 children	Intervention:	Follow-up	ACE usage	Additional information from study:
antegrade	Retrospective			appendicostomy	period:		Independent investigator conducted
continence		<u>Inclusion</u>	31 boys	(ACE):	Mean: 48	a. ACE regimes	confidential telephone interviews using a
enema		criteria:	1	laparoscopy or	months (median	<u>u</u>	modified questionnaire
successfully	Evidence	patients with	mean age at	mini-laparotomy	39, range 3 to	-median initial	·
treats idiopathic	level:	appendicosto	interview: 13.1		118)	regimes used (%	Continence score: modified
slow-transit	2+	my for	years (median	Comparison: none		children):	Holschneider (maximum score 12).
constipation.		idiopathic	12.4; range 6.9		<u>Outcome</u>		Modification required because the
2005. Journal of	Study aim:	constipation	to 25.0)		Measures:	Golytely (79)	criterion of "frequency of defecation" not
Pediatric		formed		Enemas:		Liquorice (12)	appropriate for the cohort
Surgery 40[12],		between	mean age at		-ACE usage	Water (2)	
1935-1940	are successful		procedure: 9.1	-median initial		Other (7)	Quality of life score: modified Templeton
	•	Oct/04, who	years (median	regimes used:	-ACE efficacy		and Toogood
	paediatric	satisfied	7.8, range 3.1			-outcome (%	
		Rome II	to 18.5)	Golytely (PEG	-ACE	children):	Frequency score used for all frequency
		criteria for		3350 and	complications	Excellent (29)	measures: daily=6, 3 to 6 d/wk=5, 1 to 2
	(STC)	functional	-recurrent	electrolytes): 250		Good (36)	d/wk=4, 1 to 2 d/fortnight=3, 1 to 2
		constipation,	soiling: 29/42	to 500 ml every		Average (7)	d/mo=2, once every 2 to 3 months=1
		with/without	(69%)	second day,		Poor (28)	and never=0)
		faecal	l	infused over 20 to			
		incontinence	-inability to	30 mins for 1 to 3		-median regime at	Reviewer comments:
		and had	adequately	months		time of interview:	Originally 56 children met the inclusion
		undergone a	pass stool: 7/42				criteria, but only 42 (75% of the families)
		prolonged	(17%)	Liquorice , 250 to		Golytely: (how many	were interviewed without a clear
		period of		500 ml daily,		children?): Defecation	explanation for that
		unsuccessful	-recurrent	infused over 10 to		occurred 20 to 30	
		medical	hospital	20 mins infused		mins after ACE	Source of funding:
		management	admissions for	over 10 to 20			Dr. King funded by scholarships from
			nasogatric	mins for 1 to 3		mins spent on toilet	the NHMRC (Australia) and the Royal
		Exclusion 	washouts: 6/42	months			Australian College of Surgeons
		criteria:	(14%)			Majority of patients	
		not stated		-median regime at		(25/42, 60%) either	

Bibliographic	Study Type &		Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
				time of interview:		using the initial	
			Country:	Golytely (PEG		regime or had tried	
			Australia	3350 and		one regimen change.	
				electrolytes): 500		No correlation	
				to 750 ml every		between numbers of	
				second day,		ACE regimens tried,	
				infused over 10 to		patient satisfaction or	
				20 mins with no		length of ACE usage.	
				need for		Many families	
				disimpaction		believed regimes	
						changes were a	
						necessary response	
						to increased	
						tolerance to a	
						particular ACE	
						solution	
						b. patient input into	
						ACE regimen (n	
						children)	
						-completely	
						independent: 7 (all	
						older 10 years)	
						-requiring supervision	
						only: 5	
						-needing help setting	
						up and cleaning up:	
						15	
						-completely	
						dependent: 15	
						c. patients	
						satisfaction with ACE	
						(n children)	
						-very satisfied or	
						satisfied: 37 (88%)	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						-families would	
						recommend ACE to	
						other children: 41 (98%	
						-families felt	
						significant	
						improvement in	
						quality of child's life:	
						39 (93%)	
						-mean optimal age for	
						appendicostomy	
						formation, as felt by	
						families: 4.9 years	
						(median 4, range 2 to	
						12)	
						d. effectiveness	
						-effective: 41 (98%)	
						e. symptoms	
						resolution (n patients)	
						-ceased ACE: 15	
						(36%): in 7 symptoms	
						resolved, in 4 a	
						colostomy was formed, in 2 an	
						ileostomy was formed	
						and 2 patients	
						returned to	
						conservative	
						management	
						-successful ACE: 34	
						(81%)	
						ACE office out (moses	
						ACE efficacy (mean, median and range):	
						median and range).	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						-continence score:	
						pre-ACE: 2.5 (2; 0 to 8)	
						post-ACE:: 5.2 (5; 1 to 12)	
						p<0.0001	
						-quality of life score:	
						pre-ACE: 1.4 (1.5; 0.5 to 3.0)	
						post-ACE: 2.2 (2.5;	
						0.5 to 3.0) p<0.0001	
						-soiling frequency	
						score: pre-ACE: 5.7 (6; 0 to	
						6)	
						post-ACE: 3.0 (3; 0 to 6)	
						p<0.0001	
						-abdominal pain	
						severity score: pre-ACE: 7.4 (8; 0 to	
						10) post-ACE: 3.0 (3; 0 to	
						8) p<0.0001	
						-abdominal pain frequency score:	
						pre-ACE: 5 (6; 0-6 to	
						3-6 d/week) post-ACE: 2.5 (2.5;	
						0-6 to 1-2 d/month) p<0.0001	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						ACE complications:	
						a. symptoms at some stage of treatment:	
						Total: 30/42 (71%) cramping: 18/30 nausea: 17/30 vomiting: 7/30 sweating: 14/30 dizziness: 10/30 pallor: 10/30	
						(3 or more symptoms present in 12/30 patients)	
						b. Long-term complications (n, %), N=42:	
						-granulation tissue: 33 (79), unresolved: 15% -anxiety about ACE:	
						21 (50), unresolved: 29% -stomal infection: 18	
						(43), unresolved: 11% -stomal leakage (ACE days): 16 (38), unresolved:13%	
						-embarrassment about device: 16 (36), unresolved: 87%	
						-dislikes device: 12 (29), unresolved: 58%	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						-stomal leakage (non	
						ACE days): 12 (29),	
						unresolved: 8%	
						-stomal pain: 11 (26),	
						unresolved: 45%	
						-stomal stenosis: 8	
						(19), unresolved: 0	
						-new behavioural	
						disturbance: 7 (17),	
						unresolved: 72%	
						-stomal prolapse: 6	
						(14), unresolved: 33%	
						-stomal bleeding: 6	
						(14), unresolved: 0	
						-limited activity: 4	
						(10), unresolved: 75%	
						-weight loss: 2 (5),	
						unresolved: 0	
						-perforation: 2 (5), unresolved: 0	
Youssef et al.	Study Type:	12 children	12 children	Intervention:	Follow-up	Bowel	Additional information from study:
Management of	Retrospective		9 boys	Caecostomy	period:	movements/week	A questionnaire used to interview
intractable		<u>Inclusion</u>	mean age: 8.7	(surgically and by	13.5 ± 8.5	before: 1.4 ± 0.7	caregivers
constipation		criteria:	± 4.4 years	interventional	months	after: 7.1 ± 3.8	13.5 ± 8.5 months after caecostomy
with antegrade	<u>Evidence</u>	children		radiology)		p<0.005	placement. No caregiver refused to
enemas in	level:	referred to a	Country: USA	0,7	Outcome	·	participate in interview
neurologically	3	tertiary care	-	Comparison:	Measures:	Soiling	
intact children.		motility centre		none		episodes/week	Scoring for episodes of abdominal pain:
2002. Journal of	Study aim:	for further			-Bowel	before: 4.7 ± 3.2	0 = none, 1=once or twice, 2=a few
Pediatric		evaluation of		Choice of	movements/we	after: 1.0 ± 1.4	times, 3=fairly often, 4=very often, 5=
Gastroenterolog		intractable		irrigation solution	ek	p<0.01	everyday
y and Nutrition	antegrade	constipation,		used after			
34[4], 402-405	colonic	who had		caecostomy	-Soiling	Number of	Scoring for overall health and emotional
	enemas	undergone		varied, based on	episodes/week	medications used for	state: 1=poor, 2=fair, 3=good, 4=very
	through	caecostomy		preference of		constipation	good, 5=excellent
	caecostomy	placement for		treating physician.		before: 4.0 ± 1.0	
	catheters in	administration		Most patients	medications	after: 0.8 ± 0.6	Reviewer comments:
	children with	of antegrade		began with low	used for	p<0.005	Very small sample

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
	severe	enemas		volume infusions	constipation		
	constipation			of solution, which		Abdominal pain	Not clear who performed the review of
	who were	Exclusion		were increased	-Episodes of	score:	the clinical records
	referred to a	criteria:		according to	abdominal	before: 2.9 ± 1.6	Not also who into wis word the parents
		neurologic		therapeutic	pain/week	after: 0.9 ± 1.0	Not clear who interviewed the parents
	centre	handicap and		response. 67% of	Missadosbasi	p<0.005	December not nonented blinded
		other organic		patients used 200		Missaul sabaal	Researchers not reported blinded
		causes of		mL to 1,000 mL (mean 478 mL ±	days/month	Missed school (days/month)	Ougationnaira not reported
		constipation			-Emotional	before: 7.5 ± 6.9	Questionnaire not reported piloted/validated
				262 mL) polyethylene	health	after: 1.5 ± 2.5	piloted/validated
				glycol irrigation	neaim	p<0.02	Source of funding:
				solution, daily to	-Overall health	p<0.02	Source of funding: not stated
				every other day.	-Overall fleatiff	Emotional health	not stated
				25% of patients	-Physician	score	
				used a			
				combination of	Office visits/year	after: 3.6 ±1.1	
				saline and		p<0.005	
				glycerin, mixing		P 10.000	
				60 mL to 75 mL of		Overall health score:	
				glycerin in 240 mL		before: 1.7 ± 0.9	
				to 300 mL of		after: 3.6 ± 0.9	
				saline. 1 patient		p<0.005	
				received 90 mL			
				phosphate soda		Physician office	
				solution followed		visits/year	
				by 300 mL of		before: 24.0 ± 19.1	
				saline. Evacuation		after: 9.2 ± 14.2	
				occurred within 1		p<0.05	
				hour of enema		No acute adverse	
				administration in 7		events	
				children and			
				occurred within 3		<u>Postoperative</u>	
				hours in the other		adverse events (n	
				5 children.		children):	
						-skin breakdown	
						and development of	
						granulation tissue: 1	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
						-leakage of irrigation	
						solution: 1	
						-accidental removal of	
						the catheter with	
						subsequent easy	
						catheter replacement	
						by the interventional	
						radiologist: 2	
						Nia advana avantiad	
						No adverse event led	
						to discontinuation of	
						antegrade enema	
						USE.	
						No child has required	
						admission to a	
						hospital because of	
						faecal impaction since starting	
						antegrade enemas.	
						5 patients	
						discontinued	
						antegrade enemas	
						with removal of the	
						caecostomy at a	
						mean of 14.6 ± 9.1	
						months after	
						beginning treatment.	
						None has	
						redeveloped	
						problems with	
						constipation or faecal	
						soiling.	
Cascio et al.		49 children	49 children	Intervention:	Follow-up	Soiling (n children in	Additional information from study:
MACE or	Retrospective		15 boys	Malone antegrade		which stopped	One patient with CB and one with MACE
caecostomy	cohort	<u>Inclusion</u>		enema (MACE)	Mean, 18	completely)	moved to another region and were lost
button for		criteria:	-MACE:		months		to follow-up
idiopathic	<u>Evidence</u>	children who	37 children	Antegrade		MACE (n=37): 30	
constipation in	level:	underwent	15 boys	enemas started	<u>Outcome</u>	(81%)	Success criteria:

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
children: a	Level 2+	MACE or CB	S	on the 4 th	Measures Measures:	CB (12): 9 (75%)	-full: totally clean or minor or minor
comparison of	2	between June	-CB·	postoperative day	Measures.	OB (12). 3 (1370)	rectal leakage on the night of the
complications	Study aim:	1998 and	12 children	and Foley	-Soiling	Occasional soiling still	
and outcomes.			9 boys	catheter left in	Coming	present in 1 child with	-partial: clean, but significant stomal or
2004. Pediatric		for intractable		appendicostomy	-Failure		rectal leakage, occasional major leak,
Surgery	complications		Country: UK	for 6 weeks		1 child with CB	still wearing protection but perceived by
International		constipation			-Surgical	resumed regular	the child or parent to be an improvement
20[7], 484-487		and faecal		Comparison:	complications	activity and CB was	-failure: regular soiling or constipation
	antegrade	soiling that		Caecostomy	·	removed	persisted , no perceived improvements,
	enema	had failed		button (CB)			procedure abandoned usually to a
	(MACE) with	conventional				<u>Failure</u>	colostomy
	the	treatment		Enemas started		-MACE (n=37): 6	
	caecostomy			on 4 th		(16.2%)	Source of funding:
	button (CB) in	Exclusion		postoperative day			not stated
	children with	criteria: not		and MIC-KEY		4 patients' colonic	
	intractable	clearly stated,		gastrostomy tube		washouts ineffective.	
	constipation	but all rectal		changed to		1 patient: colonic	
		biopsies were		standard		washout associated	
		aganglionic.		gastrostomy		with abdominal pain	
				button after 6		during enema. 1	
				weeks		patient required	
						revision for	
				Enemas		perforation of	
				performed by		appendicostomy and	
				administering		the fibrotic-ischaemic	
				saline (20ml/kg) to		appendix was	
				empty the entire		replaced with a CB	
				colon at a		OD (40): 4 (0.00()	
				convenient time		-CB (12): 1 (8.3%) Reason for failure	
				for patient.			
				Children not		was leaking faecal content around the	
				responding to saline wash-out		button, converted to	
				used Klean-Prep.		MACE after 20	
				Frequency and		months	
				volume of enemas		P >0.05	
				individualised to		70.00	
				each patient to		Surgical	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	achieve	IviedSureS	complications %):	
				cleanliness and		a. requiring operative	
				stop soiling		intervention	
				otop coming		MACE (n=37)	
						-total: 9 (24%)	
						-stoma stenosis: 11%	
						-iatrogenic perforation	
						appendicostomy: 5%	
						-difficult	
						catheterization: 5%	
						-adhesive obstruction:	
						3%	
						CB (n=12)	
						-total: 0	
						-adhesive obstruction:	
						0	
						Others N.A	
						P=0.009 for total	
						b. not requiring	
						operative intervention	
						MACE (n=37)	
						-total: 7 (19%)	
						-pain/difficult	
						catheterisation: 11%	
						-stoma granulosa: 5%	
						-stoma stenosis: 3%	
						-faecal leakage: 0	
						-pain around button:	
						N.A	
						CB (n=12)	
						-total: 11 (92%)	
						-pain/difficult	
						catheterisation: N.A	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Curry et al. The MACE procedure: experience in the United Kingdom. 1999. Journal of Pediatric Surgery 34[2], 338-340	Study Type: Retrospective survey Evidence level: 3 Study aim: to find out the	273 children Inclusion criteria: MACE procedures performed by UK members of the British Association of		Intervention: Malone Antegrade Continence Enema (MACE) Comparison: None	Measures Follow-up	-stoma granulosa: (33%) -stoma stenosis: N.A -faecal leakage: 42% -pain around button: 92% p<0.001 for total Overall success rate Including both full and partial): 79% Success rate based on diagnosis (%): Constipation (n=23) Full: 52 Partial: 10 Failure: 38 Unknown: 1	Additional information from study: Results included figures from authors' previous study, reported figures from one other UK centre and replies to proformas sent by authors to BAPS members 102 proformas sent, 58 returned Success criteria: -full: totally clean or minor or minor rectal leakage on the night of the washout; -partial: clean, but significant stomal or rectal leakage, occasional major leak, still wearing protection but perceived by the child or parent to be an improvement -failure: regular soiling or constipation persisted, no perceived improvements, procedure abandoned usually to a
		stated					colostomy Reviewer comments: Retrospective study Low response rate to the proforma Results for patients with diagnoses other than constipation not reported here because they are outside the remit of

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s	•	Measures		
							this review.
							Main complications not related in paper to the clinical diagnosis and therefore not reported here
							Source of funding: not stated
Mousa et al.	Study Type:	31 children	-total population	Intervention:	Duration of	(all values are	Additional information from study:
Cecostomy in	Retrospective		31 children	Caecostomy	study period:	median)	Standardised questionnaire used to
children with	cohort	<u>Inclusion</u>	58% boys	performed	4 years		obtain data on outcomes measured
defecation		criteria:		percutaneously by		Type of antegrade	
disorders. 2006.	<u>Evidence</u>	Children who		interventional	Follow-up	enemas used	Frequency of bowel movements scored
Digestive	<u>level:</u>	received a	-9 children with	radiologist	period:		as: 1, <5 bowel movements/week; 2,
Diseases and	2+	caecostomy	functional		Median 11	performed	5/week to 3/day; 3, 3/day
Sciences 51[1],		for	constipation	Comparison:	months (range		
154-160		constipation,		Caecostomy	1 to 45) after	Bowel movement	Soling frequency scoring: 1, none; 2,
		faecal soiling	median age at	performed by	caecostomy	frequency (n=9)	occasional, 3, few episodes/week; 4.
	authors' 4-	or a	time of	open surgical	0 1	Pre: <5/week	few episodes/week to daily; 5,
	<i>y</i>	combination	caecostomy: 12	approacn	Outcome	Post: 5/week to 3/day	constantly
	experience with 2	of both.	years old		Measures:	P<0.01	Overlity of life accessed by access
		Underlying conditions	(range 3 to 16)		turno of	Cailing fraguency	Quality of life assessed by scoring
		included	Country		-type of antegrade	Soiling frequency (n=9)	limitations of activity (none, mild, moderate and severe), global health
		functional	Country: USA		enemas used	Pre: constant	score, and global emotional score (poor,
		constipation,	USA		enemas useu	Post: none	fair, good, very good and excellent)
	procedure	Hirschsprung'			-bowel	P=0.01	lair, good, very good and excellent)
		s disease,			movement	1 -0.01	Reviewer comments:
		imperforate			frequency	Number of	Not clear who interviewed the parents
	clinical	anus,			licquoricy	medications (n=9)	Two clear who interviewed the parents
		imperforated			-soiling	Pre: 4	Source of funding:
	caecostomy in				frequency	Post: 1	study supported in part by the Ter
		combined				P=0.01	Meulen Fund, Royal Netherlands
		with tethered			-number of		Academy of Arts and Sciences
	disorders	spinal cord			medications	Number of physician	
		syndrome and				visits related to	
	functional	spinal			-number of	defecation problems	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Level	Patients	S	Companison	Measures		
	constipation,	abnormalities			physician visits	(n=9)	
	imperforate				related to	Pre: 6	
	anus and	Exclusion			defecation	Post: 2	
	spinal	criteria:			problems	P<0.01	
	abnormalities	Not stated					
					-number of	Number of hospital	
					hospital	admissions for	
					admissions for	disimpaction (n=9)	
					disimpaction	Pre: 4	
						Post: 0	
					-number of	P<0.01	
					missed school		
					days per month	Number of missed	
						school days per	
					-quality of life	month (n=9)	
						NS	
					-complications		
						Global health score	
						<u>(n=9)</u>	
						Pre: poor	
						Post: good	
						P=0.01	
						Global emotional	
						score (n=9)	
						Pre: poor	
						Post: good	
						P=0.01	
						Limitations of activity	
						<u>(n=9)</u>	
						Pre: moderate	
						Post: mild	
						P<0.01	
						Complications	
						No major	
						complications like	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s		Measures	perforation, stoma	
						stenosis, or stoma prolapse. No difference found in	
						occurrence of number of complications between different	
						procedures/technique s	
						Other outcomes not reported here as no subgroup analysis performed	
Jaffray. What happens to	Study Type: Prospective	80 children	80 children	Intervention: Antegrade	Follow-up period:	53 children: conventional ACE	Additional information from study:
children with idiopathic	case series	Inclusion criteria: All children	44 boys	continent enema (ACE) procedure	6 months to 10 years (median	27 children:	In the first 32 cases the diagnosis was confirmed by the use of marker studies
constipation who receive an antegrade	Evidence level: 3	with idiopathic constipation	years (range	Children followed up in a nurse-led	6.2 years) Outcome	laparoscopic ACE	using an established protocol. However because the marker studies did not alter treatment decisions and to avoid
continent enema?. An actuarial	Study aim: to perform an	undergoing ACE surgery by 1 surgeon.	3.4 to 18.7 years)	continence clinic Lavage regime	Measures:	- ACE lavage failed in 12 children:	unnecessary radiation exposure, this practice was stopped
analysis of 80 consecutive cases, 2009.	actuarial analysis of the	In all children symptoms	Country: UK	was supervised by specialist nurses and used	-Ongoing lavage	4 children were identified where the	Previous treatment was heterogeneous and had always included prolonged
Journal of Pediatric	antegrade continent	had persisted despite medical		a solution of saline prepared	-Failure: either the parents have stopped	appendicostomy was not being used. Although these	treatment with laxatives, usually with periods of in-patient administration of surgical bowel cleansing solutions,
Surgery 44[2], 404-407United States.	procedure in children who have	management supervised by paediatrician for at least 3		by parents at a volume of 20mL/kg body weight	using the technique because colonic lavage has not	children could be lavaged, parent's had not found it to be of help in the child's	frequent manual disimpaction and often involvement of a clinical psychology service
	idiopathic constipation and who did not respond to 3 years of	years <u>Exclusion</u> <u>criteria</u> : Hirschsprung'		Comparison: N.A	been found to improve the child's bowel habit or the	bowel management and had ceased use In 8 children, deterioration of	In calculating the Kaplan Meier probability of an ACE being reversed or failing, the following times were

Information	tudy Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
su co	pervised enservative	s disease (excluded by rectal biopsy in all cases)			child's colon had not proved to be lavageable and symptoms had deteriorated -Cure: the appendicostom y was closed/reversed because the child achieved normal bowel habit	symptoms occurred despite ACE lavage and required alternative treatment of symptoms. These children could not be lavaged. Kaplan Meier probability of an ACE failing: 0.3 at 8.5 years; estimated mean failure time: 8.6 years (95% CI 7.9 to 9.2) -12 children had normal bowel habit, no longer performed colonic lavage and underwent closure of appendicostomy. The Kaplan Meier probability of an ACE being reversed was 0.2 at 6.2 years, estimated mean time to reversal (9.1 years (95% CI: 8.4 to 9.7) -56 children currently performing colonic lavage.	calculated: -ongoing lavage: length of follow up calculated as time from the date of formation of ACE to current date -time to failure calculated as the time from creation of the ACE to the clinic letter stating that the parents had ceased using the ACE, or the date of commencement of alternative treatment. -cure: the date of the operation to reverse the ACE was used as the censoring time A minimum of 6 months follow-up judged to be appropriate because a decision regarding "cure" would take no less than 6 months to determine Children who could not be lavaged defined as those having failed to have a bowel evacuation despite an appropriate volume of lavage fluid. These children were assessed by performing continuous lavage though the appendicostomy over several days while in hospital. Typically such children accommodate very large volumes of fluid in their colon, often in excess of 10 L without bowel evacuation Criteria for ACE reversal: for at least the previous 6 months, child had stopped using their ACE, was stooling

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	Colonic transit time (CTT), age at surgery and duration of follow-up were not significantly associated with ACE failure, but sex was (p=0.04) the higher failure rate amongst girls was significant (p=0.02) CTT significant factor in predicting failure in children who accommodated very large volume of lavage fluid (>10 L) in their colon without bowel evacuation. Median CTT for this subset significantly longer than for children who could be lavaged (141 h (SD 30) vs. 73 h (SD 17);	spontaneously at least every other day, was not requiring laxative therapy and was not soiling. ACE reversed by dissecting the appendix to the caecal wall and ligating and removing it No patient was discharged, and none was lost to follow up Source of funding: Not stated
						95% CI difference 9 to 74 h; p=0.01)	

Information and Support for Children with Chronic Idiopathic Constipation and their families

Clinic based interventions

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome		
	Level		S		Measures		
Burnett et al.	Study Type:	102 children	102 children	Intervention:	Intervention	Primary outcomes	Additional information from study:
Nurse	RCT		55 males	Nurse led clinic	period:	-	Constipation defined as (1) decreased
management of		<u>Inclusion</u>		(NLC)	30 months	Time to cure at last	frequency of bowel movements (that is,
intractable	Evidence	criteria:	median age at			visit or later confirmed	decreased from the individual's previous
functional	level:	All children	study entry: 4.6	Comparison:	Assessment	by telephone	pattern); and/or (2) harder stool
constipation: a	1+	aged 1	(NLC) and 4.8	Consultant led	point (s):		consistency; and/or (3) subjective
randomised		to 15 years	years (PGC)	paediatric	Unclear	-Number cured, %	difficulty, including pain and distress
controlled trial.	Study aim:	presenting to		gastroenterology		NLC (n = 52):	associated with defecation
2004. Archives	To evaluate	the paediatric	age range: 13	clinic (PGC)	Follow-up	34 (65.4%)	
of Disease in	the	gastroenterol	months to 14.7		period:	PGC (n = 50):	Interpretation of abdominal radiograph
Childhood	effectiveness	ogy service at	years	-Assessment:	Median: 16.6	25 (50.0%)	obtained at the time of initial
89[8], 717-722	of a nurse led	the John		Nurse led clinic	months for both		assessment made though a validated
	clinic (NLC)	Radcliffe	Country:	designed to be a	groups	-Time to event	scoring system (Leech) using scores
	compared	Hospital,	UK	follow up clinic for		(median (95% CI,	ranging from 0 (no stool) to 5 (gross
	with a	Oxford, UK		children who had	<u>Outcome</u>	months)	faecal loading with bowel dilatation) in
	consultant led	with		undergone a full	Measures:		three areas of the colon, giving a total
	paediatric	constipation		and detailed	1. Primary	NLC (n = 52):	severity score ranging from 0 to 15.
	gastroenterolo			medical	outcomes:	18.0 (8.5 to 27.5)	Using this system a radiographic score
	gy clinic	Exclusion		assessment in the		PGC (n = 50):	of >9 has been shown to have a high
	(PGC) in the	criteria:		paediatric	-Time to cure at	23.2 (17.3 to 29.2)	specificity and sensitivity in the
	management	Organic or		gastroenterology	last visit or later		diagnosis of childhood constipation
	of chronic	neurological		clinic leading to a	confirmed by	Hazard ratio(one	
	constipation	disease		diagnosis of	telephone	sided 95% CI):	The primary outcome of cure at last visit
				idiopathic		1.332 (0.860 to ∞)	or later confirmed by telephone used to
				functional	-Time to cure at		assess sample size. For non-inferiority
				constipation	last visit.	Time ratio (one sided	to be concluded between NLC and
						95% CI):	PGC, 200 patients (100 per arm) would
				-Investigations:	-Premature	0.816 (0 to 1.032)	be required for a power of 80% and a
				Where it was	study		one-sided significance level of 0.05,
				clinically	termination.	Time to cure at last	assuming the success rate of the PGC
				appropriate, an		<u>visit</u>	to be 50%. The range of clinical
				abdominal	Secondary		equivalence was defined to be within
				radiograph	outcomes:	-Number cured, %	15%, therefore non-inferiority was

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
				obtained at the		NLC (n = 52):	defined as the ruling out of a hazard
				time of initial	-number of	27 (51.9%)	ratio less than 0.85 on the basis of the
				assessment both	clinic visits	PGC (n = 50):	lower limit of the one sided 95%
				as a diagnostic		22 (44.0%)	confidence interval. Conversely, for an
				tool and as a	-number	Time a to assemb	outcome where a reduction of events is
				semi-quantitative	requiring	-Time to event	preferable, non-inferiority is defined as
				marker of the	additional medication/in-	(median (95% CI, months)	the ruling out of a hazard ratio greater than 1.176 on the basis
				severity of constipation	patient	months)	than 1.176 on the basis
				'	procedures	NLC (n = 52):	Allocation concealment facilitated by
				-Treatment: a	during the	22.1 (15.1 to 29.2)	using sequentially numbered sealed
				standardised	scheduled	PGC (n = 50):	envelopes produced by an external
				treatment	treatment	25.1 (17.0 to 33.2)	source for consecutive and eligible study
				algorithm	period	Hazard ratio(one	patients. Randomisation performed
				(constructed for		sided 95% CI):	using block randomisation with fixed
				the study, similar		1.207 (0.749 to ∞)	blocks of size four
				to a number of			
				published			Time to cure at last visit or later
				guidelines)		95% CI):	confirmed by telephone relates to all
				provided the basis		0.855 (0 to 1.112)	those children confirmed cured either at
				for management		Dua na atrona atrodo	their last visit, or subsequently,
				decisions in all		Premature study	confirmed over the telephone. Children
				consultations in both clinics		<u>termination</u>	who were close to achieving the definition of "cured" at their last visit
				DOUT CHITICS		-Number, %	but who were still being weaned off
				-initial phases:		NLC (n = 52): 5 (9.6)	medication, were not required to attend
				involved child and		(2 lost to follow-up, 3	for a further follow up appointment but
				parent education		withdrew)	received their follow up via the
				about diet (fibre		Williarowy	telephone. Time to cure at last visit
				and fluid),		PGC (n = 50): 14	relates to only those children confirmed
				exercise, toilet		(28) (10 lost to follow-	cured at their last visit (a subset of the
				training, and the		up, 4 withdrew)	previous outcome). Premature study
				actions of the		,	termination comprises those patients
				laxatives		-Time to event	who were either lost to follow up or
				prescribed.		(median (95% CI,	withdrawn for whatever reason
				Laxative therapy		months)	
				comprised a			Baseline demographic and clinical
				combination of		NLC (n = 52): NA	presentation characteristics as well as

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Illioillation	Level	ratients	S	Companison	Measures		
				stool softeners			previous laxative usage well balanced
				(for example,		PGC (n = 50): NA	across clinics
				lactulose, docusate sodium)		Hazard ratio(one	ITT analysis conducted for all outcomes.
				and stimulants.		sided 95% CI):	Survival analysis conducted for the
				Stimulants of		0.334 (0 to 0.788)	primary time-to-event outcomes
				different potencies			,,
				(senna, bisacodyl,		Time ratio (one sided	
				sodium		95% CI): NA	Unclear who measured outcomes
				picosulfate) were			
				prescribed		Secondary	Results not controlled for potential
				according to the clinical response		outcomes	confounders
				as indicated by		Number of clinic visits	Source of funding:
				the bowel diaries.		-Median number of	Research grants from Norgine Ltd and
				If there was an		visits in each clinic:	from WellChild
				inadequate		6.0	
				clinical response			
				to this initial		-Median number of	
				phase, the patient moved on to an		inter-visit contacts:	
				advanced		NLC: 6.0 (range 2 to	
				treatment regime		16)	
				which might		PGC: 0.0 (range 0.0	
				include, enemas,		to 29)	
				intestinal lavage,		,	
				manual removal		Number requiring	
				of faeces under		additional	
				general		medication/in-patient	
				anaesthesia, or psychological		procedures during the scheduled treatment	
				referral as was		period	
				appropriate in		<u> </u>	
				each case		No significant	
						differences between	
				-Monitoring		both groups	
				/follow-up: Bowel			
				diaries, which			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
				report the		10 children (5 NLC, 5	
				frequency, size,		PGC) completed	
				and consistency		study as per the	
				of stools,		protocol but were not	
				presence or		cured (treatment	
				absence of		failures):	
				soiling, and a			
				record of daily		-8/10: formally	
				laxative		referred for	
				medication, were		psychological /	
				used in both		psychiatric	
				clinics to monitor		management	
				progress and		-9/10: had	
				response to		documented serious	
				treatment.		behavioural problems	
				Dedicated case		-3/10: also referred	
				report forms were		for surgical	
				used for each		assessment and	
				study participant		management	
				and, together with		A	
				detailed clinical		A total of 15/102	
				history (including		children still	
				a detailed dietetic		undergoing follow up,	
				history) and		as they are not cured.	
				clinical findings on		In this group, 7/15	
				initial assessment,		children are followed	
				documented		up in the PGC and 8/	
				details of bowel		15 in the NLC. 7/15	
				habit and drug		children had	
				therapy at all		documented	
				subsequent		psychosocial	
				outpatient visits.		problems associated	
				Any other contact		with poor compliance	
				with the families,		in attending clinic	
				e.g. on the		appointments	
				telephone or a			
				home visit, was			
				documented using			

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	inter-visit contact forms -Discharge: Child defined as having been "cured" of their constipation when, for a period of at least 1 month, they had been opening their bowels,	Measures		
				producing a normal formed stool without difficulty at least 3 times per week and without any laxative therapy			
Sullivan et al. Parent	Study Type: Survey-RCT	102 children	102 children 55 males	Intervention: Nurse led clinic	<u>Duration of</u> treatment	Provision of information scores	Additional information from study: Satisfaction with care defined as "the
satisfaction in a	Fridan	Inclusion		(NLC)	As previous	(median)	degree to which parents perceive the
nurse led clinic compared with	Evidence level:	<u>criteria:</u> All children	median age at study entry: 4.6	Comparison:	RCT	NLC: 8.7 PGC: 7.5	needs of their children are met"
a paediatric	1+	aged 1 to 15	(NLC) and 4.8	Consultant led	Assessment	P<0.001	Parent satisfaction measured using a
gastroenterolog		years	years (PGC)	paediatric	point (s):		validated instrument based on the Leeds
y clinic for the		presenting to		gastroenterology	After 12	Empathy with patient	Satisfaction Questionnaire (LDQ), which
management of			age range: 13	clinic (PGC)	months' follow-	scores (median)	has been shown to be easy and quick to
intractable,	parent's	0	months to 14.7	1-4	up or before	NLC: 9.0	complete sensitive to change, reliable
functional	satisfaction	ogy service at	years	Intervention as	this if the child	PGC: 7.3	and reproducible. Questions in the LDQ
constipation. 2006. Archives	with a nurse led clinic	the John Radcliffe	Country	described in	has been "cured"	P<0.001	were pertinent to a rheumatology clinic and thus adapted for the purposes of
of Disease in	(NLC) for	Hospital,	Country: UK	previous study	cured	Technical quality and	this constipation clinic. Questionnaire
Childhood	children with	Oxford, UK	UIX		Outcome	competence scores	covered 6 separate domains in 48
91[6], 499-501	intractable,	with			Measures:	(median)	statements: provision of information,
0.[0], 100 001	functional	constipation			11100001001	NLC: 9.1	empathy with the patient, access to and
	constipation				1. Parent	PGC: 8.0	continuity with the caregiver and overall

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		s		Measures		
	compared	Exclusion			,	P<0.001	satisfaction. The "overall satisfaction"
	with a	<u>criteria</u> :			domains:		component was added for the purposes
	consultant led	Organic or				Attitude towards the	of validation. 5 point Likert scales used
	paediatric	neurological			-provision of	patient scores	for responses ranging from "strongly
	gastroenterolo	disease			information	(median)	agree" to "strongly disagree", stability of
	gy clinic					NLC: 8.7	the instrument tested using the test-
	(PGC)					PGC: 7.3	retest method
					patient	P<0.001	An attempt was made to record all
					toobnical	Access to and	An attempt was made to record all "inter-visit" contacts (by telephone or
					-technical quality and	Access to and continuity with the	day ward attendances) made by parents
					competence	caregiver scores	outside their schedules outpatients
					competence	(median)	appointment
					-attitude	NLC: 8.2	аррошинен
						PGC: 6.7	A total of 90 questionnaires returned
						P<0.001	from 107 families canvassed (84%);
					F 0.111 0 1 1 1		40/51 (78%) from the PGC and 50/56
					-access to and	Overall satisfaction	(89%) from the NLC. Robustness and
					continuity with	scores (median)	high reliability of the questionnaire
					the caregiver	NLC: 8.7	demonstrated by calculating the internal
						PGC: 7.3	consistency for each domain; lowest
					-overall	P<0.001	Cronbach's alpha: 0.81
					satisfaction		
						Number of inter-visit	Reviewer comments:
						contacts (mean (SD))	This study is an evaluation of the
						NLC: 2.37 ± 4.17	previous RCT
						PGC: 1.70 ± 4.79	ITT analysis performed for all outcomes
					contacts	NS	
							Source of funding: Research grant form WellChild
Poenaru et al.	Study Type:	114 patients	114 patients	Intervention:	Duration of	Stool frequency per	Additional information from study:
The Pediatric	Prospective			Bowel	treatment	month, mean (n=26)	Children considered constipated when
Bowel	case series	<u>Inclusion</u>	Mean age: 5.4 ±			1rst visit: 11.73	they had persistent symptoms (soling,
Management		<u>criteria:</u>	3.8 years	Clinic		last visit:: 29.77	pain, bleeding, etc) related to bowel
Clinic: initial	<u>Evidence</u>	Children up to				p=0.00026	movements which tend to be infrequent
results of a	level:		months to 19	-Clinic staff: a	clinic: 4.5		
multidisciplinary	3	referred to the	years)	physician (rotating	months	Stool consistency	Total number of visits was 257 with
approach to		clinic with		between 2		<u>(n=55)</u>	average of 6 patients per clinic. 62

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
functional	Study aim:	constipation	51.4% boys	paediatricians, 1	Assessment	(Unclear whether the	patients seen more than once with a
constipation in	To present	after a 3-		paediatric		following are number	mean of 3.1 visits per patient and a
children. 1997.	the	month	Country:	gastroenterologist		of children or %)	mean time span between the first and
Journal of	experience of	unsuccessful	Canada	and 1 paediatric	after initial clinic		the last visit to clinic of 4.5 months
Pediatric	the first 16	course of		0 7	visit	-liquid	0
Surgery 32[6],		treatment		a nurse		1rst visit: 0	Sample size varies in each category of
843-848	multidisciplina	F		practitioner, a	<u>Outcome</u>	last visit:1	symptoms because of incomplete
	ry clinic for	Exclusion		dietician, an	Measures:		observations and stool frequencies were
	the treatment	criteria:		enterostomal	-tl f=-=	-soft	only included for non-soiling patients
	of functional	Obvious		therapist/nurse		1rst visit: 4	4.2 abildran annagrad to be loot to follow
	constipation	associated		educator and a	per month	last visit: 13	13 children appeared to be lost to follow-
		anomalies		psychosocial	otool	-formed	up (no return to clinic in over 6 months)
		causing		nurse specialists	-stool	1rst visit: 16	and 11 were discharged Among the discharges the mean number of clinics
		constipation		-Assessment: new	consistency	last visit: 13	visits was 3.5
		or encopresis		patients always	-occurrence	last visit. 13	VISILS Was 3.3
				assessed by clinic		-hard	Patient data collected prospectively from
				nurse and		1rst visit: 10	the families and the clinic staffBefore
				physician	(soiling, rectal	last visit: 3	initial clinic visit families filled out several
				assessment to	pain, rectal	last visit. 5	mailed questionnaires covering medical,
						p=0.00004	psychological and social issues
				organic causes of	biccuirig)	p=0.0000 +	surrounding the child's problem. These
				constipation and	-satisfaction	Occurrence of	included a medical information
				to establish	with care, 5	symptoms (%)	questionnaire, a family information
				components of	scales:	-Soiling (n=42)	questionnaire, the Family Assessment
				individualised	respectful and	1rst visit: 57	Device (FAD), the Chronic Illness
				management.		last visit: 43	psychosocial Inventory (CI-PSI) and a
						NS	knowledge quiz. Parents also required
				other BMC staff	partnership,		to complete a "constipation/soiling diary"
				as needed	providing	-Rectal pain (n=51)	for one week, detailing the child's stools
				401.00404	general	1rst visit: 53	and symptoms. At the first clinic visit a
				-Investigations:	U	last visit: 22	structured history/physical examination
						p=0.0003	completed by physician. At each follow
				there is suspicion	specific		up families completed a short progress
				of organic cause	information,	-Rectal bleeding	guestionnaire and asked to continue
					coordinated and		diaries throughout. The FAD, CI-PSI
				lack of		1rst visit: 26	questionnaires and knowledge quiz
				improvement after		last visit: 4	were repeated at 2 and 4 months after

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome		
	Level		S	adequate	Measures	p=0.00035	initial clinic visit. A Measure of
				intervention		p=0.00033	Processes of Care (MOPC)
				(abdominal		Frequency of	questionnaire was also administered at
				radiograph with		symptoms per month	the 4-month point. MPOC is a self report
				lumbosacral		Soiling (n=26)	measure of the parents' perceptions of
				spine, barium		1rst visit: 30.7	the extent to which 5 behaviours of
				enema, anorectal		last visit: 12.8	health care professionals occur
				manometry and		p=0.015	(respectful and supportive care,
				rectal mucosa		p=0.010	enabling and partnership, providing
				biopsy)		Rectal pain (n=23)	general information, providing specific
				,		1rst visit: 9.5	information, coordinated and
				-Treatment: only		last visit: 2.0	comprehensive care). The scores from
				compulsory		N.S	the study group were compared with
				treatment			those from a normative group of 653
				modality is patient		Rectal bleeding	patients
				education.		(n=11)	•
				Enemas only		1rst visit: 0.6	Source of funding:
				used in initial		last visit: 0.2	Educational grant from Janssen
				treatment if faecal		N.S	Pharmaceutica through Queen's GI
				impaction, to			Motility Education Centre
				provide social		Satisfaction with care	
				continence for			
				children with		Results only reported	
				persistent		in a graph from which	
				encopresis and		it is difficult to extract	
				avoid undue rectal		estimates	
				distension until			
				laxatives start		Scores were normal	
				taking effect.		or higher that the	
				Choice of enemas		norm for:	
				are phosphate		respectful and	
				and tap water or		supportive care,	
				saline. High		enabling and	
				colonic saline		partnership and	
				irrigations used in		coordinated and	
				severe cases,		comprehensive care	
				suppositories not		Scores were lower	
				routinely		Scores were lower	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level	. augme	S	oompanioon	Measures		
				employed. Choice		than the norm for	
				of laxative based		providing general	
				on compliance		information and	
				and nature of		providing specific	
				symptoms. Most		information	
				patients treated			
				with senna,			
				Docusate sodium			
				and mineral oil.			
				Multiple laxatives			
				avoided. Patient			
				started on			
				recommended dosages, then			
				increased by 50%			
				every 4 to 5 days			
				until symptomatic			
				improvement			
				noted.			
				Individualised			
				dosage then			
				maintained			
				minimum 3 to 6			
				months, during			
				which dietary and			
				psychosocial			
				issues are dealt			
				with. Patient is			
				then slowly			
				weaned off			
				medications			
				Falland one			
				-Follow-up:			
				arranged by each health care			
				professional as			
				needed. Visits			
				used to monitor			

Level s progress and continue education process. Patients who show no progress are reassessed by physician and may become candidates for diagnostic testing -Discharge: when patient is asymptomatic and off medications. Patient referred back to the referring physician, with information for	Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
continue education process. Patients who show no progress are reassessed by physician and may become candidates for diagnostic testing -Discharge: when patient is asymptomatic and off medications. Patient referred back to the referring physician, with		Level				Measures		
-Discharge: when patient is asymptomatic and off medications. Patient referred back to the referring physician, with					continue education process. Patients who show no progress are reassessed by physician and may become candidates for			
maintaining healthy bowel routine Comparison: N.A					-Discharge: when patient is asymptomatic and off medications. Patient referred back to the referring physician, with information for maintaining healthy bowel routine			

Web-based interventions

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence Level	Patients	Characteristic s	Comparison	Outcome Measures		
Borowitz et al.	Study Type:	1142	1142	Intervention:	Outcome	The tutorial received	Additional information from study:
Using the	Online survey	participants	participants	Multimedia tutorial	Measures:	157 326 successful	The tutorial also includes a one-page
Internet to teach					-clarity and	page requests from	feedback form comprised of 6 multiple-
parents and	Evidence	Inclusion	only 887 (78%)	Directed primarily	easiness of	38 012 distinct hosts	choice questions and one open-ended
children about	level:	criteria:	answered the	at parents and	information		comment field. Questions were
constipation	4	Children and	questions	older children.	presented in	Was the information	developed in consultation with the
and encopresis.		parents who	categorising the	Includes	tutorial	presented in the	university division of survey research. All
2001. Medical	Study aim:	accessed a	reader:	information about		tutorial clear and easy	completed form were sent via email
Informatics and	To described	tutorial about		differential	-usefulness of	to understand?	directly to the main author
the Internet in	the feedback	childhood	-789 (89%):	diagnosis,	tutorial: helping	(N=883)	
Medicine 26[4],	received	constipation	parents and	aetiology,	parents to		Responses to multiple-choice questions
283-295	regarding a	and	guardians of a	treatment and	understand why	-Very clear: 812	were tabulated. One author reviewed all
	web-based	encopresis,	child with	potential side	children	(92%)	free text comments and identified the
	tutorial about	developed	constipation or	effects, method of	develop	-Pretty clear: 71 (8%	central them of each comment.
	chronic	and installed	encopresis	follow-up	constipation	-Nobody chose "not	Comment were categorised as:
	childhood	on the web		including regular	and/or	very clear" or "not	-appreciation for making the information
		pages of the	-44 (5%):	monitoring,	encopresis,	clear at all"	available
	and	Children's	grandparent or	natural history	making parents		-question (s) about a particular child's
		Medical	other family	and prognosis	better able to	Did the tutorial help	symptoms or treatment
	during 28	centre at the	members	and a list of	take care of	you to understand	-a general question not specific to any
	months	University of		references	their child	why children develop	particular child
	between	Virginia, and	-30 (3%):			constipation and/or	-a referral request
	January 1998	also	teachers	Comparison:	-usefulness of	encopresis? (N=696)	-a request for dietary recommendations
	and April	completed an		N.A	tutorial as a		-a request for additional online
	2000	online	-9 (1%):		good way to	-Completely: 174	information, such as online forum or a
		feedback	physicians		teach people	(25%)	frequently asked questions (FAQ) site
		form. No			about health	-Somewhat: 174	-specific recommendations as to how to
		internal or	-35 (4%): other		problems	(25%)	improve the tutorial
		external	healthcare			-A little: 13 (2%)	
		announceme	providers		-questions or	-Not al all: 0	Definition of constipation in the tutorial: a
		nt made to			comments or		child is constipated when he or she
			Country:		suggestions as	After completing the	passes bowel movements less than
		the availability	JUSA		to how to	tutorial, do you think	every other or every third day and when
		of the tutorial,			improve the	you are better able to	he or she passes a bowel movement, it
		but access to			tutorial	take care of a child	often is large and hard and perhaps

the website was not limited in any way. These pages can be found by a the website was not suffering from constipation and/or encopresis? (N=696) Very much: 408 (59%) more important, it hurts" Reviewer comments: Not all participants answered all the questions in the feedback form	Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
link in the university homepage called "activation of the properties of the properti			the website was not limited in any way. These pages can be found by a link in the university homepage called "tutorials for families" Exclusion criteria:	Characteristic	Comparison		constipation and/or encopresis? (N=696) -Very much: 408 (59%) -Somewhat: 226 (32%) -A little: 42 (6%) -Not at all: 20 (3%) Do you think this type of tutorial is a good way to teach people about health problems? (N=691) -Very good: 599 (87%) -Pretty good: 89 (13%) -Not very good: 0 -Not good at all: 3 (0.4%) Do you have any questions or comments or suggestions as to how to improve the tutorial? (N=845) -appreciation for making the information available: 443 (52%) -question (s) about a particular child's	Reviewer comments: Not all participants answered all the questions in the feedback form Source of funding:

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						treatment: 167 (20%) -a general question not specific to any particular child: 96 (11%) -a referral request: 46 (5%) -a request for dietary recommendations: 34 (4%) -a request for additional online information, such as online forum or a frequently asked questions (FAQ) site: 21 (2%) -specific recommendations as to how to improve the tutorial: 38 (4%)	
Ritterband et al. An Internet intervention as adjunctive therapy for pediatric encopresis. 2003. Journal of Consulting and	Study Type: RCT (multicentre) Evidence level: 1+ Study aim:	between 6 and 12 years, soling at least	24 children 19 boys mean age: 8.46 years (SD1.81) -Web group: 12 children (10	Intervention: Web intervention Comparison: No-Web intervention -The Web site: Web-based	Duration of intervention: 3 weeks Assessment point (s): 3 weeks after initial home visit	Percentage change from pre- to post-assessment Number of faecal accidents per week (mean, SD) -Web group: 0.50 (.85)	Additional information from study: Computer and internet access provided to all families who contacted the research centre and met the inclusion criteria Participants received a \$25 gift certificate to a local toy store for completing the pre-treatment
Clinical Psychology 71[5], 910-917	To examine the utility and effectiveness	once a week and have no medical	boys) -No-Web group:	program for the treatment of paediatric	Follow-up period: None	-No-Web group: 8.27 (13.83)	assessment and another \$25 gift certificate for completing the post-treatment assessment

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	of an Internet-	diagnosis	s 12 children (9	encopresis (U-	ivieasures		
	based version	other than	boys)	• •	Outcome	p=0.18	Information regarding BM assessed by
	of enhanced	constipation	boys)	OAN-1 001 -100	Measures:	p=0.10	parent report on the Child Information
		that could		(please refer to	-number of	Number of bowel	Form. Question regarding child's bowel
	tolice training	explain their	Country:	Ritterband, 2008	faecal accidents		habits included such as number of BMs
		faecal	USA	for a description	per week	passed in the toilet	in toilet and use of toilet with / without
		incontinence		of the program)	por wook	per week	parental prompts. Questions regarding
		1110011111101100		or the program,	-number of	-Web group: +152%	use of internet programme also included
					bowel		in post-treatment form for the
					movements		intervention group. The Virginia
					(BM) passed in	p=0.001	Encopresis/Constipation Apperception
					the toilet per		Test (VECAT) also administered. It
					week	Bathroom use without	assesses bowel specific problems
						prompts	related to the process of encopresis,
					- bathroom use	-Web group: +109%	such as avoidance of the toilet, non
					without prompts		responsiveness to rectal distension cues
						-No-Web group: -	and fear of defecation pain. A generic
					-bathroom use	37%	subscale included as a comparison
					with prompts	p=0.021	measure, addresses problem
							behaviours not related to bowel issues.
					-internet use	Bathroom use with	The VECAT consists of 18 pairs of
					(most/least	<u>prompts</u>	drawings (9 pairs bowel-specific and 9
					useful aspect of	-Web group: +47%	parallel generic events) and child selects
					the programme;		the picture in each pair that best
					preference	-No-Web group: -45%	describes him/herself
					questions	NS	
					regarding		No significant differences in baseline
					individual cores	Internet use (Web	characteristics between the 2 groups
					an modules)	group only)	(age, gender, race, stage of bowel
							movement training, length of current
						·	laxative regime or any of the outcomes
						of the programme:	measured)
						-the step by step	CN44 and to make an elementarial and an elemen
						program to get the	CM1: anatomy and pathophisiology
						child regulated	CM2: medication (enemas/laxatives) CM3: behavioural intervention
						-understanding why	Civio. Denavioural intervention
						his body does what it needs to do every	Poviower comments:
	1		1	1	L	needs to do every	Reviewer comments:

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level					day-and what happens when he doesn't have a BM and health consequencesinfor mation was tremendously useful -developing a feeling that he can control his own body -realising that he's not the only child with this problemthat was reassuring 2. Least useful aspect of the programme -difficulty with connections -modules regarding fear of toilet and "monsters" -art work of the body did not print out -Miralax should have been included (as a choice of laxative) -nutrition portion was too limited Internet experience: parents' views / satisfaction -found material	Source of funding: National Institutes of Health Grant RO1 HD28160
						understandable (mean 5.00, SD 0.00, N = 20)	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		3		Measures	-found it easy to use	
						(mean 4.62, SD 0.74, N = 21)	
						-believed their child	
						liked the program	
						(mean 4.05, SD 1.28,	
						N = 21)	
						- believed their child	
						found it	
						understandable (mean 4.32, SD 0.89,	
						N = 19)	
						- believed their child	
						found it easy to use	
						(mean 4.47, SD 0.77,	
						N = 19)	
						3. Preference	
						regarding cores	
						modules (CM) (mean,	
						SD)	
						(score 0 to 4)	
						a. How useful:	
						CM1: 3.84 (0.38)	
						CM2: 3.94 (0.24)	
						CM3: 4.00 (0.00)	
						b. How well did you	
						understand the	
						material	
						CM1: 3.89 (0.32)	
						CM2: 3.89 (0.32)	
						CM3: 3.92 (0.28)	
						c. how well did your	
						child understand the	
						material	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	CM1: 3.53 (0.61)	
						CM2: 3.28 (1.07)	
						CM3: 3.54 (1.13)	
						d. How much did you	
						enjoy using the	
						module	
						CM1: 3.68 (0.48) CM2: 3.67 (0.49)	
						CM2: 3.67 (0.49) CM3: 3.69 (0.48)	
						CIVIS. 3.09 (0.40)	
						e. How much did your	
						child enjoy using the	
						module	
						CM1: 3.63 (0.76)	
						CM2: 3.61 (0.98)	
						CM3: 3.46 (1.13)	
Ritterband et al.		83 patients	83 patients and	Intervention:	Duration of	Number of families	Additional information from study:
Using the	RCT-Survey	and their	their families	E-mail-prompt	intervention	who visited the	On the Web page, users read the
internet to		families	0	group	1 week	<u>prescribed</u>	following instructions: "We hope you find
provide	Evidence	, .	-Children's	(n=43)		Web site within 1	the information in this website to be
information	level:		mean age: 7	0	<u>Assessment</u>	week of their clinic	helpful. Before you can begin, please
prescriptions.	1+ (RCT	usion criteria: Families with	years 10 months (94 ± 38	Comparison: No E-mail-prompt	point (s):	<u>visit (N=83)</u>	enter the ID number you were given in the space below, and then click the
	component) 3 (survey	a child who	months)	•	i week	54 (65%)	button to begin." When the "submit"
116[5], e643- e647	component)	was being	(range: 25	group (n=40)	Follow-up	34 (03%)	button was clicked, the 2-digit
6047	component)	seen for the	months to 14.5	(11–40)	period:	Perceived barriers to	identification number and the date and
	Study aim:	first time in	vears	At the conclusion	None	accessing the Web	time were logged in a database. The 2-
		the paediatric	youro	of the patient's	110110	site	digit identification number identified the
		gastroenterol	Country:	clinic visit, 1 of the	Outcome	<u> </u>	family as a member of the e-mail-prompt
	children	ogy clinic at	USA	2 attending	Measures:	18 interviewed	group or no-prompt group. This was the
	suffering from			gastroenterologist		subjects did not go to	only information captured in the
	chronic	of Virginia		s provided a form	-Number of		database
	constipation	with a chief		with the Web-site	families who	(n, %):	
	and/or	complaint of		address and a	visited the		No significant differences between the 2
	encopresis	chronic		log-in	prescribed web	1. Personal / family /	groups on type and speed of Internet
	will visit an	constipation		identification	site within 1	behaviour:	connection, the number of times they
	educational	and/or		number. The	week of their		reported checking their e-mail, or

Bibliographic	Study Type &	Number of	Patient	Intervention &	Follow-up &	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
Information	Evidence	Patients	Characteristic	Comparison	Outcome		
	Level		S		Measures		
	Web site that	encopresis.		handout, signed	clinic visit	-just forgot: 11 (61)	frequency of using the Internet
	is specifically	To be eligible,		by the physician,		-didn't have	
	prescribed by	families had		stated: "It is	-Perceived	much time: 11 (61	There were no significant differences in
	their physician				barriers to	-lost flyer: 6 (33)	the ages of the children between the 2
		access to the		as much as you	accessing the	-interrupted: 3 (17)	groups
		Internet in			Web site	-computer in use by	
		their home		problems and how		another: 2 (11)	Approximately 1 week after the clinic
		and have an		to manage them.		-did not think it would	visit, the study coordinator attempted to
	likelihood that			As part of your		be useful: 2 (11)	contact the primary caretaker of each
	,	account		child's care, I		-did not want to go: 1	patient by telephone or e-mail to ask
	the Web site.			want you to go to		(6)	about their experience accessing the
	In addition,			this Web site and		-did not like typing in	Web site. Families who did not access
	barriers to			review the		URLs: 1 (6)	the Web site were encouraged to
	accessing the			relevant material.		-did not know how to	identify barriers that they may have
	prescribed			This should be		type in URLs: 1 (6)	experienced in accessing the prescribed
	Web site were			beneficial to your		-child not	Web site. They were presented with a
	identified			child's treatment."		cooperating: 0	list of potential barriers and were asked
				Families were		-did not know how to	whether the item had been a barrier for
				assigned		use internet: 0	them to accessing the Web site.
				randomly		-family thought it was	Individuals were able to select multiple
				into a "prompt"		a bad idea: 0	barriers, if applicable Of the 83 families,
				group or "no-			67 (81%) were contacted by telephone
				prompt" group. 2		2. Technical	(n= 57) or e-mail (n= 10)
				business days		issues/obstacles	
				after the clinic		-computer broken: 4	No significant differences were found in
				visit, an e-mail		(22)	identified obstacles between the families
				containing the		-internet connection	who received the e-mail reminder and
				Web-site address		broken: 2 (11)	those who did not
				and a reminder to		-difficulty logging on:	
				visit the Web site		1 (6)	Reviewer comments:
				was sent to those		-too long to log on:1	No definition of chronic constipation or
				in the "prompt"		(6)	encopresis given
				group			
						No significant	No sample size calculation performed
				-The Web site: an		differences in	
				abbreviated		identified obstacles	Randomisation and allocation
				version of a larger		between the families	concealment methods not described
				Web-based		who received	

Bibliographic Study Type 8 Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
			program for the treatment of paediatric encopresis (U-CAN-POOP-TOO) -3 modules: (1) "How to Strain": reviewed proper defecation dynamics, including proper positioning, straining, and muscle control/strength-building exercises (2) "Giving and Getting Enemas": reviewed techniques for administering enemas (3) "The SuperCleanout game": An arcade-style game for children with a learning message. Parents and children were able to view as much of the site as they wanted and could come back as often as		the e-mail reminder and those who did not	Results controlled for potential confounders Source of funding: Partially supported by National Institutes of Health grant RO1 HD28160

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
Ritterband et al.	Study Type:	49 children	49 children and	Intervention:	Duration of	Motivation scores	Additional information from study:
Examining the	Single sample	and their	their families	Modified modules	<u>intervention</u>	(lower score reflects	Families who agreed to participate
added value of	cross-over	families		including audio,	Each module	more motivation)	received a
, 0 1 ,	RCT		32 boys	graphics and	with or without		\$25 gift certificate forma a local toy store
and interactivity	Multicentre	<u>Inclusion</u>		interactivity	each	-Audio	
in an internet		criteria:	mean age: 7.98		component		Parents asked to complete the
intervention for	(and these	Children aged		Comparison:	presented once	 Audio-computer 	motivation and readiness to change
pediatric	are the results		(SD=1.88)	Modules without			items from their child's perspective:
encopresis.		who were		audio, graphics or	<u>Assessment</u>	a. Child	
	studies for		Country:	interactivity	point (s):	Pre: 6.00	-Motivation: a 3-item parallel drawing
Health Care	each		USA		Immediately	Post: 5.13	selection measure was created in the
35[1], 47-	component)	2 paediatric			after each	P≤0.004	same manner as the Virginia
59United		gastroenterol		2 modules of the	module was		Encopresis-Constipation Apperception
States.	<u>Evidence</u>	ogy clinics			presented	b. Parent	Test for both the enema and proper
	level:			POOP-TOO		Pre: 7.56	defecation dynamics modules.
	1+	Exclusion		intervention were	Follow-up	Post: 6.25	Respondents select the image in each
		criteria:		revised:	period:	P=0.06	pair which they feel is closest to
		Not stated			None		represent how they might act given the
	To determine			-"Giving and		2. Audio-person	scenario presented in the picture (e.g.
	the				<u>Outcome</u>		child does not want an enema vs. child
	usefulness			reviewed	Measures:	a. Child	wants an enema, child feels urge to
	and user			techniques for	-motivation	Pre: 6.19	poop but keeps on playing vs. go right
	preference for			administering		Post: 5.63	away to sit on toilet). Respondents are
	audio (use of			enemas	-readiness to	N.S	then asked whether he or she is "a lot
	sound),			"How to Strain":	change		like or "a little like" the image selected.
	graphics (use			reviewed proper		b. Parent	Pre-post reliability correlations on the
	of images)			defecation		Pre: 8.75	motivation scale for the enemas and
	and			dynamics,		Post: 7.13	dynamic modules were .66 and.83
	interactivity			including proper		P≤0.02	respectively
	(triggering of			positioning,			
	events by the			straining, and		-Graphics	-Readiness to change: a 1-item scale
	user causing			muscle control/			with4 response options was created to
	various			strength-building		1. Graphics +	identify the child's stage of change as
	actions, i.e.			exercises			defined by Prochaska and DiClemente,
	clickable					a. Child	1983) with respect to both receiving an
	buttons) in a			Design was		Pre: 5.69	enema and proper defecation dynamics
	paediatric			significantly		Post: 5.19	
	Internet-			improved with		N.S	Reviewer comments:

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures		
	based health			special emphasis			No definition of chronic constipation or
	intervention			given to graphical,		b. Parent	encopresis given
	specifically			animation and		Pre: 7.13	No construire estadation
	designed for			interactive		Post: 6.06	No sample size calculation
	patients with			elements. For		P≤0.03	Describes the secretaristics and second
	encopresis			each of the 3		0.0	Baseline characteristics not compared
				studies		2. Graphics -	
				conducted, the 2		- 01:11	Randomisation and allocation
				modules were		a. Child	concealment methods not described
				modified to either		Pre: 5.75	
				include the 3		Post: 5.94	No dropouts/lost to follow up reported
				constructs of		N.S	
				interest (audio,			Results controlled for potential
				graphics and		b. Parent	confounders
				interactivity) or		Pre: 8.06	
				not.		Post: 7.19	Source of funding:
				For the study		P=0.06	National Institutes of Health grant RO1
				examining audio			HD28160
				both modules		-Interaction	
				were created with			
				and without		1. Interaction +	
				sound. For the			
				study examining		a. Child	
				graphics both		Pre:6.00	
				modules were		Post: 4.71	
				created with		P=0.03	
				graphics and		_	
				completely text		b. Parent	
				based; and for the		Pre: 8.35	
				study examining		Post: 6.88	
				interactivity both		NS	
				modules were			
				created with		2. Interaction -	
				interaction (use			
				the mouse to click		a. Child	
				various aspects of		Pre: 5.18	
				the screen and		Post: 4.41	
				navigation) and as		P=0.02	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	a movie (where no interaction was necessary and the participant could just watch the module play from beginning to end		b. Parent Pre: 7.76 Post: 7.29 NS	
						Stage of change scores -Audio	
						1. Audio-computer	
						a. Child Pre: 2.88 Post: 3.00 N.S	
						b. Parent Pre: 2.19 Post: 2.69 N.S	
						2. Audio-person	
						a. Child Pre: 2.69 Post: 2.63 N.S	
						b. Parent Pre: 2.25 Post: 2.75 P=0.04	
						-Graphics	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						1. Graphics +	
						a. Child Pre: 3.38 Post: 3.31 NS	
						b. Parent Pre: 2.44 Post: 2.88 P=0.01	
						2. Graphics -	
						a. Child Pre: 3.38 Post: 3.25 NS	
						b. Parent Pre: 2.75 Post: 3.13 NS	
						-Interaction	
						1. Interaction +	
						a. Child Pre: 2.47 Post: 2.71 NS	
						b. Parent Pre: 2.18 Post: 1.94 NS	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
						2. Interaction -	
						a. Child Pre: 2.53 Post: 2.53 NS	
						b. Parent Pre: 1.82 Post: 1.94 NS	
Ritterband et al.		22 children	22 children	Intervention:	Duration of	Number of faecal	Additional information from study:
Real world use	Prospective			Internet-based	intervention	accidents over a 2-	Of 46 patients originally provided with
of an Internet	case series	Inclusion	13 males	intervention for	2 weeks	week period (mean)	the Web-based information prescription
intervention for	Fideline	criteria:		childhood	A	-initial period:	10 could not be reached by phone or
pediatric	<u>Evidence</u>	Children with	mean age: 8.10	encopresis: U-	Assessment	13.86 (SD 10.40,	email for interview, of the remaining 36 3
encopresis.	level:	a documented	years (SD 2.3	CAN-POOP-TOO	point (s) and	median 13.00)	did not provide consent, 3 stated that
2008. Journal of	3		years) range	Child forward	follow-up period	fallan un mariadi	they never received the initial email with
Medical Internet Research 10[2],	Ctudy oim	diagnosis of encopresis as	5.1 years to 12.11 years	Child-focused	-initial period: 2	-follow-up period: 2.14 (SD 2.21,	their personalised log-in information, 5 never logged on and 3 logged but never
e16	Study aim: To examine	noted in their	12.11 years	programme, targets primarily 5	weeks before	median 1.00)	viewed any of the intervention material.
610		medical	Country:	to 10 years old	children were	P < .001	No subsequent data was collected on
	impact of an	records and	USA	children but was	enrolled in the	F < .001	these patients
	Internet	their families,	USA	designed to be		Number of bowel	inese palients
	intervention	seen at the		used by child and	program	movements (BM)	Number of faecal accidents, number of
		Paediatric		parent (s)	-follow-up	passed in the toilet	bowel movements passed in the toilet
		Gastroenterol		together	period: 2 weeks	over a 2-week period	and average amount of perianal pain
	part of	ogy Clinic at		logether	immediately	(mean, SD)	experienced during defection were
	standard	the University		3 core modules	before phone	-initial period (n=21,	obtained from children's medical charts
	medical care	of Virginia		take 60 to 90	interview	missing data)	and though a phone interview with
	in a "real	Children's		minutes to		14.62 (10.68)	parents. Interview also included open-
		Hospital		complete, all	Outcome	(.0.00)	ended questions about what the parents
		between .		users instructed to		-follow-up period:	believed were the most helpful and least
		all children		review them		14. 82 (8.65)	helpful components of the programme. 3
		had been		during the first	-number of	NS	structured questionnaire mostly
		given access		week:	faecal accidents		developed for this interview were also
		to the		1. The body	over a 2-week	Average amount of	completed: U-CAN-POOP-TOO Utility

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level	paediatric	S	(anatom)	Measures period	porional pain	Questionnaire administered to all
		encopresis		(anatomy, physiology and	penou	perianal pain experienced during	parents who had used the program
		Internet		pathophysiology	-number of	defection over a 2-	(extent to which the parent and child
		intervention		of digestion)	bowel	week period (mean,	found program useful, enjoyable,
		as part of		2. How to poop	movements	SD)	understandable and easy to use); U-
		their		(behavioural	(BM) passed in	<u>30)</u>	CAN-POOP-TOO Impact Questionnaire
		treatment		techniques for	the toilet over a	-initial period:	administered to all parents who had
		licalinent		treatment of		0.56 (0.78) (n=18,	used the program (parents to rate how
		Exclusion		encopresis)	2-week period	missing data)	much they perceived the programme
		criteria:		3. Medication	-average	inissing data)	helped their child) and Internet
		Not stated		(clean-out and	amount of	-follow-up period:	Intervention Adherence Measure
		Not Stated		laxative		0.14 (0.47)	administered to patients who stopped
				treatment)		NS	using the programme for some reason
				treatment)	during defection	110	other than that their problem was
				New modules	over a 2-week	Utility and impact of	"resolved".
				assigned each	period	the programme	resolved.
				week based on a	period	:parents'	Those who responded "not applicable"
				follow-up	-utility and	views/satisfaction	to items on the U-CAN-POOP-TOO
				assessment the	impact of the	-liked program (mean	Utility Questionnaire were not included
				user completes	programme	4.62, SD 0.50, N =	in the analysis for that item (explaining
				about their child's	:parents'	21)	the varying sample sizes)
				status. Not all	views/satisfacti	-found it	The U-CAN-POOP-TOO Impact
				modules	on	understandable	Questionnaire was administered to
				necessarily used	011	(mean 5.00, SD 0.00,	examine how much the parents believed
				by all users, only	-adherence	N = 20)	the program affected outcome. Those
				those modules	adilororido	-found it easy to use	who responded "not applicable" were
				identified as		(mean 4.62, SD 0.74,	not included in the analysis for that item
				relevant are		N = 21)	No significant correlations found
				assigned and		-believed their child	between computer/Internet usage and
				reviewed.		liked the program	the change from initial to follow-up
				However all		(mean 4.05, SD 1.28,	period for accident frequency ($r = .09$, P
				modules can be		N = 21)	< .69, N = 22), BMs passed in the toilet
				viewed by all		- believed their child	(r = .38, P < .09, N = 21), or amount of
				users. Follow-up		found it	pain associated with defecation ($r = .08$,
				comprised of 17		understandable	P < .76, N = 18). Internet comfort and
				to 20 questions,		(mean 4.32, SD 0.89,	connection speed were also not
				depending on the		N = 19)	significantly correlated to changes in any
				week. System		- believed their child	of the bowel-related outcome variables

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S	contains a total o	Measures	found it easy to use	(r values ranged from −.17 to .27; P
				22 modules, each		(mean 4.47, SD 0.77,	values ranged from .25 to .59)
				takes 5 to 10		N = 19)	,
				minutes to review		-most helpful	Of the 22 patients who used U-CAN-
						components of the	POOP-TOO, 18 (82%) completed all
				Comparison:		program: tutorials	three assigned cores (main treatment
				N.A		about anatomy and	components). All 22 patients completed
							the Anatomy Core; 20 completed the
							Medication Core; and 18 completed the
						was geared toward	Behavior Core. A total of 12 patients
						the child, but that it	(55%) completed one follow-up, four
						was comprehensive	(18%) completed a second and third
							follow-up, and two of these four (9%)
						-least helpful	completed more than three follow-ups. Modules were individually assigned
						components of the program: no clear	based on responses to follow-ups;
						themes emerged	however, patients had access to all the
						-How much parents	modules. The average number of
							modules completed was 7.23 (SD 9.64);
							14 patients (64%) completed at least
						children:	one module
						On average, 19/25	
						9 1	Reviewer comments:
						least "somewhat	Unclear how encopresis was
						helpful," no item	defined/diagnosed
						described as "not at	Small sample size, no sample size
						all helpful." On the 1-	calculation
						to 5-point scale,	Unclear whether questionnaires were
						average responses	piloted
						ranged from a low of	
						2.33 (the program	Source of funding:
						helped reduce the	Partially supported by NIH grant RO1
							HD28160
						parents had to remind	
						their child to use the	
						bathroom) to a high	
						of 4.2 (the program helped the child feel	
						meipea me chila feel	

Bibliographic Information	Study Type & Evidence Level	Number of Patients	Patient Characteristic s	Intervention & Comparison	Follow-up & Outcome Measures	Effect Size	Reviewer Comments
	Level		S		Measures	more comfortable using the toilet at home). Adherence 16/22 patients examined, stopped using the program for some reason other than that their problem was "resolved." -Obstacles to using the program (only 2 items with a mean score of 2 or greater (on a 1- to 3-point scale)): I just forgot [to go to the website]" (mean	
						2.00, SD 0.89) "I didn't have time in my schedule" (mean 2.06, SD 0.85)	